COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Hawaii Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a conference call of the Hawaii State Advisory Committee in the Western Region will convene at 2 p.m. (p.d.t.) and adjourn at 3 p.m., Thursday, June 16, 2005. The purpose of the conference call is to receive updated information on civil rights and discuss potential SAC projects.

This conference call is available to the public through the following call-in number: 1–800–473–8695, access code number 41129659. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls not initiated using the provided call-in number or over wireless lines and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls using the call-in number over land-line connections. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–977–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and access code.

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting John F. Dulles, Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 303–866–1040 (TDD 303–866–1049), by 3 p.m. (M.D.T.) on Monday, May 16, 2005. The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.


Ivy L. Davis,
Acting Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.
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Internal Combustion Industrial Forklift Trucks from Japan, Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from China and Taiwan, Raw In-Shell Pistachios from Iran, Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from Korea and Taiwan;

Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Sunset Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 16, 2005.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Reviews:

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (“the Act”), the U.S. Department of Commerce, (“the Department”) may extend the period of
time for making its determination by not more than 90 days, if it determines that the review is extraordinarily complicated. As set forth in section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order, as is the case in all of these proceedings. A transition order is defined as including countervailing or antidumping duty orders which were in effect on January 1, 1995, the date on which the WTO Agreement’s provisions on sunset reviews went into effect. Transition orders are treated as issued on January 1, 1995. See section 751(c)(6)(D) of the Act. These orders were issued prior to January 1, 1995, thus are deemed issued on January 1, 1995, for purposes of the sunset proceeding. Therefore, the Department has determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, that the sunset reviews of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan, the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from China and Taiwan, the antidumping duty order on internal combustion industrial forklift trucks from Japan, and the antidumping duty order on raw in-shell pistachios from Iran are extraordinarily complicated and require additional time for the Department to complete its analysis.

The Department’s final results of these sunset reviews were scheduled for June 29, 2005. The Department will extend the deadlines in these proceedings and, as a result, intends to issue the final results of the expedited sunset reviews on the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan, the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from China and Taiwan, the antidumping duty order on internal combustion industrial forklift trucks from Japan, and the antidumping duty order on raw in-shell pistachios from Iran on September 27, 2005, 90 days from the original scheduled date of the final results of these sunset reviews.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and (C)(v) of the Act.


Barbara E. Tillman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–2440 Filed 5–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S
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Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Mexico. The review covers two producers of the subject merchandise. The period of review (“POR”) is April 10, 2002, through September 30, 2003. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the Final Results of Review section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 16, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyman Armstrong or Dennis McClure, at (202) 482–3601 or (202) 482–5973, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


We invited parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. On February 22, 2005, we received case briefs from Siderurgica Lazaro Cardenas Las Truchas S.A. de C.V. (“SICARTSA”) and Hylsa, and from the petitioners, Cordau Ameristeel US Inc., ISG Georgetown Inc., Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and North Star Steel Texas, Inc. All parties submitted rebuttal briefs on February 28, 2005.

Scope of the Order

Effective July 24, 2003, in accordance with the Department’s Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders, and Intent to Revoke Orders in Part, 68 FR 64079 (November 12, 2003), the scope of this order was amended. Therefore, for purposes of this review, the scope of the order during the first part of the POR was different from the scope during the latter half of the POR. The scope in effect during each portion of the POR is described below.


The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) definitions for (a) Stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (i.e., products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium). Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no inclusions greater than 20 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04–114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton, and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3)