

and provide safe and efficient movement of people, goods and services, while giving full consideration to local roads as primary transportation corridors. This project is needed because congestion and safety concerns are rising within the project corridor and travel times for local and through traffic have increased. These conditions have arisen from increased and projected regional travel demand due to planned community growth, limited local city street connectivity to and across I-90, and mobility limitations at existing interchanges.

Ten alternatives under consideration include (a) taking no action, (b) applying enhanced Transportation Systems and Transportation Demand Management methods on existing transportation systems, (c) Transit, and (d) seven combinations of actions that combine some or all of the following: Interchange modifications at the Spokane Street Interchange, interchange modifications at the SH 41 Interchange, expansion of SH 41 to six lanes; interchange modifications at the Seltice Way Interchange, a new interchange or an I-90 grade separation at Greensferry Road, an I-90 grade separation at Syringa Street, applying access management on Seltice Way, and auxiliary lanes and/or collector distributor roads on I-90 from the Spokane Street Interchange to the SH 41 Interchange.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and to provide organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. A series of public meetings will be held in Post Falls. A scoping meeting will be noticed and held in Post Falls. In addition, a public hearing will be held. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearing. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments, and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA or ITD at the address provided above.

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 23 CFR 771.123; 49 CFR 1.48.
(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning, and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on

Federal programs and activities apply to the program.)

Issued on: May 4, 2005.

Renee Sigel,

Assistant Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Boise, Idaho.

[FR Doc. 05-10608 Filed 5-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 34702]

BNSF Railway Company—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR) has agreed to grant temporary overhead trackage rights to BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) between C.A. Junction, MO (milepost S241.9) and Maxwell, MO (milepost S250.6), a distance of approximately 8.7 miles, connecting with BNSF's existing trackage rights over NSR between W.B. Junction, MO and C.A. Junction.

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on May 30, 2005, and the temporary trackage rights will expire on or about June 1, 2005. The purpose of the temporary trackage rights is to bridge BNSF's trains while BNSF's main lines are out of service due to programmed track, roadbed and structural maintenance.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the acquisition of the temporary trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980), and any employees affected by the discontinuance of those trackage rights will be protected by the conditions set out in *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8). If it contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34702, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 125 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Sarah W. Bailiff, 2500 Lou Menk Drive, P.O. Box 961039, Fort Worth, TX 76161-0039.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "<http://www.stb.dot.gov>."

Decided: May 24, 2005.

By the Board, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-10649 Filed 5-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

May 23, 2005.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 11000, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Dates: Written comments should be received on or before June 27, 2005 to be assured of consideration.

Departmental Offices

OMB Number: 1505-0186.

Form Numbers: None.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Customer Satisfaction Measure of Government Web sites.

Description: The objectives of surveying citizen users of federal agency websites are to: (1) Provide information for improving the quality of and satisfaction with agency websites; (2) ensure continuous assessment and improvement capabilities; (3) benchmark results against other agencies and private companies; (4) determine how different types of changes to the websites will impact future citizen behaviors; and (5) make the agencies part of a quarterly E-Government customer satisfaction benchmark and annual index for all measured Federal Government activities.

Respondents: Individuals or households, Business and other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, Farms, Federal Government, State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 1,000,000.