

APPENDIX—Continued

Petitions instituted between 06/27/2005 and 07/01/2005

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
57,457	TRW Automotive (Comp)	Cookeville, TN	06/28/2005	06/27/2005
57,458	Northern Technologies, Inc. (Comp)	Liberty Lake, WA	06/28/2005	06/28/2005
57,459	Cardinal Brands (Wkrs)	Washington, MO	06/28/2005	06/23/2005
57,460	Alandale Knitting Co., Inc. (Comp)	Troy, NC	06/28/2005	06/22/2005
57,461	Elkin Printing Inc. (Comp)	Ronda, NC	06/28/2005	06/01/2005
57,462	Kennametal Inc. (Comp)	Latrobe, PA	06/28/2005	06/24/2005
57,463	Union Underwear Company, Inc. (Wkrs)	Jamestown, KY	06/28/2005	06/17/2005
57,464	Delta Galil USA, Inc. (Comp)	Williamsport, PA	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,465	Premier Refractories (Comp)	Snow Shoe, PA	06/29/2005	06/22/2005
57,466	Varco—Pruder Buildings (Wkrs)	Pine Bluff, AR	06/29/2005	06/24/2005
57,467	Texas Instruments (State)	Tucson, AZ	06/29/2005	06/24/2005
57,468	Milwaukee Electric Tool Corp. (State)	Brookfield, WI	06/29/2005	06/24/2005
57,469A	NABCO, Inc. (Comp)	Marion, MI	06/29/2005	06/27/2005
57,469	NABCO, Inc. (Comp)	Kaleva, MI	06/29/2005	06/27/2005
57,470	Wilson Sporting Goods Co. (Comp)	Humboldt, TN	06/29/2005	06/27/2005
57,471	Rohm and Haas Powder Coatings (Wkrs)	Wytheville, VA	06/29/2005	06/27/2005
57,472	Kustom Fit (State)	South Gate, CA	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,473	Laneko (Comp)	Royersford, PA	06/29/2005	06/23/2005
57,474	Lund Boat Company (Comp)	New York Mills, MN	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,475	Onux Medical, Inc. (Comp)	Hampton, NH	06/29/2005	06/27/2005
57,476	Menasha Packaging Company (Comp)	Otsego, MI	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,477	Mount Vernon Mills, Inc. (Comp)	McCormick, SC	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,478	Thomasville Furniture Ind., Inc. (Comp)	Thomasville, NC	06/29/2005	06/28/2005
57,479	Robert Bosch Tool Corp. (Comp)	Estanollee, GA	06/29/2005	06/24/2005
57,480	Vishay Micro-Measurements (Comp)	Wendell, NC	06/29/2005	06/29/2005
57,481	Crown City Plating Co. (Wkrs)	El Monte, CA	06/29/2005	06/15/2005
57,482	Industrial Distribution Group (Comp)	West Jefferson, NC	06/30/2005	06/27/2005
57,483	Bronze Craft Corp. (Comp)	Nashua, NH	06/30/2005	06/12/2005
57,484	Oce Display Graphics Systems, Inc. (Comp)	San Jose, CA	06/30/2005	06/27/2005
57,485	Proman Mfg. Co. (Wkrs)	Boston, MA	06/30/2005	06/24/2005
57,486	Homecrest Industries (State)	Wadena, MN	06/30/2005	06/29/2005
57,487	Continental Tire North America (Comp)	Charlotte, NC	06/30/2005	06/23/2005
57,488	Plastic Oddities, Inc. (Wkrs)	Shelby, NC	06/30/2005	06/18/2005
57,489	APAC Customer Services (NPW)	Canton, IL	06/30/2005	06/21/2005
57,490	Teleflex Incorporated (Wkrs)	Van Wert, OH	06/30/2005	06/20/2005
57,491	Iberia Sugar Cooperative, Inc. (Comp)	New Iberia, LA	06/30/2005	06/20/2005
57,492	Gilmour Mfg. Co. (Comp)	Somerset, PA	07/01/2005	07/01/2005
57,493	Quallex (Wkrs)	Durham, NC	07/01/2005	06/26/2005
57,494	Toter, Inc. (Comp)	Sanger, CA	07/01/2005	06/14/2005
57,495	VMC—Volt (Wkrs)	Portland, OR	07/01/2005	06/29/2005
57,496	Hermitage Hospital Products (State)	Niantic, CT	07/01/2005	06/30/2005
57,497	FUN—TEES, Inc. (Comp)	Concord, NC	07/01/2005	06/29/2005
57,498	Custom Machine Works (State)	Fayetteville, AR	07/01/2005	07/01/2005
57,499	National Spinning Co., LLC (Comp)	Warsaw, NC	07/01/2005	06/30/2005
57,500	Amital Spinning Corp. (Comp)	Wallace, NC	07/01/2005	07/01/2005
57,501	Unifi, Inc. (Comp)	Reidsville, NC	07/01/2005	07/01/2005

[FR Doc. E5-4300 Filed 8-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,688]

Lands' End, a Subsidiary of Sears Roebuck and Company, Business Outfitters CAD Operations, Dodgeville, WI; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On June 6, 2005, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for

Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 20, 2005 (70 FR 35456).

The Department denied Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) to workers of Lands' End, a Subsidiary of Sears Roebuck and Company, Business Outfitters CAD Operations, Dodgeville, Wisconsin, because the workers' separations were not due to a shift of production overseas or increased imports of articles, but due to the subject company's decision to move computer assisted design operations abroad. The subject worker group is engaged in computerizing

embroidery and logo designs which are utilized by the production division of Lands' End, also located in Dodgeville, Wisconsin. Workers in the Business Outfitters CAD Operations are separately identifiable from those workers who produce embroidered goods (clothing, tote bags, etc.) at Lands' End, Dodgeville, Wisconsin.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners alleged that workers produce an article (digitized embroidery designs), that digitized embroidery design production shifted overseas, and that imports of digitized embroidery design increased.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department contacted the petitioners and Lands' End officials

to better understand the operations of the subject worker group and to obtain information which will enable the Department to address the petitioners' allegations.

According to the petitioners, the workers use a computer program to convert customers' logos from a two-dimensional form to one which is readable by the embroidery machines at the Dodgeville, Wisconsin facility. Petitioners also allege that foreign companies are digitizing the design work, using a remote file transfer protocol site and the Internet to receive the logos from Lands' End and to send digitized logos back to Lands' End. A company official confirmed that the electronic digitizing of embroidery logos shifted overseas and that sample stitching and the production of embroidered goods remain at the Dodgeville, Wisconsin facility.

Based on this information, the Department has determined that the subject workers do not produce an article. As such, the second and third allegations, the shift of digitized design production abroad and the increased imports of digitized designs, are rendered moot.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department also inquired into Lands' End's reasons for shifting digitization of the designs abroad and was informed that the subject company wanted to utilize the time difference between the countries in order to more quickly satisfy customers' demands for embroidered goods. By doing so, the subject company can have logos digitized "overnight" and be ready to be used when the American production workers return to work the next day.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 28th day of July 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4292 Filed 8-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,504]

Menasha Holding Company, Menasha Packaging Company, LLC, Danville, VA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on July 5, 2005, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Menasha Holding Company, Menasha Packaging Company, LLC, Danville, Virginia.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of July 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4301 Filed 8-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,427]

Pomeroy Computer Resources, Macon, Georgia; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 21, 2005, in response to petition filed on behalf of workers at Pomeroy Computer Resources, Macon, Georgia.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of July, 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4299 Filed 8-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,270]

TRW Automotive, Occupant Safety Systems Division, El Paso Warehouse, El Paso, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 1, 2005, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at TRW Automotive, Occupant Safety Systems Division, El Paso Warehouse, El Paso, Texas.

The company has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of July 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4297 Filed 8-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,946]

UITS Support Center, A Division Of NBC Universal, Universal City, CA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 20, 2005, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of UITS Support Center, a division of NBC Universal, Universal City, California, was signed on April 21, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 16, 2005 (70 FR 25859).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or