

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Persons of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Persons acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Persons of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Persons order in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

*Third*, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Respondents by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

*Fourth*, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

*Fifth*, that in accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

*Sixth*, that in accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a

written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

*Seventh*, that a copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

*Eighth*, that this Order is effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 8th day of March, 2005.

**Wendy L. Wysong**,

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 05-4877 Filed 3-11-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-832]

#### Notice of Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Constance Handley or David Neubacher, at (202) 482-0631 or (202) 482-5823, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue (1) the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of publication of an order or finding for which a review is requested, and (2) the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and the final results to a maximum of 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the

preliminary results. *See also* 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

#### Extension of Final Results of Reviews

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limits. Due to the complexity of issues present in this administrative review, such as the issues of affiliation and adverse facts available, the Department needs more time to address these items and evaluate the issues more thoroughly. The Department also needs more time to address issues raised in the formal scope inquiry that was initiated in conjunction with the administrative review on the exclusion of Grade 1080 Tire Cord Quality Wire Rod and Tire Bead Quality Wire Rod. Therefore, we are extending the deadline for the final results of the above-referenced review by 60 days. This 60-day extension of the final results falls on Saturday, May 7, 2005; therefore, the final results will be issued no later than the first business day thereafter, Monday, May 9, 2005.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: March 8, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman**,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1066 Filed 3-11-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-580-816)

#### Notice of Final Results of the Tenth Administrative Review and New Shipper Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 7, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review and antidumping duty new shipper review for certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers four manufacturers and exporters of the subject merchandise: Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union); Pohang Iron & Steel Company, Ltd. (POSCO), Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS), and Pohang Steel Industries