

later than June 14, 2005. Jevtec did not file a response to the order, an answer to the complaint, or a notice of appearance within the time permitted.

On June 15, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 39) finding Jevtec in default. No party petitioned for review of the ID. On July 11, 2005, the Commission determined not to review that initial determination.

On June 21, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 41), granting 3M's motion for a summary determination of a violation of section 337. The ID notes that only the "097 patent is at issue in the summary determination, because the investigation has been terminated with respect to all respondents charged with infringement of the "092 patent. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

In Order No. 41, the ALJ recommended the issuance of a general exclusion order. He also recommended that the bond permitting temporary importation during the Presidential review period be set at 100 percent of the value of the infringing imported product.

On July 15, 2005, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's summary determination that 3M has shown that there is a violation of section 337. It also issued a **Federal Register** notice, inviting written submissions on the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding as well as submissions on the public interest.

On July 25, 2005, the Commission received comments from complainant 3M and the IA. No reply submissions were received.

Having examined the relevant portions of the record, including ALJ Order No. 41, and the written submissions on remedy, the public interest and bonding, the Commission has determined to issue a general exclusion order prohibiting unlicensed entry for consumption of foam masking tape that is covered by claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 or 16 of the '097 patent. In so doing the Commission determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) do not preclude the issuance of the aforementioned remedial order and the bond during the Presidential review period shall be 100 percent of the entered value of the article in question. The Commission's order was delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of its issuance.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.42 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42 and 210.50.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 31, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-21950 Filed 11-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-718 (Second Review)]

Glycine From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 1, 2005 (70 FR 31534) and determined on September 7, 2005 that it would conduct an expedited review (70 FR 55625, September 22, 2005).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 31, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3810 (October 2005), entitled Glycine from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-718 (Second Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 31, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-21951 Filed 11-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review: Identification Markings Placed on Firearms.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 146, page 44117 on August 1, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 5, 2005. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Identification Markings Placed on Firearms.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for-profit. Other: None. Each licensed firearms manufacturer or licensed firearm importer must legibly identify each firearm by engraving, casting, stamping (impressing), or otherwise conspicuously placing on the frame or receiver an individual serial number. Also, ATF requires minimum height and depth requirements for identification markings placed on firearms.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 2,962 respondents will take 5 seconds to mark the firearm.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* It is estimated that 2,962 respondents will take 5 seconds to mark the firearm.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 28, 2005.

Brenda E. Dyer,
Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 05-21901 Filed 11-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 23 new standards have been initiated and 9 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at <http://standards.ieee.org/standardswire/sba/09-22-05.html>.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 8, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 28, 2005 (70 FR 56736).

Dorothy B. Fountain,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 05-21888 Filed 11-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 20, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 12, 2005 (70 FR 47232).

Dorothy B. Fountain,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 05-21887 Filed 11-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review: Application for Registration (DEA Form 363) and Application for Registration Renewal (DEA Form 363a).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 154, page 46887 on August 11, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 5, 2005. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant To The National Cooperative Research And Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical And Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on October 4, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 11, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Mindready Solutions, Inc., Saint Lauarent, Quebec, Canada has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Corelis, Inc., Cerritos, CA; and Kaparel Corporation, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.