

of Transportation (DOT) lists specialized training on the DOT website for hazardous material and other specialized cargo and freight.

(f) Additional training is required if the employee moves or otherwise is involved with hazardous material, hazardous waste, or other specialized transportation requirements. This training must be current and well documented.

**§ 102–117.380 How do I document the training or experience to qualify as a transportation manager?**

(a) Training documentation includes a certificate of completion from a class that is accredited with the International Association for Continuing Education and Training (IACET), at a minimum, or a degree from an accredited university or college, indicating the hours of training, experience level attained, and course description.

(b) A supervisor must acknowledge in writing that the employee has attained a level of experience and the number of years of experience that is credited to the logistics or transportation management field.

**PART 102–118—TRANSPORTATION PAYMENT AND AUDIT**

3. The authority citation for 41 CFR part 102–118 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 31 U.S.C. 3726, 40 U.S.C. 121(c), and 49 U.S.C. 10721, 13712, and 15504.

**§ 102–118.350 [Redesignated as § 102–118.351]**

4. Redesignate § 102–118.350 as § 102–118.351.

5. Add new § 102–118.350 to read as follows:

**§ 102–118.350 What authority must I have to obligate funds for transportation or transportation services?**

(a) In accordance with 31 U.S.C. 3322 and 3528, certifying and disbursing officers are accountable for expenditures of public funds. However, any Government employee who has the responsibility to procure transportation must also have proper authority to obligate funds. This authority must be in writing from the head of your agency or his or her designee.

(b) For further information and training requirements, see part 102–117, subpart M, of this subchapter.

[FR Doc. 05–8839 Filed 5–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–14–S

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 90**

[WT Docket No. 05–62; DA 05–1084]

**Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Flexible Use of the 896–901 MHz and 935–940 MHz Bands Allotted to the Business and Industrial Land Transportation Pool, and Oppositions**

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) extends the periods for both the comment and reply comment deadlines established in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) adopted by the Commission in the 900 MHz B/ILT white space proceeding. The deadline to file comments is extended from April 18, 2005, to May 18, 2005, and the deadline to file reply comments is extended from May 2, 2005, to June 2, 2005. This action is taken to enable interested parties sufficient opportunity to review complex issues raised by the NPRM and to provide commenters a reasonable period of time to continue discussions with other interested parties in an effort to reach consensus that would allow a consistent filing position in this matter for most of the 900 MHz user communities.

**DATES:** The agency must receive comments on or before May 18, 2005; and reply comments on or before June 2, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 05–62, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Federal Communications Commission's Web Site:* <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* To receive filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to [ecfs@fcc.gov](mailto:ecfs@fcc.gov), and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply. Include the docket number(s) in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Appropriate addresses for submitting comments and reply comments may be found in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

- *People with Disabilities:* Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: [FCC504@fcc.gov](mailto:FCC504@fcc.gov) or phone: 202–418–0530 or TTY: 202–418–0432.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>, including any personal information provided.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Connelly, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at 202–418–0620, or via the Internet at [Michael.Connelly@fcc.gov](mailto:Michael.Connelly@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Federal Communications Commission's Order (Order), DA 05–1084, in WT Docket No. 05–62, (2005 WL 852749 (F.C.C.)), adopted April 14, 2005, and released April 14, 2005, which extends the comment and reply comment filing deadlines in the 900 MHz B/ILT white space proceeding. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor: Best Copy & Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 800–378–3160, facsimile 202–488–5563, or via e-mail at [fcc@bcpiweb.com](mailto:fcc@bcpiweb.com). The full text may also be downloaded at: <http://www.fcc.gov>. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418–7426 or TTY (202) 418–7365 or at [Brian.Millin@fcc.gov](mailto:Brian.Millin@fcc.gov).

**Synopsis of the Order**

2. On April 14, 2005, the WTB released an Order that extended the comment and reply comment filing deadlines established in the NPRM adopted by the Commission in this proceeding on February 10, 2005 in WT Docket No. 05–62; FCC 05–31, published at 70 FR 13143, March 18, 2005. In the NPRM, the Commission seeks public comment regarding a proposal to auction unused spectrum in the 896–901 MHz and 935–940 MHz Bands presently allotted to the Business and Industrial Land Transportation Pool

("900 MHz B/ILT Pool"), in order to facilitate flexible use. In particular, the Commission proposes to permit any use of the B/ILT channels in the 900 MHz band that is consistent with the band's fixed and mobile allocations. In addition, the Commission proposes to license the remaining spectrum using a geographic area licensing scheme, and to adopt service rules, including licensing, technical and operational rules for the new geographic licensees.

