Expiration Date: Three years from date of issuance.

Abstract: Nutrition has been shown to have important effects on obesity, child development, learning, lifelong health, and productivity. Poor food behaviors have been linked to four leading causes of death, reduced quality of life, increased cost of living, and premature death. While these food-related problems affect many Americans, there is a lack of reliable, valid questionnaires or other short, practical population measures to assess such important information as: nutrition- and food-related knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors; food assistance program participation and its determinants; and program satisfaction.

Development of new questionnaires and related measures and assessment of their reliability and validity will require formative research. Formative research methods such as cognitive interviewing and pretesting will assist ERS to develop questionnaires and related measures that are understandable and yield reliable, valid information on food behavior and its social and economic determinants.

Findings from all subsequent data collections will be included in summary reports submitted to OMB. The reports will describe the data collection methods used in the formative research, findings, conclusions, implications, and recommendations for the development of reliable, valid questionnaires and related measures. There will be no attempt to generalize the findings to be nationally representative.

Methods of Collection: The data will be collected using a combination of methodologies appropriate to each formative research activity. These methodologies could include: Cognitive interviews, Pretest/Field test, and Focus groups.

Estimated Number of Respondents and Total Annual Burden on Respondents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of data collection</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Average burden response (minutes)</th>
<th>Total hours of burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Interviews</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretesting/Field Test</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Groups</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Comments are invited on:
(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
(b) the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments on this Notice will become a matter of public record and be summarized in the request for OMB approval.

Dated: May 24, 2005.

Susan Offutt,
Administrator, Economic Research Service.
[FR Doc. 05–11374 Filed 6–7–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Forest Service
Long Rail Project: Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest; Florence and Forest Counties, WI

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The USDA Forest Service, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Eagle River-Florence Ranger District intends to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to disclose the environmental consequences of a vegetation and transportation management project. In the EIS the USDA Forest Service will address the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed land management activities and corresponding alternatives.

The Long Rail Project Area is approximately 74,800 acres in size and is located in Forest and Florence Counties, 17 miles west of Florence, Wisconsin. National Forest System lands comprise about 54,300 acres within the project area boundary. The approximate legal description for the area is as follows: T38N R13E Sections 1, 12, 13; T38N R14E Sections 1–11, 18; T38N R15E Sections 1–12, 14–17, 20–22; T39N R13E Sections 1, 12–13, 24–25, 36; T39N R14E Sections 1–36; T39N R15E Sections 4–7, 18–22, 25–36; T40N R13E Sections 25, 36; T40N R14E Sections 29–32, 24–26, 35–36; T40N R15E Sections 2–4, 7–11, 14–23, 25–33; T41N R15E Sections 23–27, 26–27, 34–35 see the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for information on how to send electronic comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Debra Kidd, Eagle River-Florence Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, USDA Forest Service: telephone 715–479–2827. See above under ADDRESSES. Copies of documents may be requested at the same address. Another means of obtaining information is to visit the Forest Web page at http://www.fs.fed.us/r9/cnnf/—click on “Natural Resources,” then “Long Rail Project.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose and Need for Action—The overall purpose of the Long Rail Project is to implement land management activities consistent with the direction in the 2004 Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (2004 Forest Plan) and to respond to the specific needs that were identified during opportunity area and transportation system analyses. One purpose for this proposal is to maintain or restore upland forest
for Regional Forester

The environment.

missions, and safekeeping

The second purpose for this proposal is to provide an efficient road system that meets the long-term transportation needs. This would be accomplished by addressing the need to reduce average open and total road densities by decommissioning 46.8 miles of open road, closing and maintaining 1.5 miles of road, and converting 3 miles of road to sections of connecting snowmobile trails.

Proposed Action—The following actions have been identified to address the above needs. (1) Increase the amount of long-lived conifer adjacent to Class I and II trout streams by removing the overstory on 40 acres of balsam fir and aspen to release the white pine understory, and underplanting white pine; (2) promote white spruce, balsam fir and jack pine understories on 168 acres by removing the overstories to maintain short-needed conifer habitat for Regional Forester’s Sensitive Species; (3) maintain northern hardwood continuity by converting 122 acres of aspen, balsam fir and paper birch to northern hardwood through the use of overstory removal; (4) maintain current forest composition within the Forest Plan objective ranges by shelterwood harvesting 102 acres of white pine and 15 acres of paper birch, and clearcutting 586 acres of aspen; (5) maintain desired levels and improve the quality of permanent openings on 549 acres by hand brushing, mowing, burning, and planting fruit trees and shrubs along northern edges; (6) increase age and size diversity of 6,250 acres of northern hardwood through selection harvest; (7) reduce the density of red pine, white spruce, and red oak stands by lowering stocking levels to the levels described in the Forest Plan by thinning 1,268 acres of red pine, white spruce, and red oak; (8) improve aspen age class distribution by regenerating 586 acres of aspen (same acres described in item 4) in different age classes, converting 243 acres of mature aspen to other forest types discussed under items 1–3; (9) removing 40 acres of balsam fir/aspen to aspen; (9) reduce open and total road densities by decommissioning 46.8 miles of open road, closing and maintaining 1.5 miles of road, and converting 3 miles of road to sections of connecting snowmobile trails.

Nature of Decision To Be Made—The decision will be limited to answering the following questions based on the environmental analysis: (1) What actions would be used to address the purpose and need; (2) where and when will these actions occur; and (3) what mitigation measures and monitoring requirements would be required.

