

section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Respondents by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

*Fourth*, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) and Section 766.23(c) of the EAR, the Respondents and the Related Person, respectively, may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) and Section 766.23(c) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents and the Related Person may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and the Related Person, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective upon date of publication in the **Federal Register** and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 1st day of April, 2005.

**Wendy L. Wysong,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 05-6940 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-OT-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-351-504, A-351-503, A-122-503, A-570-502, A-821-801, A-823-801, A-570-001]

#### **Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and China; Solid Urea From Russia and Ukraine, and Potassium Permanganate From China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Sunset Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha Douthit at 202-482-5050, or Hilary Sadler, Esq. at 202-482-4340, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **Background**

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping orders on Iron Construction Castings from Brazil, Canada, and China; Solid Urea from Russia and Ukraine, and Potassium Permanganate from China, and the countervailing duty order on Iron Construction Casting from Brazil. Based on adequate responses from the domestic interested parties and inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting expedited sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Iron Construction Castings from Brazil, Canada, and China, Solid Urea from Russia and Ukraine, and Potassium Permanganate from China, and the countervailing duty on Iron Construction Castings from Brazil. The Department's final results of these sunset reviews were originally scheduled for January 31, 2005. On December 17, 2004, the Department extended the final results of these reviews until March 31, 2005.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Reviews**

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") may extend the period of time for making its final determination in a sunset review by not more than 90 days if it determines that the review is extraordinarily complicated. As set forth in 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the

Department may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order, as is the case in these proceedings. The Department has determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, that the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Iron Construction Casting from Brazil, Canada, and China, Solid Urea from Russia and Ukraine, Potassium Permanganate from China, and the countervailing duty order on Iron Construction Castings from Brazil, are extraordinarily complicated and require additional time for the Department to complete its analysis. Therefore, the Department will extend the deadlines in these proceedings and, as a result, intends to issue the final results of the sunset reviews on Iron Constructions Casting from Brazil, Canada, and China, Solid Urea from Russia, and Ukraine, and Potassium Permanganate from China, on or about Monday, May 2, 2005, 90 days from the original scheduled date of final results of review. This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act.

Dated: March 31, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1610 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-602, A-588-602, A-570-814, A-583-605, A-549-807, A-475-703, A-588-707]

#### **Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Brazil, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, and Thailand, and Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy and Japan: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Sunset Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha Douthit at 202-482-5050, or Hilary Sadler, Esq. at 202-482-4340, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **Background**

On December 1, 2004, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the

antidumping duty orders on Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Brazil, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, and Thailand, and Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan. Based on adequate responses from the domestic interested parties and inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting expedited sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Brazil, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, and Thailand, and Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan. The Department's final results of these sunset reviews are currently scheduled for March 31, 2005.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Reviews

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") may extend the period of time for making its final determination in a sunset review by not more than 90 days, if it determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated. As set forth in 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order, as is the case in these proceedings. The Department has determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, that the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Brazil, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, Thailand, and Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan, are extraordinarily complicated and require additional time for the Department to complete its analysis. Therefore, the Department will extend the deadlines in these proceedings and, as a result, intends to issue the final results of the sunset reviews on Carbon Steel Weld-Pipe Fittings from Brazil, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, and Thailand, and Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan, on or about June 29, 2005, 90 days from the original scheduled date of final results of review.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act.

Dated: March 31, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1609 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-822]

#### Notice of Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 7, 2005.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Candice Kenney Weck or Sean Carey at (202) 482-0938 and (202) 482-3964, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department received timely requests for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada, with respect to Dofasco Inc. (Dofasco), Impact Steel Canada, Ltd. (Impact Steel), and Stelco Inc. (Stelco). On September 22, 2004, the Department published the initiation of an administrative review of Dofasco, Impact Steel, and Stelco, covering the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part* (69 FR 56745). On November 12, 2004, Impact Steel timely withdrew its request for an administrative review. The request was the only request for an administrative review of Impact Steel.

##### Rescission, in Part, of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Since Impact Steel submitted a timely withdrawal of its request for review, and since this was the only request for a review of Impact

Steel, the Department is rescinding its antidumping administrative review of Impact Steel in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Based on this rescission, the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada covering the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004, now covers the following companies: Dofasco and Stelco.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 1, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1615 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

#### Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lyman Armstrong or Victoria Cho at (202) 482-3601 or (202) 482-5075 respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

##### Background

On September 22, 2004, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea, covering the period August 1, 2003 to July 31, 2004 (69 FR 56745). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than May 3, 2005.

##### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which