I. General Information

A. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit CBI to EPA through RME, regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to:
   a. Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, Federal Register date and page number).
   b. Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
   c. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
   d. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
   e. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
   f. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
   g. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
   h. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

EPA is proposing to approve the redesignation of the Lyons Township area to attainment of the PM–10 NAAQS. We are also proposing to approve the Lyons Township maintenance plan, submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency on August 2, 2005, as a revision to the PM–10 SIP for this area.

III. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information, see the Direct Final Rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA’s analysis are available electronically at RME or in hard copy at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 353–8328 before visiting the Region 5 office.)

Dated: September 13, 2005.

Bharat Mathur,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.
[FR Doc. 05–18956 Filed 9–21–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P
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Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; IL; Lake Calumet PM–10 Redesignation and Maintenance Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the State of Illinois’ request to redesignate to attainment the Lake Calumet (Southeast Chicago) area currently designated as nonattainment of the National Ambient Air Quality...
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Standard (NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM–10). We are also proposing to approve the Lake Calumet maintenance plan, submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) on August 2, 2005, and as supplemented on September 8, 2005, as a revision to the PM–10 State Implementation Plan (SIP) for this area.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If we do not receive any adverse comments in response to these direct final and proposed rules, we do not contemplate taking any further action in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, we will withdraw the direct final rule and respond to all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before October 24, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by Regional Material in EDOcket (RME) ID No. R05–OAR–2005–IL–0003 by one of the following methods:


Agency Web site: http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/

RME. EPA’s electronic public docket and comment system, is EPA’s preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select “quick search,” then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- E-mail: mooney_john@epa.gov
- Fax: (312) 866–5824.
- Mail: You may send written comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Hand delivery: Deliver your comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, 18th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

All documents are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to RME ID No. R05–OAR–2005–IL–0003. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through RME, regulations.gov, or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the federal regulations.gov Web site are “anonymous access” systems, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section II(B) of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document. Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at http://www.epa.gov/rmepub/. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Christos Panos, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 353–8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christos Panos, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (312) 353–8328

panos.christos@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit CBI to EPA through RME, regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket.

Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments.

When submitting comments, remember to:

a. Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, Federal Register date and page number).

b. Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

c. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

d. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

e. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

f. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

g. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

h. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

EPA is proposing to approve the redesignation of the Lake Calumet area as a revision to the PM NAAQS. Illinois has revised its state implementation plan (SIP) to redefine the Lake Calumet, Illinois as an attainment area for the PM NAAQS.

The redesignation of Lake Calumet to attainment is the result of a series of actions by EPA and the State of Illinois. EPA has proposed to withdraw a direct final rule that would have redesignated Lake Calumet as an attainment area for the PM NAAQS. The Lake Calumet area failed to meet the NAAQS for PM on August 1, 2005. Illinois submitted a redesignation request to EPA on August 8, 2005 and as supplemented on September 8, 2005.

EPA is proposing to approve the Lake Calumet maintenance plan, submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) on August 2, 2005, and as supplemented on September 8, 2005, as a revision to the PM–10 State Implementation Plan (SIP) for this area. EPA is also proposing to modify the Lake Calumet maintenance plan to reflect Designated Nonattainment Area (DNP) status.

Any parties interested in commenting on this proposal should do so at this time. Any adverse comments will be considered in the determination of whether to relax the conditions based on the Lake Calumet area redesignation.

The Lake Calumet area is located in Region 5, EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
to attainment of the PM–10 NAAQS. We are also proposing to approve the Lake Calumet maintenance plan, submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency on August 2, 2005, and as supplemented on September 8, 2005, as a revision to the PM–10 SIP for this area.

III. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information, see the Direct Final Rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA’s analysis are available electronically at RME or in hard copy at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 353–8328 before visiting the Region 5 office.)

Dated: September 12, 2005.

Bharat Mathur,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 05–18955 Filed 9–21–05; 8:45 am]
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Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Kentucky; Redesignation of the Christian County, Kentucky Portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area to Attainment for Ozone

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On March 21, 2005, the Commonwealth of Kentucky, through the Kentucky Division for Air Quality (KDCAQ), submitted a request for parallel processing and on May 20, 2005, submitted a final request: To redesignate the Christian County, Kentucky portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area to attainment for the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS); and for EPA approval of a Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision containing a 12-year maintenance plan for Christian County, Kentucky. The interstate Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area is comprised of two counties (i.e., Christian County, Kentucky and Montgomery County, Tennessee). EPA is proposing to approve the 8-hour ozone redesignation request for the Christian County, Kentucky portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. Additionally, EPA is proposing to approve the 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for Christian County, Kentucky. This proposed approval is based on EPA’s determination that the Commonwealth of Kentucky has demonstrated that Christian County, Kentucky has met the criteria for redesignation to attainment specified in the Clean Air Act (CAA), including the determination that the entire Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area has attained the 8-hour ozone standard. On June 29, 2005, the State of Tennessee submitted a redesignation request and maintenance plan for the Montgomery, Tennessee portion of this area for EPA parallel processing. In this action, EPA is also providing information on the status of its transportation conformity adequacy determination for the new motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) for the years 2004 and 2016 that are contained in the 12-year 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for Christian County, Kentucky. EPA is proposing to approve such MVEBs. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is taking action to approve the redesignation request and maintenance plan without prior proposal. A detailed rationale for the redesignation and other actions is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rulemaking will be withdrawn and public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 24, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Stacy DiFrank, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8060. Please follow the detailed instructions described in the direct final rule, ADDRESSES section which is published in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stacy DiFrank’s telephone number is (404) 562–9042. Ms. Stacy DiFrank can also be reached via electronic mail at difrank.stacy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information on the approval of Kentucky’s redesignation request and maintenance plan for the Christian County portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area, please see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: September 13, 2005.

A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting, Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 05–18960 Filed 9–21–05; 8:45 am]
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Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Tennessee; Redesignation of the Montgomery County, Tennessee Portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area to Attainment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On August 10, 2005, the State of Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), Air Pollution Control Division, submitted a final request: To redesignate the Montgomery County, Tennessee portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area to attainment for the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), and to approve a Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision containing a 12-year maintenance plan for Montgomery County, Tennessee. The interstate Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area is comprised of two counties (i.e., Christian County, Kentucky and Montgomery County, Tennessee). EPA is approving the 8-hour ozone redesignation request for the Montgomery County, Tennessee portion of the Clarksville-Hopkinsville 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. Additionally, EPA is approving the 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for Montgomery County, Tennessee. This approval is based on EPA’s determination that the