

| Document   | ADAMS<br>Accession No.     | Date     |
|--|----------------------------|----------|
| Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin Application for Alternate Concentration Limits, Page Revisions for Section 1.3.  | ML003721101                | 6/1/00   |
| U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Request for Additional Information—Shirley Basin Application for Alternate Concentration Limits.   | ML012050189                | 7/20/01  |
| Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin Application for Alternate Concentration Limits, Response to NRC's Request for Information.   | ML012530116                | 8/29/01  |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service response to NRC, listing the threatened, endangered, and candidate species that may exist in Carbon County, Wyoming.                                | ML012530146<br>ML022880471 | 9/26/02  |
| Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin Application for Alternate Concentration Limits, Revisions to Section 4—Compliance Monitoring.  | ML023310580                | 11/21/02 |
| Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Classification of Groundwater, Shirley Basin Facility .....   | ML030070703                | 1/3/03   |
| Pathfinder Mines Corporation, First Response to September 13, 2003, Request for Additional Information—ACL Application.  | ML033250352                | 11/14/03 |
| Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Response to September 13, 2003, Request for Additional Information—ACL Application.  | ML040350749                | 1/30/04  |
| Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Spring Creek Stream Assessment, Draft Work Plan for Biotic Survey, Shirley Basin Site, Wyoming.                                       | ML040920255                | 3/22/04  |
| Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Request for Additional Information, Pathfinder Mines, Alternate Concentration Limits Application, Shirley Basin Site, Wyoming.        | ML041410244                | 3/22/04  |
| U.S. NRC, to Pathfinder Mines Corporation—Request for Additional Information Concerning Alternate Concentration Limits Application for the Shirley Basin, Wyoming Site.            | ML043130569                | 11/1/04  |
| Spring Creek Evaluation for Pathfinder Mines Corporation, Shirley Basin Mine .....   | ML050280249                | 1/12/05  |
| 2004 Spring Creek Aquatic Study, Shirley Basin Mine Area, October 2004 .....   | ML050280249                | 1/12/05  |
| Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Response to NRC Request for Additional Information, November 1, 2004.   | ML050970395                | 3/30/05  |
| Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Biotic and Physical Survey of the Spring Creek Drainage System in the Vicinity of the Shirley Basin Mine Tailings Site, October 2004. | ML050970382                | 3/30/05  |
| Environmental Assessment for Amendment to Source Materials License SUA-442 for Ground Water Alternate Concentration Limits.  | ML052270503                | 7/31/05  |

If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov). These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 25th day of August 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Robert A. Nelson,**

Chief Uranium Processing Section, Fuel Cycle Facilities Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. E5-4786 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement

Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

#### *Title and Purpose of information collection:*

*Applicant Background Survey:* New information collection.

This information collection is needed to comply with Federal laws and regulations. 5 U.S.C. Chapter 72 § 7201 establishes an anti-discrimination policy. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 2000e-8 [§ 709], requires agencies to make and keep relevant records to identify unlawful employment practices. 29 CFR part 1602 allows agencies to collect data to determine if there is any adverse impact on employment practices such as recruitment or selection.

The RRB's Equal Employment Office needs to collect data to assess the impact of the agency's recruitment

processes on the hiring of minorities, women and people with disabilities. To obtain the information necessary to conduct a proper assessment, the RRB proposes the use of Form EEO-44, Applicant Background Survey, which will collect information about the racial or ethnic identity, gender and disability of applicants for RRB jobs from outside of the Federal government. Form EEO-44 will only be viewed by RRB Human Resources personnel and Equal Employment Opportunity officials. Summarized data from all external applicants for a position will be used to identify hiring barriers which limit or tends to limit employment opportunities for members of a particular sex, race, or ethnic background, or based on an individual's disability status.

The EEO-44 will contain a "Plain English" assurance that the information will be kept highly confidential and only shared with authorized RRB officials. This assurance will specifically state that the information obtained will be kept as a running tally which cannot be disaggregated into individual names, that information from the form is *not* entered into the RRB's personnel database, that the information will not be provided to selecting officials or any others who can affect the selection, or to the public, and that the forms will be destroyed after the position is filled. The information

maintained will not include the applicant's name or other identifier. Completion of one form will be

requested of each respondent. Completion is voluntary.

