

not apply to a die caster that operates furnaces which melt only clean charge, and that does not operate a sweat furnace, thermal chip dryer, or scrap dryer.

*Abstract for [M050034]*

Q: Under 40 CFR part 63, subpart RRR, may Method 22 visible emission readings for each test run at the Mercury Marine ring crusher in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, be discontinued after 20 minutes of continuous operation rather than 60 minutes, and not resumed until the rest break exceeds 10 minutes?

A: Yes. Three 20-minute test runs are allowed and required under the Maximum Achievable Control Technology standard subpart RRR. The crusher must be shutdown after 20 minutes of continuous operation because the hopper following the crusher becomes full, and the crusher cannot be restarted without a rest break that exceeds 10 minutes. When the hopper becomes empty, another 20 minute test run is allowed.

*Abstract for [0500056]*

Q: Are calciners or dryers used in the reclamation of foundry sand subject to New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) subpart UUU?

A: Yes. Calciner and dryers used in the reclamation of foundry sand are subject to NSPS subpart UUU.

*Abstract for [0500057]*

Q1: Are the emissions from the liquid sulfur storage tanks at the Burlington Resources natural gas sweetening and sulfur recovery operation at the Lost Cabin Gas Plant in Lysite, Wyoming, subject to New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) subpart LLL?

A1: No. Emission from liquid sulfur storage tanks at a natural gas sweetening and sulfur recovery operation are not regulated under NSPS subpart LLL.

Q2: Does performance testing of the tail gas incinerator require the inclusion of the liquid sulfur storage tank vent gas?

A2: No. Liquid sulfur storage tank vent gas does not need to be included in the performance testing of the tail gas incinerator, nor in the sulfur reduction efficiency calculations.

Q3: Does monitoring the tail gas incinerator require inclusion of the sulfur contribution from the liquid sulfur storage tanks?

A3: No. Liquid sulfur storage tank vent gas does not need to be included in the monitoring of the tail gas incinerator, nor in the sulfur reduction efficiency calculations.

Q4: Will EPA approve an alternative monitoring method for the combined

sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from the Train 1 tail gas unit and the liquid sulfur storage tanks?

A4: No. EPA will not approve the alternative method proposed for the combined SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the Train 1 tail gas unit and the liquid sulfur storage tanks.

*Abstract for [0500058]*

Q1: Is New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) subpart Y applicable to charcoal briquet manufacturing?

A1: If a charcoal briquet manufacturing plant processes more than 200 tons of coal per day and meets the definition of a "coal preparation plant" as defined in 40 CFR 60.250, then it would be subject to NSPS subpart Y.

Q2: Does the use of pre-processed coal count toward the 200-ton/day threshold of NSPS subpart Y?

A2: No. The use of coal that is pre-processed off-site would not count toward the 200-ton/day threshold in NSPS subpart Y.

Q3: Is char made from lignite considered to be coal?

A3: EPA cannot provide a response to this question without site-specific information.

Q4: Does NSPS subpart Y apply where no size reduction of coal refuse removal is conducted?

A4: The Agency cannot provide a response to this question without site-specific information.

*Abstract for [0500059]*

Q1: ICM, Incorporated, in Colwich, Kansas, designs and builds thermal oxidizer heat recovery steam generating system (TO-HRSG) at ethanol plants. Does a thermal oxidizer portion of the TO-HRSG satisfy the definition of a "duct burner" in 40 CFR 60.41b?

A1: No. The thermal oxidizer does not satisfy the definition of a "duct burner" in 40 CFR 60.41b.

Q2: Are the grains dryers at an ethanol plant part of the combined cycle system and, therefore, part of the affected facility as defined in 40 CFR 60.40b?

A2: No. The grains dryers are separate sources and are not part of the combined cycle system.

Q3: Can the heat input from the grain dryers at an ethanol plant be used to calculate the nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from the affected facility?

A3: No. The heat input from the grains dryers cannot be used to calculate the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the affected facility.

*Abstract for [M050035]*

Q: Does the Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) standard subpart ZZZZ apply to reciprocating internal combustion engines with a site-rating of less than 500 brake horsepower located at a major source of hazardous air pollutants?

A: No. MACT subpart ZZZZ does not apply to reciprocating internal combustion engines with a site-rating of less than 500 brake horsepower located at a major source of hazardous air pollutants.

Dated: October 19, 2005.

**Michael M. Stahl,**

*Director, Office of Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 05-21625 Filed 10-28-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7991-1]

**Notice of the Twelfth Meeting of the Mississippi River/Gulf of Mexico Watershed Nutrient Task Force**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the Twelfth Meeting of the Mississippi River/Gulf of Mexico Watershed Nutrient Task Force. The purpose of this Task Force, consisting of Federal, State, and Tribal members, is to lead efforts to coordinate and support nutrient management and hypoxia-related activities in the Mississippi River and Gulf of Mexico watersheds. The major matters to be discussed at the meeting is the activities of the Sub-Basin Teams and the Reassessment of the Action Plan for Reducing, Mitigating, and Controlling Hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. The Action Plan was developed in fulfillment of a requirement of section 604(b) of the Harmful Algal Blooms and Hypoxia Research Control Act (Pub. L. 105-383—Coast Guard Authorization Act of 1998) to submit a scientific assessment of hypoxia and a plan for reducing, mitigating, and controlling hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico. The Action Plan was submitted as a Report to Congress on January 18, 2001, and the eleventh action item is a reassessment of the actions every five years. The public will be afforded an opportunity to provide input to the Task Force during open discussion periods.

**DATES:** The one day meeting will be held from 8:30 a.m.—4:30 p.m.,

Thursday, December 1, 2005 in Memphis, TN.

**ADDRESSES:** Please see the website <http://yukon.tetrattech-ffx.com/12TFMeeting/> for registration, specific meeting location, and hotel information. The meeting room accommodates approximately 125 people, therefore, registration is required. There is no charge for registration.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katie Flahive, U.S. EPA, Assessment and Watershed Protection Division (AWPD), Mail Code 4503T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; Phone (202) 566-1206; E-mail: [flahive.katie@epa.gov](mailto:flahive.katie@epa.gov). For additional information on logistics, registration, and accommodations, contact Ansu John, Tetra Tech, Inc., 10306 Eaton Place, Suite 340, Fairfax, VA 22030; Phone: (703) 385-6000; E-mail: [ansu.john@tetrattech-ffx.com](mailto:ansu.john@tetrattech-ffx.com).

Dated: October 25, 2005.

**Diane Regas,**

*Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds.*

[FR Doc. 05-21622 Filed 10-28-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7990-7]

### Proposed CERCLA Administrative Agreement; Liberty Industrial Finishing Superfund Site

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Agency's May 24, 1995, "Guidance on Agreements with Prospective Purchasers of Contaminated Property," notice is hereby given of a proposed prospective purchaser agreement ("PPA agreement") with The Stop & Shop Supermarket Company LLC ("Respondent") concerning Respondent's ground lease of an approximately 9-acre parcel of real property (the "Property") included within the Liberty Industrial Finishing Superfund Site in the Village of Farmingdale, Town of Oyster Bay, Nassau County, New York (the "Site") and Respondent's potential use of the Property by construction and operation of a shopping center including a supermarket and fueling facility. Under the PPA agreement, the United States would covenant not to sue or take administrative action against

Respondent under section 106 or 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA") if Respondent becomes an operator of the Property. In consideration, Respondent would perform work at the Site that EPA has valued at approximately \$100,000 and will also pay to EPA the amount of \$12,500. By publication of this Notice, a thirty (30) day period has been established in which the Agency will accept written comments relating to the PPA agreement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the PPA agreement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the agreement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, Office of Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before November 30, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed PPA agreement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, Office of Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866. A copy of the proposed PPA agreement may be obtained from the individual listed below. Comments should reference the Liberty Industrial Finishing Superfund Site, Nassau County, New York and EPA Index No. CERCLA-02-2005-2005, and should be addressed to the individual listed below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael A. Mintzer, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866, Telephone: (212) 637-3168.

Dated: October 17, 2005.

**William McCabe,**

*Acting Director, Emergency and Remedial Response Division, Region II.*

[FR Doc. 05-21624 Filed 10-28-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7990-8]

### Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122 (h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning the RSR Corporation Superfund Site, the Murmur Corporation, and the Murmur Leasing Corporation.

The settlement requires the settling parties to pay \$278,273 as payment of response costs to the Hazardous Substances Superfund. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue pursuant to sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42, U.S.C. 9607. The settling parties also agree to assign and implement institutional controls.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to this notice and will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before November 30, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Barbara Aldridge, 6SF-AC, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202-2733, or by calling (214) 665-2712. Comments should reference the RSR Corporation Superfund Site, Dallas, Texas, and EPA Docket Number 6-03-05, and should be addressed to Barbara Aldridge at the address listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Malone, 1445 Ross Avenue,