

PROPOSED FY 2007 ANNUAL MATERIALS PLAN—Continued

Material	Unit	Quantity	Footnote
Bauxite, Metallurgical Jamaican	LDT	2,000,000	
Bauxite, Metallurgical Surinam	LDT	2,000	
Bauxite, Refractory	LCT	10,000	
Beryl Ore	ST	4,000	
Beryllium Metal	ST	40	
Beryllium Copper Master Alloy	ST	1,200	
Chromite, Chemical	SDT	5,000	
Chromite, Refractory	SDT	93,000	
Chromium, Ferro	ST	150,000	
Chromium, Metal	ST	1,000	
Cobalt	LB Co	2,000,000	1
Columbium Concentrates	LB Cb	560,000	
Columbium Metal Ingots	LB Cb	20,000	
Diamond Stone	ct	520,000	1
Fluorspar, Acid Grade	SDT	12,000	1
Fluorspar, Metallurgical Grade	SDT	60,000	1
Germanium	Kg	8,000	
Graphite	ST	60	1
Iodine	LB	1,000,000	1
Jewel Bearings	PC	82,051,558	1
Lead	ST	35,000	1
Manganese, Battery Grade, Natural	SDT	30,000	1
Manganese, Battery Grade, Synthetic	SDT	3,011	
Manganese, Chemical Grade	SDT	40,000	
Manganese, Ferro	ST	100,000	
Manganese, Metallurgical Grade	SDT	500,000	
Mica, All	LB	17,000	1
Platinum	Tr Oz	9,000	1
Platinum—Iridium	Tr Oz	6,000	
Quinidine	Av Oz	21,000	
Talc	ST	1,000	
Tantalum Carbide Powder	LB Ta	4,000	
Tantalum Metal Powder	LB Ta	10,000	1
Tantalum Minerals	LB Ta	500,000	
Tantalum Oxide	LB Ta	20,000	
Tin	MT	12,000	
Tungsten Ferro	LB W	300,000	1
Tungsten Metal Powder	LB W	300,000	
Tungsten Ores & Concentrates	LB W	8,000,000	
VTE, Chestnut	LT	120	1
VTE, Quebracho	LT	6,000	
VTE, Wattle	LT	300	1
Zinc	ST	50,000	

Notes:

1. Actual quantity will be limited to remaining inventory.

[FR Doc. 05–20044 Filed 10–5–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
(A–588–703)
Internal–Combustion Forklift Trucks
from Japan; Final Results of the
Expedited Sunset Review of the
Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2005, the
Department of Commerce (“the
Department”) initiated a sunset review
of the antidumping duty order on
internal–combustion forklift trucks from

Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the
Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the
Act”). The Department conducted an
expedited (120-day) sunset review of
this order. As a result of this sunset
review, the Department finds that
revocation of the antidumping duty
order would be likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of dumping.
The dumping margins are identified in
the *Final Results of Review* section of
this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 6, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David Layton or David Goldberger, AD/
CVD Operations, Office 1, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482–0371 and (202)
482–0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background:**

On March 1, 2005, the Department
published the notice of initiation of the
second sunset review of the
antidumping duty order covering
internal–combustion forklift trucks from
Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the
Act. *See Initiation of Five-year (Sunset)*
Reviews, 70 FR 9919 (March 1, 2005).
On May 16, 2005, the Department
extended the period of time for making
its determination by 90 days pursuant to
section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act. *See*
Extension of Time Limits for the Final
Results of Sunset Reviews of
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Orders, 70 FR 25808 (May 16, 2005).

The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate from NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc. (NMHG), a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations (Sunset Regulations). NMHG claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of the domestic like product in the United States.

We received complete substantive responses from NMHG within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain internal-combustion, industrial forklift trucks, with lifting capacity of 2,000 to 15,000 lbs. Imports of these products were classified under item numbers 692.4025, 692.4030, and 692.4070 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA) and are currently classifiable under Harmonized System (HTSUS) item numbers 8427.20.00, 8427.90.00, and 8431.20.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

The products covered by this order are further described as follows: Assembled, not assembled, and less than complete, finished and not finished, operator-riding forklift trucks powered by gasoline, propane, or diesel fuel internal-combustion engines of off-the-highway types used in factories, warehouses, or transportation terminals for short-distance transport, towing, or handling of articles. Less than complete forklift trucks are defined as imports which include a frame by itself or a frame assembled with one or more component parts. Component parts of the subject forklift trucks which are not assembled with a frame are not covered by this order.

Products not covered by this order are genuinely used forklifts. For the purposes of this antidumping duty order, we consider any forklift to be used if, at the time of entry into the United States, the importer can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that the forklift was manufactured in a calendar year at least three years prior to the year of entry into the United States. The importer must show documentation from industrial

publications that reconcile the serial number and year of manufacture of the forklift. If the calendar year of manufacture is at least three years prior to its year of entry into the United States, it will not be subject to the suspension of liquidation or any assessment of antidumping duties. For example, if a forklift is entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in June 1988 and if the importer demonstrates through industrial publications that the forklift was manufactured in or before calendar year 1985, that forklift will not be covered by this order.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Internal-Combustion Forklift Trucks from Japan Final Results (Decision Memo) from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 27, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/fjn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on internal-combustion forklift trucks from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Toyota Motor Corp	47.79
Nissan Motor Co., Ltd	51.33
Komatsu Forklift Co., Ltd	47.50
Sumitomo-Yale Co., Ltd	51.33
Toyo Umpanki Co., Ltd	51.33
Sanki Industrial Co., Ltd	13.65
Kasagi Forklift, Inc	56.81
All Others	39.45

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 27, 2005.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-5517 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-843, A-560-818 and A-570-901]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Lined Paper Products From India, Indonesia, and the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective October 6, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Hargett (India), Brandon Farlander (Indonesia), or Charles Riggie (People's Republic of China), AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4161, (202) 482-0182 and (202) 482-0650, respectively.

Initiation of Investigations

The Petitions

On September 9, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received Petitions ("the Petitions") concerning imports of certain lined paper products ("CLPP") from India, Indonesia, and the People's Republic of China ("PRC") filed in proper form by the Association of American School Paper Suppliers and its individual members (MeadWestvaco Corporation; Norcom, Inc.; and Top Flight, Inc.) ("Petitioner") on behalf of the domestic industry and workers