

April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477), or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Background and purpose: As we stated in the original notice and request for public comments (69 FR 63979, Nov. 3, 2004), the City of Fall River, Massachusetts, has petitioned the Coast Guard to promulgate regulations establishing thermal and vapor dispersion exclusion zone requirements for liquefied natural gas (LNG) spills on water. The City asks that these regulations be similar to Department of Transportation regulations for LNG spills on land, contained in 49 CFR 193.2057 and 193.2059. In our original notice, we provided a public comment period that ended February 1, 2005. At the end of that comment period, we received a letter from the Attorney General of Rhode Island that read in part: "I wish to emphasize that my office is waiting for the completion of a Threat Analysis. I am formally requesting that the public comment period in this docket remain open for an additional sixty (60) days to allow for consideration of [that] report." In light of this request, the Coast Guard is providing an additional sixty-day comment period. The public is invited to review the material contained in the docket and submit relevant comments. The Coast Guard will consider the City's petition, any comments received from the public, and other information to determine whether or not to initiate the requested rulemaking.

Dated: March 2, 2005.

Howard L. Hime,

Acting Director of Standards, Marine Safety, Security, and Environmental Protection, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 05-4600 Filed 3-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R01-OAR-2005-ME-0001; A-1-FRL-7881-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maine; NO_x Control Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maine. This revision establishes requirements to reduce emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions from

large stationary sources. The intended effect of this action is to approve these requirements into the Maine SIP. EPA is taking this action in accordance with the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 11, 2005.

ADDRESSES: When submitting your comments, include the Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID Number R01-OAR-2005-ME-0001 by one of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Agency Web site: <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/> Regional Material in EDocket (RME), EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

3. E-mail: conroy.dave@epa.gov.

4. Fax: (617) 918-0661.

5. Mail: "RME ID Number R01-OAR-2005-ME-0001," David Conroy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (mail code CAQ), Boston, MA 02114-2023.

6. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: David Conroy, Unit Manager, Air Quality Planning, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, 11th floor, (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114-2023. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christine Sansevero, Air Quality Planning Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114-2023, (617) 918-1699, sansevero.christine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency

views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments in response to this rule, the Agency anticipates no further activity. If EPA receives adverse comments, the Agency will withdraw the direct final rule and will address all public comments we receive in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 18, 2005.

Robert W. Varney,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

[FR Doc. 05-4708 Filed 3-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 194

[FRL-7882-9]

Waste Characterization Program Documents Applicable to Transuranic Radioactive Waste From the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project for Disposal at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability; opening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, or "we") is announcing an inspection for the week of February 28, 2005, at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project (AMWTP). With this notice, we also announce availability of Department of Energy (DOE) documents in the EPA Docket, and solicit public comments on these documents for a period of 30 days. The following DOE documents, entitled "INEEL Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project Certification Plan for

Contact-Handled Transuranic Waste, MP-TRUW-8.1, Revision 7” and “INEEL Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project Quality Assurance Project Plan, MP-TRUW-8.2, Revision 3,” are available for public review in the public dockets listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. EPA will conduct an inspection of waste characterization systems and processes at INEEL/AMWTP to verify that the site can characterize transuranic waste in accordance with EPA’s WIPP Compliance Criteria.

DATES: EPA is requesting public comment on the documents. Comments must be received by EPA’s official Air Docket on or before April 11, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, by facsimile, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I.B of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Rajani Joglekar, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, (202) 343-9462. You can also call EPA’s toll-free WIPP Information Line, 1-800-331-WIPP or visit our Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/radiation/wipp>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Air and Radiation Docket in the EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air and Radiation Docket is (202) 566-1742. These documents are also available for review in paper form at the official EPA Air Docket in Washington, DC, Docket No. A-98-49, Category II-A2, and at the following three EPA WIPP informational

docket locations in New Mexico: in Carlsbad at the Municipal Library, Hours: Monday–Thursday, 10 a.m.–9 p.m., Friday–Saturday, 10 a.m.–6 p.m., and Sunday 1 p.m.–5 p.m.; in Albuquerque at the Government Publications Department, Zimmerman Library, University of New Mexico, Hours: vary by semester; and in Santa Fe at the New Mexico State Library, Hours: Monday–Friday, 9 a.m.–5 p.m. As provided in EPA’s regulations at 40 CFR Part 2, and in accordance with normal EPA docket procedures, if copies of any docket materials are requested, a reasonable fee may be charged for photocopying.

