

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation is instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain baseband processor chips or chipsets, transmitter or receiver (radio) chips, power control chips, or products containing same, including cellular telephone handsets, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, 7, 8, 13, 14, and 16–19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,374,311, claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 14, and 17–24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,714,983, claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,682,379, claims 8–11 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,359,872, and claims 33, 35, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,583,675, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is Broadcom Corporation, 16215 Alton Parkway, Irvine, California 92618.

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337 and upon which the complaint is to be served: Qualcomm Incorporated, 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, CA 92121.

(c) Karin J. Norton, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such response will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting the response to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the

allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 16, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–12197 Filed 6–20–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From China and Taiwan (Investigations Nos. 731–TA–298 and 299 (Second Review)); Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From Korea and Taiwan (Investigations Nos. 701–TA–267 and 268 and 731–TA–304 and 305 (Second Review))

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of expedited five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from China and Taiwan, and the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from China and Taiwan, and the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 6, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan Spellacy (202–205–3190), Office

of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background—On June 6, 2005, the Commission determined that the domestic interested parties group responses to its notice of institution (70 FR 9974, March 1, 2005) of the subject five-year reviews were adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.^{1 2} Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on July 1, 2005, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson concluded that the domestic group responses for these reviews were adequate and the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted a full review.

³ The Commission has found the responses submitted by Columbian Home Products, LLC and the Stainless Steel Cookware Committee to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted) (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

July 8, 2005 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by July 8, 2005. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 Fed. Reg. 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 Fed. Reg. 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determinations.—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: June 16, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-12196 Filed 6-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 15, 2005.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public

information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Applicant Background Questionnaire.

OMB Number: 1225-0072.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 3,000.

Estimated Annual Responses: 3,000.

Average Response Time: 3 minutes.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 150.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) provides a wide range of services to a diverse American workforce. As part of its obligation to

provide equal employment opportunities, DOL is charged with ensuring that qualified individuals in groups that have historically been underrepresented in various employments are included in applicant pools for Departmental positions. See 5 U.S.C. 7201(c); 29 U.S.C. 791; 5 CFR 720.204. To achieve this goal, DOL employment offices have targeted recruitment outreach to a variety of sources. Included in these sources are educational institutions which historically serve a high concentration of minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. Outreach efforts also extend to professional organizations, newspapers and magazines, as well as participation in career fairs and conferences, many of which reach high concentrations of historically underrepresented groups.

Without the information from this collection, DOL does not have the ability to evaluate the effectiveness of any of these targeted recruiting strategies because collection of racial and ethnic information only would occur at the point of hiring. DOL needs to collect data on the pools of applicants which result from the various targeted recruiting strategies listed above. With the information from this collection, DOL can adjust and redirect its targeted recruitment to ensure that the applicant pools contain candidates from historically underrepresented groups.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-12192 Filed 6-20-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-23-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Request for Certification of Compliance—Rural Industrialization Loan and Grant Program

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, DOL.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration is issuing this notice to announce the receipt of a "Certification of Non-Relocation and Market and Capacity Information Report" (Form 4279-2) for the following:

Applicant/Location: Shelby County Cookers, LLC, Harlan, Iowa.

Principal Product: The loan, guarantee, or grant applicant has plans to complete the construction and upgrade of a meat processing plant for