3. On April 4, 2005, the United Telecom Council, the National Association of Manufacturers and MRFAC, the Association of American Railroads, the American Petroleum Institute, the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, and the Enterprise Wireless Alliance jointly filed a request for an extension of time to submit comments. They contend that the current comment period does not provide commenters with a sufficient length of time to provide thorough and meaningful responses. They also state that they are conducting discussions with other interested parties in an effort to reach consensus that would allow a consistent filing position in this matter for most of the 900 MHz user communities, and believe that this effort will not be complete before the comment filing deadline. On April 12, 2005, Nextel Communications, Inc. filed an opposition to the comment period extension request, arguing that any delay would adversely impact the Commission's 800 MHz rebanding effort, 69 FR 67823, November 22, 2004, and would delay Nextel's opportunity to obtain, through the auction process, any unused 900 MHz B/ILT spectrum.

#### Ordering Clauses

4. Pursuant to sections 4(i) and 4(j) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j), and §§ 0.131, 0.331, and 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 0.131, 0.331, and 1.46, the deadline for filing comments in response to the *NPRM*, published at 70 FR 13143, March 18, 2005, in this proceeding, is extended to May 18, 2005, and the deadline for filing reply comments is extended to June 2, 2005.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Katherine M. Harris,**

*Deputy Chief, Mobility Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-8682 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. NHTSA-05-21051]

### Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS); Small Business Impacts of Motor Vehicle Safety

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of regulatory review; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) seeks comments on the economic impact of its regulations on small entities. As required by Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, we are attempting to identify rules that may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. We also request comments on ways to make these regulations easier to read and understand. The focus of this notice is rules that specifically relate to passenger cars, multipurpose passenger vehicles, trucks, buses, trailers, incomplete vehicles, motorcycles, and motor vehicle equipment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 5, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You should mention the docket number of this document in your comments and submit your comments in writing to: Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. You may call Docket Management at: (202) 366-9324. You may visit the Docket from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nita Kavalasuskas, Office of Regulatory Analysis, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Budget, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366-2584. Facsimile (fax): (202) 366-2559.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act

###### A. Background and Purpose

Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96-354), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), requires agencies to conduct periodic reviews of final rules that have a significant

economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. The purpose of the reviews is to determine whether such rules should be continued without change, or should be amended or rescinded, consistent with the objectives of applicable statutes, to minimize any significant economic impact of the rules on a substantial number of such small entities.

###### B. Review Schedule

The Department of Transportation (DOT) published its Semiannual Regulatory Agenda on November 22, 1999, listing in Appendix D (64 FR 64684) those regulations that each operating administration will review under section 610 during the next 12 months. Appendix D also contains DOT's 10-year review plan for all of its existing regulations.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA, "we") has divided its rules into 10 groups by subject area. Each group will be reviewed once every 10 years, undergoing a two-stage process—an Analysis Year and a Review Year. For purposes of these reviews, a year will coincide with the fall-to-fall publication schedule of the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda. Thus, Year 1 (1998) began in the fall of 1998 and ended in the fall of 1999; Year 2 (1999) began in the fall of 1999 and ended in the fall of 2000; and so on.

During the Analysis Year, we will request public comment on and analyze each of the rules in a given year's group to determine whether any rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities and, thus, requires review in accordance with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. In each fall's Regulatory Agenda, we will publish the results of the analyses we completed during the previous year. For rules that have subparts, or other discrete sections of rules that do have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, we will announce that we will be conducting a formal section 610 review during the following 12 months.

The section 610 review will determine whether a specific rule should be revised or revoked to lessen its impact on small entities. We will consider: (1) The continued need for the rule; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received from the public; (3) the complexity of the rule; (4) the extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other federal rules or with state or local government rules; and (5) the length of time since the rule has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions,