

scientific studies have demonstrated that regular screening for CRC reduces the incidence and mortality from this disease. Other studies have shown that regular screening for CRC is also cost-effective in terms of years of life saved.

Despite strong scientific evidence and evidence-based clinical guidelines recommending screening, current screening rates remain low. A recent CDC study reported that more than 40 million Americans who are 50 years of age or older and at average risk for CRC have not been screened in accordance with current guidelines. The study also reported that screening this population with current endoscopic (*i.e.*, flexible sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy) capacity in the health care system could require as much as ten years to complete. In view of the current shortage in endoscopic capacity, an

effective national effort to promote CRC screening could increase the demand for endoscopic procedures.

It has been reported that reimbursements for endoscopic procedures in publicly-funded programs may not be adequate to cover the costs of performing these procedures. This may be a disincentive for providers to perform endoscopy procedures. Currently, there is little information available about the actual costs of providing these procedures in different types of healthcare facilities in the United States.

The purpose of this project is to conduct a survey of a nationally representative sample of healthcare facilities in order to estimate the economic costs of providing colonoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy for CRC screening and follow-up

services. The estimated procedure costs will be compared to the reimbursement rates for both screening procedures in order to determine whether the difference between payments to facilities and costs incurred is a potential barrier to expansion of CRC screening to uninsured or underinsured populations.

The study will also determine whether there are technical factors that enable some facilities to provide larger numbers of endoscopic procedures at lower average costs than other facilities, *i.e.*, whether economies of scale and/or economies of scope exist for certain types of facilities. Results of this study will be used to better define the economics of colorectal cancer screening. There is no cost to the respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN TABLE

Form type	Number of respondents	Number of responses/respondent	Avg. burden per response (in hrs.)	Total burden of response (in hrs)
Telephone script to identify the appropriate respondent	2,530	1	5/60	211
Survey of hospital-based outpatient departments	1,500	1	4.0	6,000
Survey of freestanding ambulatory surgery centers	800	1	6.0	4,800
Total	11,011

Dated: May 6, 2005.

Joan F. Karr,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 05-9558 Filed 5-12-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-05-05CD]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of

the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-371-5983 and send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Veterinary Student Survey—New—National Center for Infectious Diseases

(NCID)—Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The proposed survey asks veterinary students to describe their knowledge of various public health programs, their career interests post-graduation and how they arrived at such a decision, and their perception of the role veterinarians play in public health. The proposed study consists of an introductory letter and a self-administered, electronic questionnaire e-mailed to veterinary students in the United States. The Association of American Veterinary Medical Colleges (AAVMC) has agreed to collaborate on the survey and will provide a list of veterinary students from their membership mailing list. The study objectives are to describe current knowledge and attitudes of veterinary students regarding veterinary public health programs, and to determine their interests in a potential career in veterinary public health. There is no cost to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden / response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Written Surveys	5000	1	10/60	834
Total	834

Dated: April 6, 2005.

Joan F. Karr,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 05-9560 Filed 5-12-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-05-05BN]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-371-5983 and send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Web-based Reporting Systems for Tobacco Control: A Nationwide Assessment—New—The Office on Smoking and Health (OSH), National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

Implementation of a Web-based reporting system assessment for the state health departments' tobacco control programs.

As state health departments strive to standardize data collections to better evaluate progress toward strategic goals and objectives, a movement to develop web-based reporting systems is sweeping the field of public health. In October of 2002, through a Prevention Research Center (PRC) grant, researchers from the University of Minnesota conducted a national assessment of tobacco control program monitoring practices among state health departments. Results indicated that all states monitor tobacco control program activities through either paper or computer-based systems. In 1998, three states had computerized systems operating, whereas in 2002, thirteen states had launched systems and twenty-two more were in the planning/development stage (Blaine & Petersen, presented at National Conference on Tobacco or Health, San Francisco, November 20, 2002). Clearly, there is a trend toward developing database systems to assess and to monitor state tobacco prevention and control programs.

However, recent loss of resources available to state tobacco control programs begs several questions: (1) How have tightened public health budgets affected the development of proposed and in-progress web-based monitoring systems? (2) What can we learn from states that have already implemented and upgraded their systems that can save time and money for states still in the development process? (3) How can we institute knowledge management systems that

can facilitate horizontal information sharing? (4) Is there utility in creating a guidance document to better promote best practices in monitoring system development? (5) How can this information be used by the CDC to highlight the benefits to public health of state level computerized program reporting and monitoring systems?

Roundtable discussions facilitated by the Office on Smoking and Health with state tobacco control program staff have focused on standardized data collection for contract management and process evaluation purposes. Participants expressed frustration that states are often "recreating the wheel," with each state developing a unique system without the benefit of learning from states with web-based systems already in production. These discussions motivated the CDC to explore more efficient means of sharing lessons learned about computerized reporting systems.

The proposed research will build on the findings of the previous study. Enhanced understanding of the proliferation, costs and benefits of these web-based reporting systems can (1) improve the capacity of the CDC to service state health departments' cooperative agreement technical assistance needs, (2) provide a template for the CDC as it considers how electronic monitoring systems could be expanded to other public health arenas besides tobacco control, and (3) save state health departments time and money by using the information gleaned from this research to create an accessible forum for knowledge sharing.

The proposed study has three separate methodological components: (1) A nationwide baseline survey, (2) a follow-up phone interview with early adopters, and (3) select case studies. This is a one time only research study. This tiered research approach will provide a systematic overview of web-based reporting systems ranging from the macro-level to the micro-level. Aside from the minimal time needed to participate in the interviews, there will be no cost to participants.