

3067 (January 19, 2005). The complainant named Realtek Semiconductor Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan, and Real Communications, Inc., of San Jose, CA (collectively, "Realtek"), as respondents. Subsequently, the complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add an additional respondent, BizLink Technology, Inc. ("BizLink").

On August 31, 2005, complainant Marvell moved to terminate the investigation in whole pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(c) and 19 CFR 210.21 based on a settlement agreement. On September 12, 2005, respondents Realtek and BizLink filed a response to the motion. Respondents do not oppose the motion to terminate. On the same day, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion. On September 16, 2005, Marvell filed a reply to respondents' and the IA's responses.

On September 19, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 21) granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 7, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-20571 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-636-638 (Second Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, France, and India

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, and India.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, and India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a

reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 4, 2005, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (70 FR 38207, July 1, 2005) was adequate, and that the respondent interested party group response with respect to France was adequate, but found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Brazil and India were inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning subject imports from Brazil and India to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to subject imports from France. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 11, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-20620 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-510; Enforcement Proceeding]

In the Matter of Systems for Detecting and Removing Viruses or Worms, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to a cease and desist order issued at the conclusion of the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the public version of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on June 3, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Trend Micro Inc. ("Trend Micro") of Cupertino, California. 69 FR 32044-32045 (June 8, 2004). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation into the United States, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof,

and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1–22 of the ‘600 patent. The notice of investigation named Fortinet, Inc. (“Fortinet”) of Sunnyvale, California as the sole respondent.

On May 9, 2005, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337 based on his findings that claims 4, 7, 8, and 11–15 of the ‘600 patent are not invalid or unenforceable, and are infringed by respondent’s products. The ALJ also found that claims 1 and 3 of the ‘600 patent are invalid as anticipated by prior art and that a domestic industry exists. He also issued his recommended determination on remedy and bonding.

On July 8, 2005, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ’s final ID on violation, thereby finding a violation of Section 337. 70 FR 40731 (July 14, 2005). The Commission also requested briefing on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.* Submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding were filed on July 18, 2005, by all parties. All parties filed response submissions on July 25, 2005. On August 8, 2005, the Commission terminated the investigation, and issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order covering respondent’s systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof, and products containing same covered by claims 4, 7, 8, and 11–15 of the ‘600 patent.

On September 13, 2005, complainant Trend Micro Inc. filed a complaint for enforcement proceedings of the Commission’s remedial orders. Trend Micro asserts that respondent Fortinet, and its distributors, have circumvented the cease and desist order by continuing to advertise, market, sell and offer for sale in the United States the imported infringing products and antivirus features of Fortinet’s infringing software.

The Commission, having examined the complaint seeking a formal enforcement proceeding, and having found that the complaint complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding contained in Commission rule 210.75, has determined to institute formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether Fortinet is in violation of the Commission’s cease and desist order issued in the investigation, and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate. The following entities are named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant Trend Micro, (2)

respondent Fortinet, and (3) a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.75 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 7, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–20572 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–860 (Review)]

Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the

Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On October 4, 2005, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (70 FR 38210, July 1, 2005) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 11, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–20622 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

October 7, 2005.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Ira Mills on 202–693–4122 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: Mills.Ira@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary