

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-PCX-2005-27 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PCX-2005-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted

without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PCX-2005-27 and should be submitted on or before May 25, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-2170 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 5021]

Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs; Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (Committee Renewal)

Summary: On March 30, 2005, the Department of State renewed the Charter of the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) for an additional two years.

Effective Date: Upon Publication.

For Further Information Contact:

David F. Hogan, IATTC GAC Designated Federal Official, Office of Marine Conservation, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State, Washington DC 20520, Phone: 202-647-2335.

Supplementary Information: The IATTC was established pursuant to the Convention for the Establishment of an Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, signed in 1949. The purpose of the Commission is to conserve and manage the fisheries and associated resources of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. The United States is represented to the IATTC by the U.S. Section, which includes four Presidentially-appointed Commissioners and a Department of State representative.

The General Advisory Committee to the United States Section of the IATTC was established pursuant to Section 4 of the Tuna Conventions Act of 1950 (16 U.S.C. 953, as amended), the implementing statute for the IATTC Convention. The goal of the Advisory Committee is to serve the U.S. Section to the IATTC, the Department of State, and other agencies of the U.S.

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Government as advisors on matters relating to international conservation and management of stocks of tuna and dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, and in particular on the development of U.S. policy and positions associated with such matters.

The Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section of the IATTC may be terminated only by law. In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), a new Charter must be issued on a biennial basis from the date the current Charter was approved and filed with Congress and the Library of Congress. The current Charter expired in 2004 due to staff changes.

The Committee is composed of representatives of the major U.S. tuna harvesting, processing, and marketing sectors. Additionally, Committee membership includes representatives of recreational fishing interests and environmental interests formulating specific U.S. policy recommendations and positions.

The Advisory Committee will continue to follow the procedure prescribed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). Meetings will continue to be open to the public unless a determination is made in accordance with Section 10 of the FACA, 5 U.S.C. Secs. 552b(c)(1) and (4), that a meeting or a portion of the meeting should be closed to the public. Notice of each meeting continues to be provided for publication in the **Federal Register** as far in advance as possible prior to the meeting.

Dated: April 11, 2005.

David A. Balton,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Oceans and Fisheries, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 05-8877 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed the Week Ending April 22, 2005

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

Docket Number: OST-2005-21049.

Date Filed: April 20, 2005.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject:

PTC3 0860 dated 22 April 2005.

Mail Vote 446—Resolution 010p—TC3
Special Passenger.
Amending Resolution between Japan
and China excluding Hong Kong SAR
and Macao SAR r1–r9.
Intended effective date: 25 April 2005.

Renee V. Wright,

*Acting Program Manager, Docket Operations,
Alternate Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 05–8868 Filed 5–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad
Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Third notice and request for
comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44
U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice
announces that the Information
Collection Requirements (ICRs)
abstracted below have been forwarded
to the Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) for review and comment. The
ICRs describes the nature of the
information collections and their
expected burdens. The **Federal Register**
notice with a 60-day comment period
soliciting comments on the following
collection of information was published
on September 22, 2004 (69 FR 56819).
An earlier **Federal Register** notice with
a 30-day comment period soliciting
comments on the following collection of
information was published on December
2, 2004 (69 FR 70167).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on
or before June 3, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr.
Robert Brogan, Office of Planning and
Evaluation Division, RRS–21, Federal
Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont
Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington,
DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6292),
or Mr. Victor Angelo, Office of Support
Systems, RAD–20, Federal Railroad
Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave.,
NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC
20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6470).
(These telephone numbers are not toll-
free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995
(PRA), Public Law 104–13, § 2, 109 Stat.
163 (1995) (codified as revised at 44
U.S.C. 3501–3520), and its
implementing regulations, 5 CFR Part
1320, require Federal agencies to issue

two notices seeking public comment on
information collection activities before
OMB may approve paperwork packages.
44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.5,
1320.8(d)(1), 1320.12. On September 22,
2004, FRA published a 60-day notice in
the **Federal Register** soliciting comment
on ICRs that the agency was seeking
OMB approval. 69 FR 56819. FRA
received two comments after issuing
this notice. On December 2, 2004, FRA
published a first 30-day notice in the
Federal Register soliciting comment on
ICRs that the agency was seeking OMB
approval. 69 FR 70167. FRA received no
comments in response to this notice.
Because of delays in providing
information regarding the methodology
of the proposed collection of
information to the Bureau of
Transportation Statistics (BTS) and to
the Office of the Chief Information
Officer (CIO) in U.S. Department of
Transportation, FRA is publishing this
second 30-day notice to provide another
opportunity for timely comment.

In response to the 60-day notice, the
first comment (letter) came from The
Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers
and Trainmen (BLET), which represents
both locomotive engineers and
trainmen. BLET expressed its
wholehearted support for the proposed
study. In his letter, Don M. Hahs, the
President of BLET, observed:

BLET, and others, believe the collection of
this data will provide greater insight into
the probability of safety related injury
associated with these [critical incident] events.
Given the fact that the frequency of these events
may result in locomotive engineers
experiencing several of them in their careers,
the FRA and industry can be benefited in
understanding the scope of this concern. The
proposed data collection and purpose for
which it is being collected can provide non-
regulatory and preemptive approaches that
may mitigate the negative effects to safety
and health associated with Post-Traumatic
Stress.

Mr. M. Hahs further remarked:

The identification of “best practices” for
Critical Incident Stress Debriefing programs,
as proposed in the study, will allow the
transportation community to learn a great
deal. The eventual publication of the study
has the potential to add to the body of
research of this recognized problem and will
add value for the scientific community with
no burden to the society at large. Therefore,
the BLET encourages FRA to move forward
with the proposed study and seek approval
from the Office of Management and Budget
as soon as possible.

BLET did address the paperwork
burden for this proposed collection of
information. Mr. Hahs noted: “BLET
considers the estimates of the burden of
information collection activities, its

methodologies, and assumptions to be
valid.”

The Union Pacific Railroad also
expressed its support for the project. In
his comment (letter), Dr. Dennis W.
Holland, Director, Occupational Health
Psychology, Union Pacific Safety
Department, stated the following:

The study is timely and of significant
interest to the rail industry. UPRR is a
pioneer in the development and
implementation of Peer Support programs for
employees involved in critical incidents. We
believe the proposed study will benefit both
the railroads and railroad labor by providing
information on how best to respond to
critical incidents. In addition, the
information provided by the proposed study
will enable railroad professionals to best use
resources to assist employees dealing with
tragic events.

There is no cost for materials to study
participants, and the total burden hours
are minimal. It should also be noted that
FRA and its contractor, University of
Denver, have been in contact with
representatives of the Association of
American Railroads (AAR), the BLET,
and the United Transportation Union
(UTU) from the beginning concerning
the need for this study and the proposed
procedures. These representatives have
made several useful suggestions, which
have been incorporated into the design
of this study. Several useful suggestions
were also provided, and used, by
members of the CISD resource group—
an entity established to assist in the
development of this study. This
resource group consists of
representatives from the AAR, BLE,
UTU, and several Class I and short line
carriers. Finally, a team of
epidemiologists and statisticians from
reputable universities and
establishments, including Yale
University, the University of California
at San Francisco, Colorado State
University (Fort Collins), the University
of Denver, the Denver VA Medical
Center, the Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention, and individuals from
the U.S. Department of Transportation’s
Volpe National Transportation Systems
Center, reviewed the sampling plan of
the proposed study and offered useful
recommendations and feedback.

Before OMB decides whether to
approve this proposed collection of
information, it must provide 30 days for
public comment. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b); 5
CFR 1320.12(d). Federal law requires
OMB to approve or disapprove
paperwork packages between 30 and 60
days after the 30 day notice is
published. 44 U.S.C. 3507 (b)–(c); 5 CFR
1320.12(d); *see also* 60 FR 44978, 44983,
Aug. 29, 1995. OMB believes that the 30
day notice informs the regulated