

on or after July 27, 2004, the effective date of revocation of these orders. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year sunset review and notice are in accordance with section 751(d)(2) and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 28, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4174 Filed 8-3-05; 8:45 am]

**Billing Code: 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Notice To Establish an Advisory Committee on Travel and Tourism

*Summary:* In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 2, and the General Services Administration (GSA) rule of Advisory Committee Management, 41 CFR part 102-3 and after consultation with GSA, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the establishment of the U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board is in the public interest, in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department by law. This is a renaming of the original board established in 2003 under the name U.S. Travel and Tourism Promotion Advisory Board pursuant to the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2003, section 210 (Public Law 108-7). This also expands the duties of the Board to include the activities that are authorized under 15 U.S.C. 1512, set forth below.

Under the newly established U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, the Secretary of Commerce shall in consultation with the Board design, develop and implement an international promotional campaign, which seeks to encourage foreign individuals to travel to the United States for the purposes of engaging in tourism related activities. Also, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1512 which provides the Department of Commerce the province and duty to foster, promote and develop foreign and domestic commerce, the Board shall advise the Secretary of Commerce on the development, creation and implementation of a national tourism strategy and shall provide a means of

ensuring regular contact between the government and the travel and tourism sector. The Board shall advise the Secretary on government policies and programs that affect the United States travel and tourism industry and provide a forum for discussing and proposing solutions to industry related problems.

The U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board will consist of no more than 15 members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce to assure a balanced representation among the travel and tourism industry sector. The U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board will function solely as an advisory body, and in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Its charter will be filed under the Act, fifteen days from the date of publication of this notice.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding the establishment of this committee to Lindsey Dickinson, Director, Office of Advisory Committees, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4043, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: July 29, 2005.

**Lindsey Dickinson,**

*Director, Office of Advisory Committees.*

[FR Doc. E5-4142 Filed 8-3-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-408-046]

#### Sugar from the European Community; Final Results of the Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") finding on sugar from the European Community ("the Community") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Notice of Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review*, 69 FR 53408 (September 1, 2004). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and the Community, the Department conducted a full sunset review of the countervailing duty finding on sugar from the Community. As a result of this sunset review, the

Department finds that revocation of the CVD finding would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 4, 2005.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tipten Troidl, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1767.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD finding on sugar from the Community. See *Notice of Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review*, 69 FR 53408 (September 1, 2004). On March 25, 2005, the Department published the preliminary results of the full sunset review of the CVD finding on sugar from the Community. See *Sugar From the European Community; Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Finding*, 70 FR 15293 (March 25, 2005) ("Preliminary Sunset Results"), and the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Five-year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Finding on Sugar from the European Community; Preliminary Results, dated March 25, 2005 ("Preliminary Results Decision Memorandum").<sup>1</sup> In our *Preliminary Sunset Results*, we found that benefits from the export restitution payment program would likely continue or recur were the order revoked.

On May 9, 2005, the Department received a case brief from the United States Beet Sugar Association, the American Sugar Refiners' Association, the American Sugar Cane League, the Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida, the Florida Sugar Cane League, Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers, Inc., Hawaii Sugar Farmers, and the American Sugarbeet Growers Association, (collectively "domestic interested parties"). The Department did not receive a case or rebuttal brief from the Community.

##### Scope of the Finding

Imports covered by this countervailing duty finding are shipments of sugar from the European Community. During the investigation,

<sup>1</sup> For a full discussion of the history of this finding prior to the *Preliminary Sunset Results*, see the March 25, 2005, Preliminary Results Decision Memorandum.

such merchandise was classifiable under item numbers 155.2025, 155.2045, 155.3000 and 183.05 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated ("TSUSA"). This merchandise is currently classifiable under item numbers 1701.11.05, 1701.11.10, 1701.11.20, 1701.11.50, 1701.12.05, 1701.12.10, 1701.12.50, 1701.91.05, 1701.91.10, 1701.91.30, 1701.99.05, 1701.99.1090, 1701.99.5090, 1702.90.05, 1702.90.10, 1702.90.20, 2106.90.42, 2106.90.44, 2106.90.46 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). Specialty sugars are exempt from the scope of this finding. On December 7, 1987, two interested parties, the United States Beet Sugar Association and the United States Cane Sugar Refiners' Association, requested a scope review of blends of sugar and dextrose, a corn-derived sweetener, containing at least 65 percent sugar. The merchandise is currently imported under HTS item number 1701.99.00. On June 21, 1990, the Department issued a final scope clarification memorandum, which determined that such blends are within the scope of the finding, and that imports of such blends from the Community are subject to the corresponding countervailing duty.

#### Analysis of Comments Received:

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated July 28, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review

The Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty finding on sugar from the Community would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. The net countervailable subsidy likely

to prevail if the finding were revoked is 21.73 cents per pound.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 28, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4189 Filed 8-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 072205I]

##### Environmental Impact Statement for the Santa Clara Valley Water District Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat Collaborative Effort

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to conduct public scoping and prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) proposes to serve as the lead agency under NEPA in the preparation of a joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) for the following project/proposed action: Adoption and implementation of the "Settlement Agreement Regarding Water Rights of the Santa Clara Valley Water District On Coyote, Guadalupe, and Stevens Creeks," (Settlement Agreement), the State Water Resources Control Board's approval of modifications of the Santa Clara Valley Water District's (District) appropriative water rights to allow for implementation

of the Settlement Agreement with supporting findings and implementation of those modifications, the District's adoption of a Conservation Plan (CP), NMFS's issuance of an incidental take permit (ITP) to the District, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) issuance of an ITP to the District, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) issuance of a permit to the District, and the California Department of Fish and Game's issuance of an incidental take permit or consistency determination to the District. The project/proposed action is also known as "FAHCE" (Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat Collaborative Effort). The NMFS is the lead agency for this EIS, and the USFWS and the Corps are cooperating agencies. The District, a local public water agency, is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). A similar notice is being published by the District in accordance with CEQA. Comments and participation in the scoping process are encouraged.

**DATES:** Written and oral comments may be submitted at a public scoping meeting scheduled for Tuesday, August 9, 2005, from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Santa Clara Valley Water District Board Room located at 5750 Almaden Expressway, San Jose, CA 95118. In addition, written comments may be submitted on or before September 15, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Address comments and requests for information related to preparation of the EIS/EIR, or requests to be added to the mailing list for this project/proposed action, to Gary Stern, NMFS, 777 Sonoma Avenue, Room 325, Santa Rosa, CA 95404; facsimile (707)578-3435. Comments may be submitted by e-mail to the following address: [Gary.Stern@noaa.gov](mailto:Gary.Stern@noaa.gov). In the subject line of the e-mail, include the document identifier: FAHCE - EIS/EIR. Comments and materials received will be available to public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above addresses.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gary Stern, San Francisco Bay Region Team Leader at NMFS, Santa Rosa Area Office, (707) 575-6060.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The NEPA requires Federal agencies to conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may affect the human environment. The NMFS expects to take action on an Endangered Species Act (ESA) section 10(a)(1)(B) permit application anticipated from the District. Therefore, the NMFS is seeking public input on the scope of the