

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Vessel Monitoring System Requirement for American Samoa Pelagic Longline Fishery.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Type of Request: Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 167.

Number of Respondents: 34.

Average Hours Per Response: 0.03 minutes.

Needs and Uses: Under Amendment 11 to the Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of Western Pacific Region, owners of large vessels (>50 ft in length) registered for use with American Samoa longline limited access permits must allow NMFS to install vessel monitoring (VMS) units on their vessels when directed to do so by NMFS enforcement personnel. VMS units automatically send periodic reports on the position of the vessel. NMFS uses the reports to monitor the vessel's location and activities while enforcing area closures. NMFS pays for the units and messaging.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Annually and hourly.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-5240 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-475-824]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 14, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 7472 (February 14, 2005) (Final Results) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. We are amending our Final Results to correct a ministerial error alleged by Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization (collectively, petitioners) pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 17, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angelica Mendoza at (202) 482-3019, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Amendment of Final Results**

On February 14, 2005, the Department published the Final Results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) in coils from Italy for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. See *Final Results and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum*. In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), on February 14, 2005, petitioners timely filed an allegation that the Department made a ministerial error in the final results. Pursuant to our review of the ministerial error alleged by petitioners, the Department is amending the Final Results to correct this error, as detailed below.

Petitioners state that the Department expressed its intention to perform the

margin calculations as described in its Prelim Analysis Memo, at section IX, page 7. See Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 6, AD/CVD Operations, Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results, dated July 29, 2004 (Prelim Analysis Memo).¹ According to petitioners, however, the Department neglected to incorporate this programming language into the actual margin calculations in both the preliminary and final margin programs. Petitioners argue that the Department erroneously introduced programming language contrary to its standard practice and to its description of the steps required to perform the margin calculations outlined in the Prelim Analysis Memo. See Prelim Analysis Memo at 7 and the Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 7, AD/CVD Operations, Analysis Memorandum for the Final Results, dated February 7, 2005 (Final Analysis Memo) at Attachment 3 (Final Margin Program, lines 3673-3688). Therefore, petitioners assert that the Department should amend its Final Results by implementing the correct programming language that was clearly expressed in the Prelim Analysis Memo and replace lines 3673-3687 of the final margin program with the standard programming language. ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (TKAST), respondent in this review, did not file comments in response to petitioners' ministerial error allegation.

The Act, and the Department's regulations, define a ministerial error as one involving "addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." See section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).

After reviewing petitioners' allegation, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224, that the allegation constitutes a ministerial error. We agree with petitioners that the Department inadvertently used programming language that did not correspond to the intended programming language described in its Prelim Analysis Memo in its preliminary and final margin calculation. Accordingly, we have revised the programming language to

¹ A public version of the analysis memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the Herbert C. Hoover Department of Commerce building, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.