

This order has the effect of excepting the transactions specified below from the definition of "federally related transactions" in Title XI of FIRREA and the agencies' appraisal regulations, and thereby from the statutory and regulatory real estate appraisal requirements for such transactions.

The Agencies also have determined that the exceptions are consistent with safety and soundness, subject to the requirement that the depository institution's records relating to any excepted transaction appropriately document the following: (1) The property involved was directly affected by the major disaster or the transaction would facilitate recovery from the disaster; (2) there is a binding commitment to fund the transaction that is made within three years after the date the major disaster was declared; and (3) the value of the real property supports the institution's decision to enter into the transaction. In addition, the transaction must continue to be subject to review by management and by the Agencies in the course of examinations of the institution.

#### Expiration Dates

Exceptions provided under this order expire not later than three years after the date on which the President determines, pursuant to section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5170 (DREAA), that a major disaster exists in the area. Accordingly, exceptions for the major disasters declared due to Hurricane Katrina expire on August 29, 2008, in Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana; and exceptions for the major disasters declared due to Hurricane Rita expire on September 24, 2008, in Louisiana and Texas.

#### Order

In accordance with section 2 of DIDRA, relief is hereby granted from the provisions of Title XI of FIRREA and the agencies' appraisal regulations for any real estate-related financial transaction that requires the services of an appraiser under those provisions, provided that:

(1) The transaction involves real property located in an area that the President has determined, pursuant to section 401 of DREAA, is a major disaster area as a result of Hurricane Katrina (August 2005) in Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi; or as a result of Hurricane Rita (September 2005) in Louisiana and Texas, and has been designated eligible for federal assistance by FEMA;<sup>3</sup>

(2)(a) The real property involved was directly affected by the major disaster; or

(2)(b) The real property involved was not directly affected by the major disaster but the transaction would facilitate recovery from the disaster;

(3) There is a binding commitment to fund a transaction that is made within three years after the date the major disaster was declared by the President; and

(4) The institution retains in its files, for examiner review, appropriate documentation indicating that the requirements of Items (1)–(3) above are met and supporting the valuation of the real property involved in the transaction.

#### Appendix

Counties and parishes designated by FEMA as receiving "Individual and Public Assistance (all categories)" and "Individual and Public Assistance (Categories A and B)"

##### Hurricane Katrina

*Alabama:* Baldwin, Choctaw, Clarke, Greene, Hale, Mobile, Pickens, Sumter, Tuscaloosa and Washington

*Louisiana:* Acadia, Ascension, Assumption, Calcasieu, Cameron, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson, Jefferson Davis, Lafayette, Lafourche, Livingston, Orleans, Pointe Coupee, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, St. Mary, St. Martin, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Terrebonne, Vermilion, Washington, West Baton Rouge, and West Feliciana

*Mississippi:* Adams, Amite, Attala, Choctaw, Claiborne, Clarke, Copiah, Covington, Forrest, Franklin, George, Greene, Hancock, Harrison, Hinds, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Jefferson Davis, Jones, Kemper, Lamar, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Leake, Lincoln, Lowndes, Madison, Marion, Neshoba, Newton, Noxubee, Oktibbeha, Pearl River, Perry, Pike, Rankin, Scott, Simpson, Smith, Stone, Walthall, Warren, Wayne, Wilkinson, Winston, and Yazoo.

##### Hurricane Rita

*Louisiana:* Acadia, Allen, Beauregard, Calcasieu, Cameron, Iberia, Jefferson Davis, Lafayette, Lafourche, St. Mary, Terrebonne, and Vermilion

*Texas:* Chambers, Galveston, Hardin, Jasper, Jefferson, Liberty, Newton, Orange, and Tyler

Dated: October 5, 2005.

##### John C. Dugan,

*Comptroller of the Currency.*

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

and "Individual and Public Assistance (Categories A and B)" in Alabama, Mississippi, and Texas counties and Louisiana parishes, as listed in the appendix to this order.

Dated: October 4, 2005.

##### Jennifer J. Johnson,

*Secretary of the Board.*

By order of the Board of Directors.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 4th day of October, 2005.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

##### Robert E. Feldman,

*Executive Secretary.*

Dated: October 6, 2005.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

##### John M. Reich,

*Director.*

By order of the National Credit Union Administration.

Dated: October 4, 2005.

##### Mary F. Rupp,

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 05–20583 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P; 4810-33-P; 6210-01-P; 6720-01-P; 7535-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM334; Special Conditions No. 25–305–SC]

#### Special Conditions: Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 Airplanes; High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. These modified airplanes will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The modification incorporates the installation of a Honeywell Primus Epic Control Display System for Retrofit (CDS-R) that performs critical functions. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of these systems from the effects of high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is October 4, 2005. Comments must be received on or before November 14, 2005.

<sup>3</sup> Those areas designated by FEMA as receiving "Individual and Public Assistance (all categories)"

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attention: Rules Docket (ANM-113), Docket No. NM334, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. All comments must be marked: Docket No. NM334.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Greg Dunn, FAA, Airplane and Flight Crew Interface Branch, ANM-111, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2799; facsimile (425) 227-1320.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment is impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay certification of the airplane and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, the FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning these special conditions. The docket is available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

If you want the FAA to acknowledge receipt of your comments on these special conditions, include with your comments a pre-addressed, stamped

postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it back to you.

**Background**

On January 5, 2005, Chippewa Aerospace, Inc., 1601 Executive Avenue, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, 29577, applied for a supplemental type certificate (STC) to modify Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes. This model is currently approved under Type Certificate No. A46EU. The Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes are transport category airplanes powered by three Allied Signal TFE-731-3-1C turbine engines with maximum takeoff weights of up to 40,780 pounds. These airplanes operate with a 2-pilot crew and can seat up to 19 passengers. The modification incorporates the installation of a Honeywell Primus Epic Control Display System for Retrofit (CDS-R). This system performs a critical function whose failure would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane. The integrated flightdeck display system that will be installed in this airplane has the potential to be vulnerable to high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane.

**Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. must show that the Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes, as changed, continue to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A46EU, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis." The certification basis for Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes includes applicable sections of 14 CFR part 25 as amended by Amendment 25-1 through Amendment 25-34, Special Conditions No. 25-86-EU-24, 14 CFR part 36 as amended by Amendment 36-1 through Amendment 36-9, and SFAR 27 as amended by Amendment 27-1. In addition, the certification basis includes certain special conditions, exemptions, equivalent levels of safety, or later amended sections of the applicable part 25 that are not relevant to these special conditions.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes because of

a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

Special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38 and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. apply at a later date for a STC to modify any other model included on Type Certificate No. A46EU to incorporate the same or similar novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101.

**Novel or Unusual Design Features**

As noted earlier, the Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. will incorporate a Honeywell Primus Epic CDS-R that will perform critical functions. This system may be vulnerable to high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane. The current airworthiness standards of part 25 do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of this equipment from the adverse effects of HIRF. Accordingly, this system is considered to be a novel or unusual design feature.

**Discussion**

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive avionics/electronics and electrical systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. These special conditions require that new avionics/electronics and electrical systems that perform critical functions be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

**High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)**

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, and the advent of space and satellite communications coupled with electronic command and control of the airplane, the immunity of critical avionics/electronics and electrical systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1 or 2 below:

1. A minimum threat of 100 volts rms (root-mean-square) per meter electric field strength from 10 KHz to 18 GHz.

a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.

b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.

2. A threat external to the airframe of the field strengths identified in the table below for the frequency ranges indicated. Both peak and average field strength components from the table are to be demonstrated.

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz .....	50	50
100 kHz–500 kHz .....	50	50
500 kHz–2 MHz .....	50	50
2 MHz–30 MHz .....	100	100
30 MHz–70 MHz .....	50	50
70 MHz–100 MHz .....	50	50
100 MHz–200 MHz .....	100	100
200 MHz–400 MHz .....	100	100
400 MHz–700 MHz .....	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz .....	700	100
1 GHz–2 GHz .....	2000	200
2 GHz–4 GHz .....	3000	200
4 GHz–6 GHz .....	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz .....	1000	200
8 GHz–12 GHz .....	3000	300
12 GHz–18 GHz .....	2000	200
18 GHz–40 GHz .....	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak of the root-mean-square (rms) over the complete modulation period.

The threat levels identified above are the result of an FAA review of existing studies on the subject of HIRF, in light of the ongoing work of the

Electromagnetic Effects Harmonization Working Group of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

**Applicability**

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. Should Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. apply at a later date for a STC to modify any other model included on Type Certificate No. A46EU to incorporate the same or similar novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101.

**Conclusion**

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment procedure in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. Because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25**

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

**The Special Conditions**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the supplemental type certification basis for the Dassault-Aviation Mystere-Falcon 50 airplanes modified by Chippewa Aerospace, Inc.

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of HIRF.* Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems

to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high-intensity radiated fields.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: *Critical Functions:* Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 4, 2005.

**Ali Bahrami,**

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05–20629 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

[Docket No. FAA–2005–20322; Airspace Docket No. 05–ANM–1]

RIN 2120–AA66

**Establishment and Revision of Area Navigation (RNAV) Routes; Western United States**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action establishes three area navigation (RNAV) routes and revises one existing RNAV route in the Western United States (U.S.) in support of the High Altitude Redesign (HAR) program. The FAA originally proposed to revise two area navigation routes as part of this action, but one revised route (Q–11) was deleted because the proposed change provided limited benefit. The FAA is taking this action to enhance safety and to improve the efficient use of the navigable airspace in the Western U.S.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, December 22, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ken McElroy, Airspace and Rules, Office of System Operations Airspace and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**History**

On May 25, 2005, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to establish three and revise two “Q” routes in the Western U.S. Interested