2. *Electronic Access.* You may access this Federal Register document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “Federal Register” listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA’s electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket. EPA’s policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA’s electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA’s electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA’s electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA’s policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public

viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA’s electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA’s electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the Docket will be scanned and placed in EPA’s electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA’s electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

For additional information about EPA’s electronic public docket visit EPA Dockets online or see 67 FR 38102, May 31, 2002.

B. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, by facsimile, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked “late.” EPA is not required to consider these late comments. However, late comments may be considered if time permits.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed below, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA’s policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that

is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. To access EPA's electronic public docket from the EPA Internet Home Page, select "Information Sources," "Dockets," and "EPA Dockets." Once in the system, select "search," and then key in Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by electronic mail (e-mail) to *a-and-r-docket@epa.gov*, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the Docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

2. *By Mail.* Send your comments to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Air and Radiation Docket, Environmental Protection Agency, EPA West, Mail Code 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20460. Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080.

3. *By Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver your comments to: Air and Radiation Docket, EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.A.1.

4. *By Facsimile.* Fax your comments to: (202) 566-1741, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2005-0080.

C. *What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?*

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at your estimate.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Offer alternatives.
7. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.
8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your response. It would also be helpful if you provided the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation related to your comments.

II. Background

DOE is developing the WIPP near Carlsbad in southeastern New Mexico as a deep geologic repository for disposal of TRU radioactive waste. As defined by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA) of 1992 (Pub. L. 102-579), as amended (Pub. L. 104-201), TRU waste consists of materials containing elements having atomic numbers greater than 92 (with half-lives greater than twenty years), in concentrations greater than 100 nanocuries of alpha-emitting TRU isotopes per gram of waste. Much of the existing TRU waste consists of items contaminated during the production of nuclear weapons, such as rags, equipment, tools, and sludges.

On May 13, 1998, EPA announced its final compliance certification decision to the Secretary of Energy (published May 18, 1998, 63 FR 27354). This decision stated that the WIPP will comply with EPA's radioactive waste disposal regulations at 40 CFR Part 191, Subparts B and C.

The final WIPP certification decision includes conditions that (1) prohibit shipment of TRU waste for disposal at WIPP from any site other than the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) until the EPA determines that the site has established and executed a quality assurance program, in accordance with §§ 194.22(a)(2)(i), 194.24(c)(3), and 194.24(c)(5) for waste characterization activities and assumptions (Condition 2 of Appendix A to 40 CFR Part 194); and (2) prohibit shipment of TRU waste for

disposal at WIPP from any site other than LANL until the EPA has approved the procedures developed to comply with the waste characterization requirements of § 194.22(c)(4) (Condition 3 of Appendix A to 40 CFR Part 194). The EPA's approval process for waste generator sites is described in § 194.8. As part of EPA's decision-making process, the DOE is required to submit to EPA appropriate documentation of quality assurance and waste characterization programs at each DOE waste generator site seeking approval for shipment of TRU radioactive waste to WIPP. In accordance with § 194.8, EPA has placed this documentation in the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, and informational dockets in the State of New Mexico for public review and comment.

EPA will perform an inspection of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project (AMWTP)'s technical program for waste characterization in accordance with Condition 3 of the WIPP certification. We will evaluate the adequacy, implementation, and effectiveness of technical processes related to the AMWTP's TRU waste characterization and certification activities. The elements of 40 CFR 194.8 waste characterization to be inspected are: (1) Acceptable knowledge (AK), nondestructive assay (NDA), and the WIPP Waste Information System (WWIS) for the purpose of confirming processes used to characterize CH TRU debris (compressed) waste; and, (2) the WWIS for characterizing CH TRU solid waste. The inspection is scheduled to take place the week of February 28, 2005.

EPA has placed DOE documents pertinent to the inspection in the public docket described in **ADDRESSES**. These include: (1) INEEL Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project Certification Plan for Contact-Handled Transuranic Waste, MP-TRUW-8.1, Revision 7, and (2) INEEL Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project Quality Assurance Project Plan, MP-TRUW-8.2, Revision 3. The documents have been placed in Docket A-98-49, Category II-A2, and can also be found online in EPA's EDOCKET OAR-2005-0080. In accordance with 40 CFR 194.8, as amended by the final certification decision, EPA is providing the public 30 days to comment on these documents.

