

Modified contract actions:

20. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Burbank Ditch, New Grattan Ditch Company, Torrington ID, Lucerne Canal and Power Company, and Wright and Murphy Ditch Company.

21. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Nebraska: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Bridgeport, Enterprise, and Mitchell IDs, and Central Nebraska Public Power and ID.

25. Clark Canyon Water Supply Company, East Bench Unit, P-SMBP, Montana: Initiating renewal of contract No. 14-06-600-3592 which expires December 31, 2005. Current contract may be amended to extend the term not to exceed an additional 2 years pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties.

26. East Bench ID, East Bench Unit, P-SMBP, Montana: Initiating renewal of contract No. 14-06-600-3593 which expires December 31, 2005. Current contract may be amended to extend the term not to exceed an additional 2 years pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties.

Discontinued contract actions:

47. East Bench ID, East Bench Unit, P-SMBP, Montana: The district requested a deferment of its 2005 construction obligation. A request was prepared to amend Contract No. 14-06-600-3593 to defer payments in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. The district withdrew this deferment request on July 26, 2005. No further action is necessary.

49. Frenchman Valley ID; Frenchman Unit, Frenchman-Cambridge Division, P-SMBP; Culbertson, Nebraska: The district requested a deferment of its 2005 construction obligation in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. Reclamation denied this deferment request. No further action is necessary.

Completed contract action:

45. Belle Fourche ID, Belle Fourche Project, P-SMBP, South Dakota: Temporary contract for a supplemental water supply from Keyhole Reservoir.

Dated: October 7, 2005.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. 05-21695 Filed 11-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collections; Comments Requested**

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Making Officer Redeployment Effective (MORE) Closeout Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed extension of an information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days for public comment until January 3, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rebekah Dorr, Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Making Officer Redeployment Effective (MORE) Closeout Report.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* None. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Law enforcement agencies that are recipients of MORE grants. This information collection solicits information from MORE grantees on grant implementation for final grant closure.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 1,045 respondents annually will complete the form within two hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 2,090 annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 27, 2005.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 05-21779 Filed 11-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")**

Notice is hereby given that on October 17, 2005, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States and State of Texas v. BP AMOCO Chemical Company, et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:05-cv-03547, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

In this action the United States and the State of Texas ("State") sought natural resource damages as a result of the release of hazardous substances