

and 55,863) applicable to the petitioning group of workers on March 29, 2005, January 21, 2005 and November 18, 2004, respectively. No new information or change in circumstances is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determinations. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 20th day of May 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-2950 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,969]

#### ECSO Integrated Manufacturing, a Division of ESCO Corporation, Tempe, AZ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 14, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at ESCO Integrated Manufacturing, a division of ESCO Corporation, Tempe, Arizona.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 25th day of May 2005.

**Richard Church,**  
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-2948 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,479]

#### Hoffmaster, Subsidiary of Solo Cup Company, Green Bay, WI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of May 5, 2005, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment

Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on April 1, 2005 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22710).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Hoffmaster, Subsidiary of Solo Company, Green Bay, Wisconsin engaged in production of napkins, placemats, and table covers was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining customers. The survey of customers was irrelevant in this case as the investigation revealed that sales of napkins, placemats and tablecovers increased at the subject firm during the relevant time period. Nevertheless, the survey was conducted in the initial investigation. The survey revealed an insignificant amount of imports. The subject firm did not import napkins, placemats and tablecovers in the relevant period, nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleges that the layoffs at the subject firm are attributable to a shift in production to a foreign country. Specifically, the petitioner mentions several locations where the subject firm has plants and which might be foreign locations, such as El Cajon, Glen Falls, Goshen and St. Albans.

A company official was contacted regarding the above allegations. The company official confirmed what was revealed during the initial investigation. In particular, the official stated that all the products which were produced at the subject facility are now produced at other domestic facilities. He further clarified that all locations mentioned by the petitioner are domestic facilities—El Cajon in California, Glen Falls in New York, Goshen in Indiana and St. Albans in Vermont.

## Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of May, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-2946 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,009]

#### New Age Intimates, Inc., Long Island City, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 19, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at New Age Intimates, Inc., Long Island City, New York.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of May, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-2949 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,663]

#### Sohnen Enterprises, Inc., Santa Fe Springs, CA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sohnens Enterprises, Inc., Santa Fe Springs, California. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's

determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-56,663; Sohnen Enterprises, Inc.  
Santa Fe Springs, California (May 26,  
2005)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of May 2005.

**Timothy Sullivan,**  
*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2947 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,212]

#### TRW Automotive El Paso, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 19, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at TRW Automotive, El Paso, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of May 2005.

**Richard Church,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2953 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,952]

#### VF Intimates, LP Johnstown, PA; Notice of Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on Remand

The U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand for further investigation in *Former Employees of VF Intimates, Inc. v. Elaine Chao, U.S. Secretary of Labor*, No. 05-00052, on April 4, 2005.

Workers of VF Intimates, LP, Johnstown, Pennsylvania were certified as eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) on June 15, 2004. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16847). An Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker

Adjustment Assistance for workers of the subject company was issued on July 21, 2004 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2004 (69 FR 47184).

By letter dated September 29, 2004, a company official requested that the Department consider certification for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for workers and former workers covered by petition TA-W-54,952. The request was dismissed because the application for ATAA was not filed with the TAA petition, as required by the Secretary's interpretation of section 246 of the Trade Act, Training and Employment Guidance Letter No. 2-03 (August 6, 2003). 69 FR 60904, October 13, 2004.

By letter dated January 17, 2005, the company official appealed to the USCIT, asserting that the Department failed to meet certain administrative obligations by not conducting an ATAA investigation solely because the request for ATAA was not marked. Specifically, the company official alleges that the Department processed an incomplete petition, erroneously assumed that ATAA was not requested when the question was unmarked, and failed to provide petitioners with assistance and adequate opportunity to request ATAA because the requirements for applying are ambiguous.

Upon further consideration, the Department has determined that it is appropriate to investigate the workers' eligibility for ATAA benefits, given the circumstances as presented, in order to effectuate the purposes of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. The group eligibility certification criteria for the ATAA program under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, established that the Department must determine whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older, whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable, and whether the competitive conditions within the workers' industry are adverse.

The remand investigation revealed that at least five percent of the workforce at the subject firm was at least fifty years of age as of the date of the petition (May 18, 2004), the workers possess skills that are not easily transferable, and competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the facts, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the

provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers at VF Intimates, LP, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, who became "totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 6, 2004 through June 15, 2006, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of May 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-2944 Filed 6-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

[MCC FR 05-07]

#### Notice of the June 13, 2005 Millennium Challenge Corporation Board of Directors Meeting; Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Millennium Challenge Corporation.

**TIME AND DATE:** 11 a.m.—12:30 p.m., Monday, June 13, 2005.

**PLACE:** Department of State, 2201 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Information on the meeting may be obtained from Joyce B. Lanham via e-mail at *Board@mcc.gov* or by telephone at (202) 521-3600.

**STATUS:** Meeting will be closed to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Millennium Challenge Corporation ("MCC") will hold a meeting of the Board to discuss and consider one or more proposed Millennium Challenge Account ("MCA") Compacts under the provisions of Section 605(a) of the Millennium Challenge Act, codified at 22 U.S.C. 7706(a). The meeting is expected to involve the consideration of classified information and will, subject to approval of the Board, be closed to the public.

Dated: June 6, 2005.

**Jon A. Dyck,**  
*Vice President and General Counsel, Millennium Challenge Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 05-11492 Filed 6-6-05; 4:50 pm]

BILLING CODE 9210-01-P