

Paragraph (c) requires a plan that does not include the minimum information specified in paragraph (b) to be approved by MSHA. For each size category, the Agency estimates that 20 percent of mine operators will choose to write a plan and send it to MSHA for approval.

Paragraph (d) requires mine operators to provide miners' representatives with a copy of the training plan. At mines where no miners' representative has been designated, a copy of the plan must be posted at the mine or a copy must be provided to each miner.

Paragraph (e) provides that within 2 weeks following receipt or posting of the training plan, miners or their representatives may submit written comments on the plan to mine operators, or to the Regional Manager, as appropriate. The burden hours and costs of this provision are not borne by mine operators, but by miners and their representatives.

Paragraph (g) requires that the miners' representative with a copy of the approved plan within one week after approval. At mines where no miners' representative has been designated, a copy of the plan must be posted at the mine or a copy must be provided to each miner.

Paragraph (h) allows mine operators, miners, and miners' representatives to appeal a decision of the Regional Manager in writing to the Director for Education Policy and Development. The Director would issue a decision on the appeal within 30 days after receipt of the appeal.

Paragraph (i) requires mine operators to make available at the mine site a copy of the current training plan for inspection by MSHA and for examination by miners and their representatives. If the training plan is not maintained at the mine site, mine operators must have the capability to provide the plan upon request by MSHA, miners, or their representatives.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.5 requires mine operators to provide each new miner with no less than 24 hours of training. Miners who have not received the full 24 hours of new miner training must work where an experienced miner can observe that the new miner is working in a safe manner.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.6 requires mine operators to provide each newly hired experienced miner with certain training before the miner begins work.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.7 requires, before a miner performs a task for which he or she has no experience, that the mine operator train the miner in the safety and health aspects and safe work procedures specific to that task. If

changes have occurred in a miner's regularly assigned task, the mine operator must provide the miner with training that addresses the changes.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.8 requires, at least every 12 months, that the mine operator provide each miner with no less than 8 hours of refresher training.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.9 requires the mine operators upon completion of each training program, to record and certify on MSHA Form 5000-23, or on a form that contains the required information, that the miner has completed the training. False certification that training was completed is punishable under § 110(a) and (f) of the Act.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.11 requires the mine operator to provide site-specific hazard training to non-miners, including the following persons: scientific workers; delivery workers and customers; occasional, short-term maintenance or service workers, or manufacturers' representatives; and outside vendors, visitors, office or staff personnel who do not work at the mine site on a continuing basis.

## II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection requirement related to the Training and Retraining of Miners Engaged in Shell Dredging or Employed at Sand, Gravel, Surface Stone, Surface Clay, Colloidal Phosphate, or Surface Limestone Mines. MSHA is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice, or viewed on the

Internet by accessing the MSHA home page (<http://www.msha.gov>) and then choosing "Rules and Regs" and "Federal Register Documents."

## III. Current Actions

USGS data show that domestic production of sand and gravel and crushed stone increased every year between 1991 and 1999, an indication of the continuing strong demand for construction aggregates in the United States. The number of hours worked at sand and gravel and crushed stone operations has been increasing steadily since 1991.

MSHA's objective in these requirements is to ensure that all miners receive the required training, which would result in a decrease in accidents, injuries, and fatalities. Therefore, MSHA is continuing this requirement under 30 CFR 46.3, .5, .6, .7, .8, .9, and .11.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0131.

*Title:* Part 46—Training and Retraining of Miners Engaged in Shell Dredging or Employed at Sand, Gravel, Surface Stone, Surface Clay, Colloidal Phosphate, or Surface Limestone Mines.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Cite/Reference:* 30 CFR 46.3, .5, .6, .7, .8, .9, .11.

*Total Respondents:* 5,477.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Total Responses:* 1,035,636.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 296,038 hours.

*Estimated Total Burden Cost:* \$488,995.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated in Arlington, Virginia, this fifth day of October 2005.

**David L. Meyer,**

*Director, Office of Administration and Management.*

[FR Doc. 05-20512 Filed 10-12-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-43-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Workshop

*Agency Holding Workshop:* National Science Board.

*Date and Time:* October 20, 2005 8:25 a.m.–5 p.m. (e.t.).

*Place:* Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Faculty Club, Alfred P. Sloan Building, 6th Floor, Dining 5 and

6, Memorial Drive and Wadsworth Street, Cambridge, MA.

*Status:* This workshop will be open to the public.

