

**Philips County**

Pleasant Ridge Church, 381 E. Buffalo Rd.,  
Phillipsburg, 05001204

**Rooks County**

St. Joseph Catholic Church, 105 N. Oak St.,  
Damar, 05001203

[FR Doc. 05-21035 Filed 10-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-M

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-545]

**In the Matter of Certain Laminated Floor Panels; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Amending the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting the motion of complainants to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of the public version of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 3, 2005, based on a complaint filed by Unilin Beheer B.V. of the Netherlands, Flooring Industries Ltd. of Ireland, and Unilin Flooring N.C. LLC of Thomasville, North Carolina, 70 FR 44694 (2005).

The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain laminated floor panels by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21, 37, 52, 65, and 66 of U.S. Patent No. 6,006,486, claims 1, 2, 10, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,490,836, and claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,292. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The Commission named as respondents 30 companies located in Canada, China, Malaysia, and the United States. *Id.* The ALJ has set October 3, 2006, as the target date for completion of the investigation.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on September 19, 2005. The ID grants complainants’ motion to add two respondents, Jiangsu Qianfeng Decoration Materials Co. Ltd. (QDM), of Jiangsu, China, and Hansol Homedeco (Hansol), of Seoul, South Korea. The ID also grants complainants’ motion to add infringement of claims 1, 5, 13, 17, 27 and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,928,779 (the ‘779 patent). The ‘779 patent was issued on August 16, 2005. The ID also permits the complainants to add information concerning the existence of a domestic industry to the complaint. The ALJ states in the ID that “good cause” exists to permit these amendments to the complaint and notice of investigation because additional information was obtained by complainants after the complaint was filed.

The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. No party opposed the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42.

Issued: October 17, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-21110 Filed 10-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731-TA-841 (Review)]

**Non-Frozen Concentrated Apple Juice From China**

**Determination**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on non-frozen concentrated apple juice from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**Background**

The Commission instituted this review on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22694) and determined on August 5, 2005 that it would conduct an expedited review (70 FR 51365, August 30, 2005).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on September 28, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3799 (September 2005), entitled Non-Frozen Concentrated Apple Juice from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-841 (Review).

Issued: October 18, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-21109 Filed 10-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-536]

**In the Matter of Certain Pool Cues With Self-Aligning Joint Assemblies and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision to Review in Part an Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930; Termination of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff did not participate in this determination.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission determined on review to decline to reach the issue of whether one claim term was met by the accused pool cues. The Commission has determined not to review the ALJ’s determination that one other limitation of the claims at issue is not met by the accused products. The investigation is therefore terminated with a finding of no violation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3041. Copies of the public version of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDISON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted the above-referenced investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 on April 4, 2005, based on a complaint filed by J. Pechauer Custom Cues, Inc. (“Pechauer”) of Green Bay, Wisconsin. 70 FR 7112. The complaint alleged infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,582,317 (the ‘317 patent), in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain pool cues covered by all 29 claims of the ‘317 patent. The Commission named the following companies as respondents in the investigation: Kaokao Industrial Co. LTD., aka Kaokao (Zhang Zhou) Sports (“Kao Kao”) Equipment Co. Ltd. of Taiwan; CueStix International of Lafayette, Colorado; Sterling Gaming of Matthews, North Carolina; CueSight of Matthews, North Carolina; Imperial

International of Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey; Sigel’s Unlimited Cues & Accessories of Winter Garden, Florida; Nick Varner Cues and Cases of Owensboro, Kentucky; J–S Sales Co. Inc. of Elmsford, New York; and GLD Products of Muskego, Wisconsin.

On September 1, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) granting Kao Kao’s motion for summary determination of noninfringement and finding that Kao Kao’s accused pool cues do not satisfy two limitations of the two independent claims of the ‘317 patent. On September 7, 2005, complainant Pechauer filed a petition for review of the ALJ’s ID, and on September 19, 2005, the Commission Investigative Attorney and Kao Kao filed oppositions to Pechauer’s petition for review. On September 22, 2005, the Commission extended the time for deciding whether to review the ID until October 17, 2005.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the portion of the ID concerning the “slightly threaded anterior portion” limitation. The Commission has determined to review, and on review, to decline to reach the issue of whether the accused pool cues meet the “closed posterior end” limitation. Accordingly, the investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 18, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05–21108 Filed 10–20–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–270P]

#### Controlled Substances: Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas for 2006

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed year 2006 aggregate production quotas.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes initial year 2006 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I

and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

**DATES:** Comments or objections must be received on or before November 14, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference “Docket No. DEA–270P” on all written and electronic correspondence. Written comments being sent via regular mail should be sent to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA **Federal Register** Representative/ODL. Written comments sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, Attention: DEA **Federal Register** Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, VA 22301. Comments may be directly sent to DEA electronically by sending an electronic message to [dea.diversion.policy@usdoj.gov](mailto:dea.diversion.policy@usdoj.gov). Comments may also be sent electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> using the electronic comment form provided on that site. An electronic copy of this document is also available at the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. DEA will accept attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, WordPerfect, Adobe PDF, or Excel file formats only. DEA will not accept any file format other than those specifically listed here.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine A. Sannerud, Ph.D., Chief, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone: (202) 307–7183.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 306 of the CSA (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedules I and II. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Administrator, in turn, has redelegated this function to the Deputy Administrator, pursuant to section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The proposed year 2006 aggregate production quotas represent those quantities of controlled substances that may be produced in the United States in 2006 to provide adequate supplies of each substance for: The estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States; lawful export requirements; and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. These quotas do not