Roads in the southeast corner of section 28, T9N, R13W (Plantation Quadrangle); then
(3) Continues west on Kruse Ranch Road about 0.2 mile to its intersection with the 920-foot elevation line, T9N, R13W (Plantation Quadrangle); then
(4) Proceeds northerly then easterly along the 920-foot elevation line about 2.2 miles to its intersection with Hauser Bridge Road, section 27, T9N, R13W (Plantation Quadrangle); then
(5) Proceeds east on Hauser Bridge Road about 1.5 miles to its intersection with the 920-foot elevation line, section 23, T9N, R13W (Plantation Quadrangle); then
(6) Proceeds northwesterly then easterly along the 920-foot elevation line about 7.8 miles to its intersection with an unnamed, unimproved road that forks to the south from Tin Barn Road, section 8, T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(7) Proceeds then north along the unnamed, unimproved road to its intersection with Tin Barn Road, section 8, T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(8) Proceeds east in a straight line about 1.55 miles to the line’s intersection with Haupt Creek, section 10, T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(9) Follows Haupt Creek southeasterly about 1.2 miles to its junction with the western boundary of section 11, T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(10) Proceeds straight north along the western boundary of section 11 about 0.9 mile to the northwest corner of section 11 (near Buck Spring), T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(11) Proceeds 1.1 miles straight east along the northern boundary of section 11 and then section 12 to the section line’s intersection with an unnamed, unimproved road along Skyline Ridge, section 12, T9N, R13W (Annapolis Quadrangle); then
(12) Follows the unnamed, unimproved road southeast about 1.3 miles to the road’s intersection with the 1,200-foot elevation line, section 13, T9N, R13W (Tombs Creek Quadrangle); then
(13) Proceeds southeasterly along the 1,200-foot elevation line about 0.6 mile its intersection with Allen Creek, section 18, T9N, R12W (Tombs Creek Quadrangle); then
(14) Follows Allen Creek north about 0.2 mile to its intersection with the 920-foot elevation line, section 18, T9N, R12W (Tombs Creek Quadrangle); then
(15) Proceeds easterly and then southeasterly along the meandering 920-foot elevation line to its intersection with Jim Creek, south of a 1,200-foot plateau named The Island, section 21, T9N, R12W (Fort Ross Quadrangle); then
(16) Follows Jim Creek southeast about 0.7 mile to its intersection with the northern boundary of section 27, T9N, R12W (Fort Ross Quadrangle); then
(17) Proceeds along the northern boundary of section 27, T9N, R12W, to the northeast corner of that section (Fort Ross Quadrangle); then
(18) Proceeds south along the eastern boundaries of sections 27 and 34, T9N, R12W, and continues south along the eastern boundaries of sections 3, 10, 15, and 22, T8N, R12W, to the intersection of the eastern boundary of section 22 and Fort Ross Road (Fort Ross Quadrangle); then
(19) Proceeds easterly and then straight south on Fort Ross Road to the road’s intersection with the Middle Branch of Russian Gulch Creek, then follows the creek south for about 1.2 miles to the creek’s intersection with the 920-foot elevation line, east-southeast of the Black Mountain Conservation Camp, section 26, T8N, R12W (Fort Ross Quadrangle); then
(20) Proceeds southerly along the meandering 920-foot elevation line about 8.1 miles, passing between the Fort Ross and Arched Rock maps as the 920-foot elevation line meanders north then south around the West Branch of Russian Gulch, and returns to the beginning point at Meyers Grade Road, T8N, R12W (Arched Rock Quadrangle).

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau
27 CFR Part 9
[RIN 1513–AA72
Proposed Realignment of the Santa Lucia Highlands and Arroyo Seco Viticultural Areas (2003R–583P); Comment Period Extension
AGENCY: Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Treasury.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; comment period extension.
SUMMARY: In response to an industry request, the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau extends the comment period for Notice No. 29, Proposed Realignment of the Santa Lucia Highlands and Arroyo Seco Viticultural Areas, a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register on January 25, 2005, for an additional 60 days.
DATES: We must receive written comments regarding Notice No. 29 on or before May 25, 2005.
ADDRESSES: You may send comments to any of the following addresses:
• Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Attn: Notice No. 29, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412.
• (202) 927–8525 (facsimile).
• http://www.ttb.gov/alcohol/rules/index.htm. An online comment form is posted with this notice on our Web site.
• http://www.regulations.gov (Federal e-rulemaking portal; follow instructions for submitting comments).
You may view copies of this extension notice, Notice No. 29, the petition, the appropriate maps, and any comments we receive on Notice No. 29 by appointment at the TTB Library, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220. To make an appointment, call (202) 927–2400. You may also access copies of this extension notice, Notice No. 29, and the related comments online at http://www.ttb.gov/alcohol/rules/index.htm.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: N.A. Sutton, Program Manager, Regulations and Procedures Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 925 Lakeville St., #158, Petaluma, CA 94952; telephone (415) 271–1254.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Paul Thorpe, on behalf of E.&J. Gallo Winery, submitted a petition to TTB requesting the realignment of a portion of the common boundary between the established Santa Lucia Highlands viticultural area (27 CFR 9.139) and the established Arroyo Seco viticultural area (27 CFR 9.59). Both viticultural areas are within the Monterey viticultural area (27 CFR 9.98) in Monterey County, California, which is in turn within the larger multi-county Central Coast viticultural area (27 CFR 9.75). The proposed realignment would transfer about 200 acres from the Arroyo Seco viticultural area to the Santa Lucia Highlands area.
In Notice No. 29, published in the Federal Register (70 FR 3333) on Monday, January 24, 2005, we described the petitioner’s reasons for the proposed realignment and requested comments on that proposal on or before March 25, 2005.
On February 11, 2005, we received a request from Pete Downs of Kendall-Jackson Winery to extend the comment period for Notice No. 29. Mr. Downs requested the extension in order to study the proposal in greater depth.

In response to this request, we extend the comment period for Notice No. 29 an additional 60 days from the original closing date. Therefore, comments on Notice No. 29 are now due on or before May 25, 2005.

Drafting Information

Nancy Sutton of the Regulations and Procedures Division drafted this notice.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Wine.

Authority and Issuance

This notice is issued under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205.


John J. Manfreda,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 05–4483 Filed 3–7–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 311–0471b; FRL–7876–4]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Kern County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Kern County Air Pollution Control District (KCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern the emission of particulate matter (PM–10) from wood combustion and the recision of a rule exempting wet plumes from opacity measurement. We are proposing approval of a local rule and a recision of a rule that administer regulations and regulate emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by April 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Mail or e-mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, or e-mail to steckel.andrew@epa.gov, or submit comments at http://www.regulations.gov.

You can inspect a copy of the submitted rule revision and EPA’s technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see a copy of the submitted rule revision and TSD at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (Mail Code 6102T), Room B–102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 “I” Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 “M” Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltxt.htm. Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947–4118, petersen.alfred@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the approval of local KCAPCD Rule 416.1 and recision of Rule 403. In the Rules section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local rule and rule recision in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

Karen Schwinn,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 05–4341 Filed 3–7–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[WA–01–003; FRL–7881–9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; State of Washington; Spokane Carbon Monoxide Attainment Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.


The EPA also invites public comment on its proposal to approve certain source-specific SIP revisions relating to Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation.

DATES: Written comments must be received by April 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. WA–01–003, by one of the following methods:

- E-mail: R10aircom@epa.gov.
- Fax: (206)–553–0110.


Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. WA–01–003. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise