

on which such an analysis could be based.

Accordingly, because the data available do not provide an appropriate basis for making a LOT adjustment, but the LOT in the home market is at a more advanced stage of distribution than the LOT of the CEP transactions, we preliminarily determine that a CEP offset adjustment is appropriate, in accordance with section 773(a)(7)(B) of the Act.

#### Currency Conversion

We made currency conversions into U.S. dollars, in accordance with section 773A(a) of the Act, based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the U.S. sales, as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank.

#### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine the weighted-average dumping margin for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004, to be as follows:

Manufacturer / Exporter	Margin (percent)
V&M do Brasil, S.A. ....	18.68

The Department will disclose calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. An interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication. See section 351.310(c) of the Department's regulations. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 37 days after the date of publication, or the first business day thereafter, unless the Department alters the date. The Department will issue the final results of these preliminary results, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

#### Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on

all appropriate entries. Pursuant to section 351.212(b) of the Department's regulations, the Department calculates an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise for each respondent. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, any previous reviews, or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 124.94 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination: Certain Small Diameter Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Brazil*, 60 FR 39707 (August 3, 1995). These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-2297 Filed 5-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-821-801)

#### Solid Urea from the Russian Federation; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty ("AD") order on solid urea from the Russian Federation pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and inadequate responses filed on behalf of respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 10, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kelly Parkhill, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3791.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the AD order on solid urea from the Russian Federation pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from the following domestic interested parties: the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers, (consisting of CF Industries, Inc. and PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, LP), and Agrium U.S., Inc.

(collectively “the domestic interested parties”) within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department’s Regulations (“Sunset Regulations”). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as domestic manufacturers of urea or a coalition whose members are engaged in the production of urea in the United States. The Department received a complete substantive response collectively from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received inadequate substantive responses from the respondent parties.<sup>1</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this order.

### Scope of the Order

Merchandise covered by this order is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (“HTS”) item 3102.10.00.00. During previous reviews such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as the scope of the product coverage.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the margins likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://>

<sup>1</sup> On December 10, 2004, both respondent and domestic interested parties filed comments on the Department’s adequacy determination in this sunset review. The Department’s consideration of these comments are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 2, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

[ia.ita.doc.gov/frn](http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn), under the heading “May 2005.” The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the Russian Federation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rate listed below:

Producers/Exporters	Margin (percent)
Phillip Brothers, Ltd./ Phillip Brothers, Inc. ...	53.23
All Others .....	68.26

### Notification regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-2289 Filed 5-9-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(C-351-504)

### Certain Iron Construction Castings from Brazil; Five-year (“Sunset”) Review of Countervailing Duty Order; Final Results

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** Summary: On October 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron construction castings (“iron castings”) from Brazil. On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, and no substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and no response from

respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review”.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 10, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on iron castings from Brazil pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).<sup>1</sup> The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Deeter Foundry, Inc., East Jordan Iron Works, Inc., LeBaron Foundry, Inc., Leed Foundry, Inc., Municipal Castings, Inc., Neenah Foundry Company, Tyler Pipe Company, and U.S. Foundry & Manufacturing Co. (collectively, “domestic interested parties”), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department’s regulations. Domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the subject merchandise.

We received a complete response from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in the Department’s regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, we did not receive responses from any respondent interested parties as required in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department’s regulations. As a result of receiving no responses from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department’s regulations.

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the countervailing duty order consists of certain heavy iron construction castings from Brazil, limited to manhole covers, rings, and frames, catch basin grates and frames, cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004).