

needs, goals, objectives and restoration priorities, and the means that will be used to measure such progress.

#### C. Priority Elements

Section 104(c)(4) of the Act directs the Secretary to give priority consideration to a project that merits selection based on the above criteria if it:

(1) Occurs within a watershed where there is a program being implemented that addresses sources of pollution and other activities that otherwise would adversely affect the restored habitat; or

(2) Includes pilot testing or demonstration of an innovative technology having the potential to achieve better restoration results than other technologies in current practice, or comparable results at lower cost in terms of energy, economics, or environmental impacts.

The Council will also consider these priority elements in ranking proposals.

#### D. Other Factors

In addition to considering the composite ratings developed in the evaluation process and the priority elements listed in C. above, the Council will consider other factors when preparing its prioritized list for the Secretary's use. These factors include (but may not be limited to) the following:

(1) Readiness of the project for implementation. Among the factors to be considered when evaluating readiness are the steps that must be taken prior to project implementation, potential delays to project implementation, and the status of real estate acquisition.

(2) Balance between large and small projects, as defined in the Estuary Habitat Restoration Strategy.

(3) Geographic distribution of the projects.

#### VIII. Project Selection and Notification

The Secretary will select projects for funding from the Council's prioritized list of recommended projects after considering the criteria contained in section 104(c) of the Act, availability of funds and any reasonable additional factors. It is expected that the Secretary will select proposals for implementation approximately 100 days after the close of this solicitation or 30 days after receiving the list from the Council, whichever is later. The non-Federal sponsor of each proposal will be notified of its status at the conclusion of the selection process. Staff from the appropriate Corps Districts will work with the non-Federal sponsor of each selected project to develop the cost-

sharing agreements and schedules for project implementation.

#### IX. Application Process

Proposal application forms are available at [http://www.usace.army.mil/civilworks/cecwp/estuary\\_act/](http://www.usace.army.mil/civilworks/cecwp/estuary_act/) or by contacting Ms. Ellen Cummings, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC 20314-1000, (202) 761-4750, e-mail:

[Ellen.M.Cummings@usace.army.mil](mailto:Ellen.M.Cummings@usace.army.mil); or Ms. Cynthia Garman-Squier, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), Washington, DC (703) 695-6791, e-mail: [Cynthia.Garman-Squier@hqda.army.mil](mailto:Cynthia.Garman-Squier@hqda.army.mil). The application form has been approved by OMB in compliance with the Paper Work Reduction Act and is OMB No. 0710-0014 with an expiration date of 04/30/2008. Electronic submissions are preferred and should be sent to [estuary.restoration@usace.army.mil](mailto:estuary.restoration@usace.army.mil). Questions may also be sent to this e-mail address. Hard copy submissions may be sent or delivered to HQUSACE, ATTN: CECW-PC, 7701 Telegraph Road #3D72, Alexandria, VA 22315-3860. The narrative portion of a nomination should be no more than twelve double-spaced pages, using a 10 or 12-point font. Paper copies should be printed on one side only of an 8.5 in. x 11 in. page and not bound. A PC-compatible floppy disk or CD-ROM in either Microsoft Word or WordPerfect format may accompany the paper copy. Nominations for multiple projects submitted by the same applicant must be submitted in separate e-mail messages and/or envelopes.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-11358 Filed 6-7-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Department of the Navy

##### Meeting of the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) Executive Panel; Correction

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of closed meeting; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy published a document in the **Federal Register** of May 25, 2005, announcing a closed meeting of the CNO Executive Panel. The document contained incorrect date and time.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lieutenant Commander Christopher Corgnati, CNO Executive Panel, 4825

Mark Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22311, (703) 681-4909.

#### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of May 25, 2005, in FR Doc. 05-10399, on page 30090, in the first column, correct the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 15, 2005, from 9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.

Dated: June 3, 2005.

**S. K. Melancon,**

*Paralegal Specialist, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-11446 Filed 6-7-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 8, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Carolyn Lovett, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information