orders of at least 250 contracts in open outcry. Under the proposed procedures, a floor broker must be willing to facilitate the entire size of the order for which he or she requests quotes through the SizeQuote Mechanism (the “SizeQuote Order”).

As described more fully in the January Release, a floor broker seeking to use the SizeQuote trading procedure must specifically request a “SizeQuote” from in-crowd market participants (“ICMPs”), who may respond with indications of the price and size at which they would be willing to trade with the SizeQuote Order. ICMPs that provide SizeQuote responses at the highest bid or lowest offer (the “best price”) have priority to trade with the SizeQuote Order at that best price and at a price equal to one trading increment better than the best price (the “improved best price”). Allocation of the SizeQuote Order among ICMPs will be pro rata, up to the size of each ICMP’s SizeQuote response. If the ICMPs providing the best price or the improved best price do not execute the entire SizeQuote Order, the floor broker representing the SizeQuote Order must trade the remaining contracts at the best price or the improved best price, as applicable. A floor broker may execute the entire SizeQuote Order at a price two trading increments better than the best price provided by the ICMPs in their responses to the SizeQuote request.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other
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On November 10, 2004, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to amend CBOE Rule 6.74, “Crossing Orders,” to adopt, on a one-year pilot basis, a “SizeQuote Mechanism” for the execution of large-sized orders in open outcry. On December 22, 2004, the CBOE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposal. The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the Federal Register on January 12, 2005.3 The Commission received no comments regarding the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

The proposed SizeQuote Mechanism is a procedure by which floor brokers may execute and facilitate large-sized

---

things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The CBOE believes that the SizeQuote Mechanism will create enhanced incentives for ICMPs to quote competitively by giving ICMPs that respond to a SizeQuote request at the best price priority trade with the SizeQuote Order at the best price and at the improved best price (i.e., an improved increment better), as described above. Moreover, ICMPs will have only one opportunity to respond to a SizeQuote request, and ICMPs that do not respond at the best price will lose the opportunity to trade with the SizeQuote Order. The Commission believes that these procedures may encourage ICMPs to quote more competitively. The Commission notes, in addition, that if ICMPs providing SizeQuote responses do not execute the entire SizeQuote Order, the floor broker representing the SizeQuote Order must trade any remaining contracts at the best price, or at the improved best price, as applicable. At the same time, because the floor broker would be permitted to execute the entire SizeQuote Order at two increments better than the ICMPs’ best price, the Commission believes it is essential for the Exchange to monitor the impact of the proposed rule change on the competitive process. Thus, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change on a one-year pilot basis.

The CBOE has represented that it will promptly provide the Commission with greater flexibility with respect to closing accounts of participants and to permitting the account cessation or withdrawal from membership in the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") of FICC.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FICC included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule changes is to amend the timeframe in which a participant, limited purpose participant, or EPN user can cease to maintain an account or can voluntarily withdraw as a participant from the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") of FICC.

Currently, the MBSD’s Rules expressly state that in order to cease to maintain an account or to voluntarily withdraw as a participant, a participant must notify FICC of its intent to do so in writing, and thereafter FICC management and the participant must wait ten days for the cessation or withdrawal to become effective. Upon review, FICC has determined that imposing this mandatory time period is unnecessary. FICC believes it should have the flexibility, and thereby provide greater flexibility to participants, to close an account or permit withdrawal within a shorter period. The proposed changes would provide this flexibility by providing that (1) a participant must provide ten days’ written notice of account cessation or withdrawal from membership in the MBSD but the MBSD can accept termination within a shorter period; (2) the requested account cessation or withdrawal would not be effective until accepted by the MBSD, and (3) the MBSD’s acceptance will be evidenced by a notice to all members announcing the account cessation or withdrawal effective date.

FICC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to FICC because the proposed rule change will provide the FICC with greater flexibility with respect to closing accounts of participants and to permitting the voluntary withdrawal of participants thereby better enabling it to safeguard the securities and funds in its custody and control.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

FICC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not yet been solicited or received. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by FICC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within thirty-five days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.