

TABLE 1.—ENGINE AND MODULE SERIAL NUMBERS—Continued

| Engine SN           | Module 2 SN |
|---------------------|-------------|
| 34436 .....         | 01121       |
| 34437 .....         | 01122       |
| 34438 .....         | 01123       |
| 34439 .....         | 01136       |
| 34440 .....         | 01139       |
| 34441 .....         | 01140       |
| 34442 .....         | 01138       |
| 34443 .....         | 01141       |
| 34444 .....         | 01142       |
| 34445 .....         | 01146       |
| 34446 .....         | 01147       |
| 34447 .....         | 01148       |
| 34448 .....         | 01164       |
| 34449 .....         | 01165       |
| 34450 .....         | 01177       |
| Not Installed ..... | 01149       |
| 34033 .....         | 00125       |
| 34177 .....         | 00446       |

**Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from failure of a high pressure turbine (HPT) blade and damage to two other HPT blades in a Turbomeca Arrius 2F turboshaft engine on March 31, 2005. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the engine and subsequent loss of power.

**Compliance**

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed before further flight, unless the actions have already been done.

**Replacing the Module 2**

(f) Before further flight, on Turbomeca Arrius 2F engines that have a SN listed in Table 1 of this AD, remove the Module 2 and replace the Module with a Module 2 that was overhauled or that has a SN not listed in Table 1 of this AD.

**Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(g) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

**Related Information**

(h) Direction General De L'Aviation Civile Emergency airworthiness directive No. UF-2005-073, dated April 27, 2005, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 13, 2005.

**Robert J. Ganley,**

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.  
[FR Doc. 05-9982 Filed 5-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. FAA-2004-19998; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-224-AD; Amendment 39-14097; AD 2005-10-20]

RIN 2120-AA64

**Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 777-200 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes. This AD requires replacing the pressure switches on the override/jettison fuel pumps with new pressure switches, and replacing the ship side electrical connectors for the pressure switches on override/jettison fuel pumps with new connectors. This AD is prompted by reports that the "FUEL LOW CENTER" message does not activate when the fuel level in the center tank is low. We are issuing this AD to prevent the fuel pumps in the center fuel tank from running dry and becoming a potential ignition source, which could result in a fuel tank explosion.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective June 23, 2005.

The incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 23, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207.

**Docket:** AD docket contains the proposed AD, comments, and any final disposition. You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2004-19998; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004-NM-224-AD.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Margaret Langsted, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM-140S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton,

Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 917-6500; fax (425) 917-6590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with an AD for certain Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes. That action, published in the **Federal Register** on January 5, 2005 (70 FR 735), proposed to require replacing the pressure switches on the override/jettison fuel pumps with new pressure switches, and replacing the ship side electrical connectors for the pressure switches on override/jettison fuel pumps with new connectors.

**Comments**

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments that have been submitted on the proposed AD. One commenter concurs with the contents of the proposed AD.

**Request To Clarify Applicability**

One commenter states that there is a concern for possible misinterpretation of the applicability specified in the Summary and Applicability sections of the proposed AD. The commenter adds that the referenced service bulletin specifically identifies the affected airplanes, and it is only applicable to Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes equipped with no center wing tank, and is not applicable to Boeing Model 777-200ER series airplanes. The commenter recommends clarification that Model 777-200ER series airplanes are not affected by the proposed AD.

We acknowledge the commenter's concern and offer clarification. The proposed AD is applicable to Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes, certificated in any category; as identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-28-0036, dated September 2, 2004. There are two center wing tank configurations certificated on the Model 777-200 series airplane; the referenced service bulletin identifies the airplanes that have the smaller tank configuration. The other Model 777-200 series airplanes, informally referred to by Boeing as Model 777-200ER airplanes, have a larger center wing tank and a different pump inlet configuration. Therefore, Model 777-200ER airplanes are not subject to the identified unsafe condition. We have not changed the final rule in this regard.

**Request To Change the Costs of Compliance Section/Compliance Time**

One commenter asks that the work hours shown in the estimated costs table in the proposed AD be reconsidered. The commenter estimates 5.5 work

hours are necessary to perform the modification alone, without taking into account the time for access and close up. The commenter adds that it is unlikely that this modification can be scheduled on an overnight maintenance check, and would most likely be accomplished during maintenance base visits. In light of the above, the commenter notes that the 24-month compliance time allows little flexibility to negotiate unforeseen scheduling problems. The commenter states that any deadline requirement that is less than 24 months will require special maintenance visits beyond the current schedule to accomplish the modification.

We do not agree that it is necessary to change the work hours in this AD,

which reflect only the direct costs of the specific required actions based on the best data available from the manufacturer. We recognize that operators may incur incidental costs (such as the time for planning and associated administrative actions) in addition to the direct costs. The cost analysis in ADs, however, typically does not include incidental costs. The 24-month compliance time in this AD should allow ample time for the majority of affected operators to do the required actions at the same time as scheduled major airplane inspection and maintenance activities, which would reduce the additional time and costs associated with special scheduling. We note that the 24-month compliance time is consistent with the

compliance time specified in the referenced service bulletin. We have not changed the final rule in this regard.