### Estimate of Annual Respondent Burden

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

| Form No.     | Annual responses | Time (min) | Burden (hrs) |
|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| EEO-44 ..... | 800              | 5          | 67           |

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, form, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to [Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV). Comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-17388 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available*

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

*Extension:*

Rule 17f-5; SEC File No. 270-259; OMB Control No. 3235-0269.  
Rule 17f-7; SEC File No. 270-470; OMB Control No. 3235-0529.  
Form N-17D-1; SEC File No. 270-231; OMB Control No. 3235-0229.  
Rule 19b-1; SEC File No. 270-312; OMB Control No. 3235-0354.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") requests for extension of the previously approved collections of information discussed below.

Rule 17f-5. Rule 17f-5 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. 80a] ("Investment Company Act" or "Act") governs the custody of the assets of registered management investment companies ("funds") with

custodians outside the United States.<sup>1</sup> Under rule 17f-5, the fund's board of directors must find that it is reasonable to rely on each delegate it selects to act as the fund's foreign custody manager. The delegate must agree to provide written reports that notify the board when the fund's assets are placed with a foreign custodian and when any material change occurs in the fund's custody arrangements. The delegate must agree to exercise reasonable care, prudence, and diligence, or to adhere to a higher standard of care. When the foreign custody manager selects an eligible foreign custodian, it must determine that the fund's assets will be subject to reasonable care if maintained with that custodian, and that the written contract that governs each custody arrangement will provide reasonable care for fund assets. The contract must contain certain specified provisions or others that provide at least equivalent care. The foreign custody manager must establish a system to monitor the contract and the appropriateness of continuing to maintain assets with the eligible foreign custodian.

The collection of information requirements in rule 17f-5 are intended to provide protection for fund assets maintained with a foreign bank custodian whose use is not authorized by statutory provisions that govern fund custody arrangements,<sup>2</sup> and that is not subject to regulation and examination by U.S. regulators. The requirement that the fund board determine that it is reasonable to rely on each delegate is intended to ensure that the board carefully considers each delegate's qualifications to perform its responsibilities. The requirement that the delegate provide written reports to the board is intended to ensure that the delegate notifies the board of important developments concerning custody arrangements so that the board may exercise effective oversight. The requirement that the delegate agree to exercise reasonable care is intended to

provide assurances to the fund that the delegate will properly perform its duties.

The requirements that the foreign custody manager determine that fund assets will be subject to reasonable care with the eligible foreign custodian and under the custody contract, and that each contract contain specified provisions or equivalent provisions, are intended to ensure that the delegate has evaluated the level of care provided by the custodian, that it weighs the adequacy of contractual provisions, and that fund assets are protected by minimal contractual safeguards. The requirement that the foreign custody manager establish a monitoring system is intended to ensure that the manager periodically reviews each custody arrangement and takes appropriate action if developing custody risks may threaten fund assets.

The Commission's staff estimates that each year, approximately 207 registrants<sup>3</sup> could be required to make an average of one response per registrant under rule 17f-5, requiring approximately 2 hours of director time per response, to make the necessary findings concerning foreign custody managers. The total annual burden associated with these requirements of the rule would be up to approximately 414 hours (207 registrants × 2 hours per registrant). The staff further estimates that during each year, approximately 15 global custodians<sup>4</sup> would be required to make an average of 4 responses per custodian concerning the use of foreign custodians other than depositories. The staff estimates that each response would take approximately 275 hours, requiring approximately 1100 total hours annually per custodian. The total annual burden associated with these requirements of the rule would be approximately 16,500 hours (15 global

<sup>3</sup> This figure is an estimate of the number of new funds each year, based on data reported by funds in 2004 on Form N-1A and Form N-2 [17 CFR 274.101]. In practice, not all funds will use foreign custody managers, and the actual figure may be smaller.

<sup>4</sup> This estimate is the same used in connection with the adoption of the amendments to rule 17f-5 and of rule 17f-7 in 1999, based on staff review of custody contracts and other research. The number of global custodians has not changed significantly since 1999.

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 270.17f-5. All references to rules 17f-5, 17f-7, 17d-1, or 19b-1 in this notice are to 17 CFR 270.17f-5, 17 CFR 270.17f-7, 17 CFR 270.17d-1, and 17 CFR 270.19b-1, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> See section 17(f) of the Investment Company Act [15 U.S.C. 80a-17(f)].