

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Submission for OMB Review;  
Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

*Title:* Vessel Monitoring System Requirement for American Samoa Pelagic Longline Fishery.

*Form Number(s):* None.

*OMB Approval Number:* None.

*Type of Request:* Regular submission.

*Burden Hours:* 167.

*Number of Respondents:* 34.

*Average Hours Per Response:* 0.03 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* Under Amendment 11 to the Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of Western Pacific Region, owners of large vessels (>50 ft in length) registered for use with American Samoa longline limited access permits must allow NMFS to install vessel monitoring (VMS) units on their vessels when directed to do so by NMFS enforcement personnel. VMS units automatically send periodic reports on the position of the vessel. NMFS uses the reports to monitor the vessel's location and activities while enforcing area closures. NMFS pays for the units and messaging.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Frequency:* Annually and hourly.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-5240 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-475-824]

**Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 14, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 7472 (February 14, 2005) (Final Results) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. We are amending our Final Results to correct a ministerial error alleged by Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization (collectively, petitioners) pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 17, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Angelica Mendoza at (202) 482-3019, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Amendment of Final Results**

On February 14, 2005, the Department published the Final Results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) in coils from Italy for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. See *Final Results and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum*. In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), on February 14, 2005, petitioners timely filed an allegation that the Department made a ministerial error in the final results. Pursuant to our review of the ministerial error alleged by petitioners, the Department is amending the Final Results to correct this error, as detailed below.

Petitioners state that the Department expressed its intention to perform the

margin calculations as described in its Prelim Analysis Memo, at section IX, page 7. See Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 6, AD/CVD Operations, Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results, dated July 29, 2004 (Prelim Analysis Memo).<sup>1</sup> According to petitioners, however, the Department neglected to incorporate this programming language into the actual margin calculations in both the preliminary and final margin programs. Petitioners argue that the Department erroneously introduced programming language contrary to its standard practice and to its description of the steps required to perform the margin calculations outlined in the Prelim Analysis Memo. See Prelim Analysis Memo at 7 and the Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 7, AD/CVD Operations, Analysis Memorandum for the Final Results, dated February 7, 2005 (Final Analysis Memo) at Attachment 3 (Final Margin Program, lines 3673-3688). Therefore, petitioners assert that the Department should amend its Final Results by implementing the correct programming language that was clearly expressed in the Prelim Analysis Memo and replace lines 3673-3687 of the final margin program with the standard programming language. ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (TKAST), respondent in this review, did not file comments in response to petitioners' ministerial error allegation.

The Act, and the Department's regulations, define a ministerial error as one involving "addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." See section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).

After reviewing petitioners' allegation, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224, that the allegation constitutes a ministerial error. We agree with petitioners that the Department inadvertently used programming language that did not correspond to the intended programming language described in its Prelim Analysis Memo in its preliminary and final margin calculation. Accordingly, we have revised the programming language to

<sup>1</sup> A public version of the analysis memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the Herbert C. Hoover Department of Commerce building, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

reflect the corrected language described in both our Prelim Analysis Memo at 7 and petitioners' February 14, 2005, Ministerial Error Allegation at 4 in the U.S. sales program, which can be found in the analysis memorandum for the amended final results. See Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 7, Analysis for ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (TKAST) for the Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy, dated March 9, 2005 (Amended Final Analysis Memo). As a result of the correction of a ministerial error in the Final Results, the revised weight-averaged dumping margin is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A.	<sup>2</sup> 3.73

See Amended Final Analysis Memo at Attachment 4 for programming details.

With respect to TKAST, the Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) within 15 days of publication of the amended final results of review. Accordingly, the Department will determine, and CBP will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise from TKAST during the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003, in accordance with these amended final results. The revised cash deposit rate for TKAST shown above is effective on all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice, and will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Consequently, we are issuing and publishing these amended final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(h), and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(f).

Dated: March 11, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1167 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>2</sup> The weighted-average dumping margin previously calculated for TKAST was 3.72 percent. See Final Results, 70 FR at 7474.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### California Department of Food and Agriculture, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 05-001.

*Applicant:* California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, CA 95832.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model H-7500-1 TEM.

*Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 6838, February 9, 2005. Order Date: March 29, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-003.

*Applicant:* Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Technai G<sup>2</sup> F20 U-TWIN STEM.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, The Netherlands.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 9046, February 24, 2005.

*Order Date:* March 28, 2003.

*Docket Number:* 05-004.

*Applicant:* University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Technai G<sup>2</sup> 12 Twin.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 9046, February 24, 2005.

*Order Date:* May 6, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-006.

*Applicant:* University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1011.

*Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 10357, March 3, 2005.

*Order Date:* March 4, 1904.

*Docket Number:* 05-007.

*Applicant:* Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model H-7600.

*Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 10357, March 3, 2005.

*Order Date:* May 4, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-008.

*Applicant:* Rice University, Houston, TX 77005.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1230.

*Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 10357, March 3, 2005.

*Order Date:* June 25, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-009.

*Applicant:* Rice University, Houston, TX 77005.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2100F.

*Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 10357, March 3, 2005.

*Order Date:* June 25, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-010.

*Applicant:* Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL 36008.

*Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2010.

*Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 70 FR 10357, March 3, 2005.

*Order Date:* May 19, 2004.

*Comments:* None received.

*Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered.

*Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument OR at the time of receipt of application by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

**Gerald A. Zerdy,**

*Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. E5-1171 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Cornell University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301).