If EPA determines as a result of the inspection that the proposed processes and programs at INEEL/AMWTP adequately control the characterization of transuranic waste, we will notify DOE

by letter and place the letter in the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, as well as in the informational docket locations in New Mexico. A letter of approval will allow DOE to ship transuranic waste characterized by the approved processes from INEEL/AMWTP to the WIPP. The EPA will not make a determination of compliance prior to the inspection or before the 30-day comment period has closed. Information on the certification decision is filed in the official EPA Air Docket, Docket No. A-93-02 and is available for review in Washington, DC, and at three EPA WIPP informational docket locations in New Mexico. The dockets in New Mexico contain only major items from the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, plus those documents added to the official Air Docket since the October 1992 enactment of the WIPP LWA.

Dated: March 3, 2005.

Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 05-4713 Filed 3-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 22

[WT Docket No. 04-435; FCC 04-288]

Facilitating the Use of Cellular Telephones and Other Wireless Devices Aboard Airborne Aircraft

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission proposes to replace or relax the ban on the airborne usage of 800 MHz cellular handsets as well as proposes other steps to facilitate the use of wireless handsets and devices, including those used for broadband applications, on airborne aircraft in appropriate circumstances. These actions should benefit consumers by adding to future and existing air-ground communications options that will provide greater access for mobile voice and broadband services while airborne.

DATES: Comments are due on or before April 11, 2005, and reply comments are due May 9, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Guy Benson, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at 202-418-2946 or via e-mail at Guy.Benson@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Federal Communications Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (NPRM), FCC 04-288, in WT Docket No. 04-435, adopted December 15, 2004, and released February 15, 2005. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor: Best Copy & Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 800-378-3160, facsimile 202-488-5563, or via e-mail at fcc@bcpiweb.com. The full text may also be downloaded at: <http://www.fcc.gov>. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418-7426 or TTY (202) 418-7365 or at Brian.Millin@fcc.gov.

I. Synopsis of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

1. In this *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, we propose to replace or relax our ban on airborne usage of 800 MHz cellular handsets as well as propose other steps to facilitate the use of wireless handsets and devices, including those used for broadband applications, on airborne aircraft in appropriate circumstances.

2. In 1991, the Commission adopted its prohibition on using 800 MHz cellular phones while airborne. The rule prevents the airborne use of cellular phones carried onboard by passengers or crew members, as well as use of cellular equipment that might be installed permanently, on both private and commercial aircraft. The ban was adopted in order to guard against the threat of harmful interference from airborne use of cellular phones to terrestrial cellular networks. While Personal Communications Services (PCS) under part 24 and Wireless Communications Services (WCS) under part 27 are not subject to an airborne use prohibition by Commission rules, regulations promulgated by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) prohibit the use of all types of mobile telephones, as well as other portable electronic devices (PEDs), on aircraft, unless the aircraft operator has determined that the use of the PED (including mobile/cellular telephones) will not interfere with the aircraft's aviation navigation and communication systems. Thus, while our objective is to relax or remove the Commission's prohibition on the airborne use of cellular telephones, any steps we

ultimately take will leave the use of personal electronic devices (including cellular and other wireless handsets) aboard aircraft subject to the rules and policies of the FAA and aircraft operators.

3. We believe that allowing the use of wireless handsets during flight has the potential to benefit homeland security, business, and consumers by adding to future and existing air-ground communications options, including broadband applications. We thus believe that the removal or modification of the Commission's cellular airborne prohibition will benefit public safety and homeland security personnel in need of an air-to-ground communications link in case of an emergency situation. It should also provide enhanced flexibility for service providers to meet the increasing demand for access to mobile telephone and mobile data services and encourage the deployment of innovative and efficient communications technologies and applications. Because of these potential benefits, we tentatively conclude that our current blanket prohibition on airborne cellular use should be modified, and we seek comment on ways to ensure that this can be accomplished without creating the potential for harmful interference to terrestrial cellular networks. We believe that taking action that will lead to more opportunities for service and less regulation for cellular licensees, yet which guards against harmful interference to terrestrial wireless communications, serves the public interest.

4. Accordingly, we believe that section 22.925 of our rules should be replaced with a more flexible policy, and we seek comment on whether the proposals detailed below are appropriate substitutes for the current ban on airborne cellular use.

A. Use of Wireless Handsets Controlled by Onboard Pico Cells

5. One promising technological approach that could support non-interfering airborne use of wireless handsets is to control handset operation through use of airborne "pico cells." In effect, an airborne pico cell is a low power cellular base station installed in the aircraft for the purpose of communicating with (and controlling the operations of) cellular handsets or other cellular devices brought on the aircraft by passengers and crew. Thus, a pico cell is analogous to an in-building wireless system (like those used in large buildings, malls, etc.) for use in the aircraft. The cellular signal travels from the cellular handset to the pico cell,