DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–56,484]

Renee’s Manufacturing, Inc., San Francisco, CA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of April 7, 2005 a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department’s negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on March 4, 2005 and published in the Federal Register on April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16846). Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Renee’s Manufacturing, San Francisco, California sewing women’s tops, bottoms, blouses and skirts on a contract basis was denied because the “contributed importantly” group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. The “contributed importantly” test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. The survey revealed no imports of women’s tops, bottoms, blouses and skirts during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import women’s tops, bottoms, blouses and skirts in the relevant period nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleges that the layoffs at the subject firm are attributable to a shift in production to a foreign country. A company official was contacted regarding the above allegations. The company official stated that no production has been shifted from the subject firm to a foreign country and currently, there are no such plans.

Should the shift abroad occur, the petitioners are encouraged to file a new petition on behalf of workers at the Renee’s Manufacturing, San Francisco, California, thereby creating a new period of investigation that would include changing conditions.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of May, 2005.
Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–56,880]

Sanford North America, Sanford Paper Mate Division Including Leased Workers of Ultimate Staffing, A Division of Newell Rubbermaid; Santa Monica, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 5, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Sanford North America, Sanford Paper Mate Division, including leased workers of Ultimate Staffing, a division of Newell Rubbermaid, Santa Monica, California.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of April 2005.
Richard Church,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–56,959]

Tyler Pipe Company, South Plant; Tyler, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 14, 2005 in response to a petition filed by the United Steelworkers of America, on behalf of workers at Tyler Pipe Company, South Plant, Tyler, Texas. The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification, (TA–W–52,418) which does not expire until September 9, 2005. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of May 2005.
Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued for public comment a draft of a new guide in the agency’s Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 8.7, entitled “Instructions for Recording and Reporting Occupational Radiation Dose Data,” is temporarily identified by its task number, DG–8029, which should be mentioned in all related correspondence. Like its predecessors, the proposed revision describes an acceptable program for the preparation, retention, and reporting of records of occupational radiation doses in accordance with Title 10, Part 20, of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 20). “Standards for Protection Against Radiation.” Section 20.1502 establishes “Conditions Requiring