**Conclusion**

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comments that have been submitted, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

**Costs of Compliance**

There are about 61 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD.

**ESTIMATED COSTS**

| Action            | Work hours | Average labor rate per hour | Parts    | Cost per airplane | Number of U.S.-registered airplanes | Fleet cost |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Replacement ..... | 3          | \$65                        | \$13,430 | \$13,625          | 21                                  | \$286,125  |

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**2005-10-20 Boeing:** Amendment 39-14097. Docket No. FAA-2004-19998; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-224-AD.

**Effective Date**

(a) This AD becomes effective June 23, 2005.

**Affected ADs**

(b) None.

**Applicability**

(c) This AD applies to Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes, certificated in any category; as identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-28-0036, dated September 2, 2004.

**Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by reports that the "FUEL LOW CENTER" message does not activate when the fuel level in the center tank is low. We are issuing this AD to prevent the fuel pumps in the center fuel tank from running dry and becoming a potential ignition source, which could result in a fuel tank explosion.

**Compliance**

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

**Replacement**

(f) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the pressure switches on the override/jettison fuel pumps with new pressure switches, and replace the ship side electrical connectors for the pressure switches on the override/jettison fuel pumps with new connectors, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing

Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-28-0036, dated September 2, 2004.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(g) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(h) You must use Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-28-0036, dated September 2, 2004, to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The Director of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference of this document in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. To get copies of the service information, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC. To review copies of the service information, go to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

For information on the availability of this material at the NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/code\\_of\\_federal\\_regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html).

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 9, 2005.

**Jeffrey E. Duven,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 05-9873 Filed 5-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2004-19532; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-87-AD; Amendment 39-14096; AD 2005-10-19]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-200B, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747SR, and 747SP Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-200B, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747SR, and 747SP series airplanes. This AD requires replacing or modifying the control panels for the galley cart lift and modifying related electrical cable

assemblies, as applicable. This AD is prompted by reports of injuries to catering personnel and flight attendants who were loading or unloading galley carts on one deck when, due to a disabled or malfunctioning safety interlock door switch, the galley cart lift unexpectedly moved when it was activated from the control panel on the other deck. We are issuing this AD to ensure that the galley cart lift can be sent only from the deck on which it is in use, which will prevent unexpected movement of the cart lift that could result in possible injury to catering personnel or flight attendants.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective June 23, 2005.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the AD is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 23, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124 2207.

**Docket:** The AD docket contains the proposed AD, comments, and any final disposition. You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2004-19532; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004-NM-87-AD.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald Wren, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM-150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 917-6451; fax (425) 917-6590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR Part 39 with an AD for certain Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-200B, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747SR, and 747SP series airplanes. That action, published in the **Federal Register** on November 5, 2004 (69 FR 64537), proposed to require replacing or modifying the control panels for the galley cart lift and modifying related electrical cable assemblies, as applicable.

#### Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have

considered the comments that have been submitted on the proposed AD.

#### Request To Clarify Prompt Language and Statement of Unsafe Condition

One commenter, the manufacturer, requests that we clarify the statements in the Summary and paragraph (d) of the proposed AD of what prompted the proposed AD and clarifying the unsafe condition. The commenter suggests we can do this by explaining the role of a disabled or malfunctioning safety interlock door switch of the galley cart lift. The commenter states that the existing statements do not clearly describe the string of events relevant to the unexpected movement of the galley cart lift.

We partially agree. The statement of what prompted the proposed AD would be clearer if we included the role of a disabled or malfunctioning safety interlock door switch of the galley cart lift. We have changed the Summary and paragraph (d) of the final rule to include this role.

However, we do not agree that the statement of the unsafe condition should include the role of a disabled or malfunctioning safety interlock door switch. The purpose of this AD is to prevent the galley cart lift from being activated from the other deck control panel, regardless of whether the safety interlock switch is functional or not. We have not changed the final rule in this regard.

#### Request To Clarify Discussion and Relevant Service Information

The same commenter requests that the Discussion and Relevant Service Information sections of the proposed AD be revised to include the role of a disabled or malfunctioning safety interlock door switch. The commenter states the same reason as before.

We do not agree. The existing sections are intended to describe the unsafe condition and are adequate as written. Further, the Discussion and Relevant Service Information sections are not carried forward into the final rule. No change is needed in this regard.

#### Request To Specify Increased Personnel Training and Oversight

The same commenter requests that the Relevant Service Information section be revised by adding Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-25A3116, which specifies installation of additional cautionary placarding to the galley lift doors. Further, the commenter states that increased levels of operational training and oversight would best minimize injury of personnel who operate the galley cart lift. The commenter states