Scoping Process—The Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest will scope for information by contacting persons and organizations on the District’s mailing list, by publishing notices in the local newspapers, and by holding at least one public meeting in the Long Rail Project area. The present solicitation is for comments on this Notice of Intent and scoping materials available elsewhere, such as on the Forest’s Web page.

Electronic Access and Filing

When making a scoping request, it is important to provide reviewers of draft environmental impact statements and other documents with an opportunity to provide information and views on this plan. Therefore, information is available electronically on the Forest Web page: http://www.fs.fed.us/r10/cmnp/—click on “Natural Resources” and then on “Long Rail Project.” Send electronic comments to: comments-eastern-chequamegon-nicolet-eagle@fs.fed.us. When submitting electronic comments, please reference the Long Rail Project on the subject line. In addition, include your name and mailing address.

Comment Requested—This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments in response to this solicitation for information should focus on (1) the proposal described in numbers 1–9 of the proposed action; (2) possible alternatives for addressing issues associated with the proposal, and (3) any possible impacts associated with the proposal based on the individual’s civil rights (race, color, national origin, age, religion, gender, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status). We are especially interested in information that might identify a specific undesired result of implementing the proposed action. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR parts 215 and 217.

Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency’s decision regarding the request for confidentiality and, should the request be denied, return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within 90 days.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review—A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comments during the comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register. The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer’s position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritage, Inc. v. Harris, 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific
as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

[Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.]


Anne F. Archie,
Forest Supervisor, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest.

Editorial Note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on June 3, 2005.

[FR Doc. 05–11364 Filed 6–7–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Upper Strawberry Grazing Allotments Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 40 Code of Federal Regulations 1500–1508 Council on Environmental Quality Regulations, and, the Heber Ranger District of the Uinta National Forest will be preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to analyze authorization of livestock grazing by updating allotment management plans (AMPs) on National Forest System lands on three grazing allotments.

DATES: To ensure full consideration, comments on the scope of the EIS must be post-marked or otherwise delivered within 30 days beginning the day following publication of this Notice of Intent.

ADDRESSES: Please submit your written issues or concerns to the Responsible Official: Julie King, District Ranger, Heber Ranger District, P.O. Box 190, Heber City, Utah 84032. Comments may be faxed to (801) 654–5772 or hand delivered to the Heber Ranger district located at 2460 South Highway 40 during normal business hours from 8 am to 5 pm, Monday through Friday. Comments may also be submitted to the following e-mail address: comments-intermtn-unita-heber@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Percy, at (435) 654–0470.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As part of the 1995 Rescission Act and its implementing regulations (Public Law 104–19 Section 504(a)), Congress directed the Forest Service to issue term grazing permits where existing permits were due for expiration and provide management direction for the permits in compliance with NEPA, Forest Plan direction, and other relevant laws and regulations. In accordance with the Rescission Act, the Forest Service prioritized those allotments that needed Allotment Management Plans.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose and need for this project is to analyze issuance of grazing permits and to maintain or improve rangeland conditions affected by domestic grazing. Grazing is a suitable use of Forest Service Land is permissible through the Multiple Use Act. The Uinta National Forest 2003 Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan) identified some of the land within these allotments in the project area as suitable for domestic livestock grazing. The need of this proposed action is to continue to provide forage for livestock at sustainable levels. The proposal would also help to meet desired resource conditions including: increased composition and density of desired plant species within riparian areas; an increased density and composition desired grass and forb species on upland range; improved water quality in affected streams within project area; and meeting the State of Utah’s Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) standards. Proposed management directions have been designed to comply and implement relevant direction for grazing management in the Forest Plan and other applicable laws, regulations and policies.

Proposed Action

The proposed action is to authorize continued livestock grazing, update allotment management plans (AMPs), and allow permitted livestock grazing that meets or moves existing resource conditions toward desired conditions on these national forest grazing allotments while complying with applicable statutes. Adaptive management, which allows flexibility during the implementation of the grazing strategy, would allow managers to make adjustments and corrections to management based on monitoring. A portion of the Twin Peaks and all of the Strawberry Allotment allotments are proposed to be converted from sheep to cattle allotments.

Decisions Framework

Based on the environmental analysis in the EIS, the District Ranger will decide whether to authorize continued livestock grazing on the project area’s suitable rangelands under updated management direction, and if so, what changes need to be made to the respective AMPs in accordance with Forest Plan goal, objectives and desired future conditions.

Alternatives

In addition to the Proposed Action, The No Grazing Alternative and the Current Management will also be analyzed.

Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The Forest Service is the lead agency in accordance with 40 CFR § 1501.5(b), and is responsible for preparation of the EIS. There are no cooperating agencies at this time.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

Based on the environmental analysis in this EIS, the District Ranger will decide whether to authorize continued livestock grazing on the project area’s suitable rangelands under updated management direction, and if so, what changes need to be made to the respective allotment management plans in accordance with Forest Plan and desired conditions.

Scoping Process

Public participation will be especially important at several points during the analysis. The Forest Service will be seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, local agencies, Native American Tribes and other individuals and organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposal. This input will be used in preparation of the Draft EIS. The scoping process includes:

• Identifying major issues to be analyzed in depth.
• Identifying potential environmental effects of the alternatives identified to date.
• Identifying potential alternatives that meet the Purpose and Need of the project.
• Notifying interested members of the public of opportunities to participate and keeping the public informed.