### Engineering Workforce Issues and Engineering Education: What Are the Linkages?

8:25 a.m. Welcome

Warren M. Washington,\* Chairman, National Science Board

8:30 a.m. Panel 1: Aspirations for Engineering Education

Opening Remarks—Daniel Hastings,\* National Science Board

National Academy of Engineering—The Engineer of 2020, Phases I & II  
G. Wayne Clough,\* National Science Board

Data, trends, and outlooks—John A.

Brighton,\* Iowa State University  
NSF activities in engineering—Arden L. Bement,\* National Science Foundation

9:10 a.m. Group Discussion among Workshop Participants

9:20 a.m. Questions and Comments from the Audience

9:30 a.m. Panel 2: Engineering Education—Present and Future  
Moderator: Daniel Hastings, National Science Board

Alice Agogino,\* University of California, Berkeley; Richard Miller,\* Olin College of Engineering; Linda Katehi,\* Purdue University; Eli Fromm,\* Drexel University; and Tom Magnanti,\* MIT.

10:30 a.m. Group Discussion among Workshop Participants

11:15 a.m. Questions and Comments from the Audience

11:30 a.m. Break

1 p.m. Panel 3: Engineering Employment—Present and Future  
Moderator: Louis L. Lanzerotti, National Science Board

Peter Pao,\* Raytheon Company; Ronil Hira,\* IEEE-USA; Jim Miller,\* Cisco Systems, Inc.; and Gloria Jeff,\* Michigan Department of Transportation.

2 p.m. Group Discussion among Workshop Participants

2:45 p.m. Questions and Comments from the Audience

3 p.m. Breakout Sessions to Address the Question: How do we ensure that the best and the brightest students pursue engineering studies and careers, and that their education quality, content, and teaching are of the highest caliber?  
Location: Dining 3, Dining 5, and Dining 6

Session Chairs: G. Wayne Clough, Louis L. Lanzerotti, Daniel Hastings

4:30 p.m. Report Out and Wrap-Up

Moderator: Daniel Hastings\*

\*Confirmed speaker

*For Further Information Contact:* Dr. Michael P. Crosby, Executive Officer and NSB Office Director, (703) 292-7000, <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb>.

Michael P. Crosby,

*Executive Officer and NSB Office Director.*

[FR Doc. 05-20554 Filed 10-12-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

#### Sunshine Act; President's Committee on the National Medal of Science; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

**NAME:** President's Committee on the National Medal of Science (1182).

**DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, October 25, 2005, 8:30 a.m.–1:30 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 555-II, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA.

**TYPE OF MEETING:** Closed.

**CONTACT PERSON:** Ms. Ann Noonan, Honorary Awards Specialist, Room 1220, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: 703-292-8096.

**PURPOSE OF MEETING:** To provide advice and recommendations to the President in the selection of the 2005 National Medal of Science recipients.

**AGENDA:** To review and evaluate nominations as part of the selection process for awards.

**REASON FOR CLOSING:** The nominations being reviewed include information of a personal nature where disclosure would constitute unwarranted invasions of personal privacy. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: October 11, 2005.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-20648 Filed 10-11-05; 3:47 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-35882]

#### Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment for Purdue Pharma, L.P.'s Facility in Cranbury, NJ

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Betsy Ullrich, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406, telephone (610) 337-5040, fax (610) 337-5269; or by e-mail: [exu@nrc.gov](mailto:exu@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuing a license amendment to Purdue Pharma, L.P. for Materials License No. 29-30698-01, to authorize release of its facility in Edgewater, New Jersey, for unrestricted use. NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this action in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR part 51. Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate.

##### II. EA Summary

The purpose of the proposed action is to authorize the release of two sections of the licensee's Cranbury, New Jersey, facility for unrestricted use. Purdue Pharma, L.P. was authorized by NRC from 2002 to use radioactive materials for research and development purposes at the site. On April 21, 2005, Purdue Pharma, L.P. requested that NRC release two sections of the facility for unrestricted use. Purdue Pharma, L.P. has conducted surveys of the two sections of the facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that the site meets the license termination criteria in subpart E of 10 CFR part 20 for unrestricted use.

The NRC staff has prepared an EA in support of the license amendment. The two sections of the facility were remediated and surveyed prior to the licensee requesting the license amendment. The NRC staff has reviewed the information and final status survey submitted by Purdue Pharma, L.P. Based on its review, the staff has determined that there are no additional remediation activities