

prices are to be calculated on the basis of the arm's-length sales in the field or area. Should MMS revise the rule to use arm's-length reported values for production from a reservation or other designated area?

3. Should MMS collect information to use in the major portion calculations to distinguish the quality of the oil (e.g., sweet crude, sour crude, yellow wax crude, etc.)? The workshops will be open to the public in order to discuss the valuation of crude oil produced from Indian leases. We encourage members of the public to attend these meetings. Those wishing to make formal presentations should sign up to do so upon arrival. The sign-up sheet will determine the order of speakers.

Executive Order 13175 requires the Federal Government to consult and collaborate with the Indian community (tribes and individual Indian mineral owners) in the development of Federal policies that impact the Indian community. The locations of the workshops were chosen to allow for increased participation by the Indian community. In addition, MMS will send out letters to various leaders in the Indian community advising them of, and encouraging them to participate in, the workshops.

The workshops will be open to the public without advance registration. Public attendance may be limited to the space available. We encourage a workshop atmosphere, and members of the public are encouraged to participate. We will post the minutes from each workshop on our Web site at <http://www.mrm.mms.gov>. You may submit written comments to MMS following the workshops by regular mail to P.O. Box 25165, MS 396B2, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165, by e-mail to [John.Barder@mms.gov](mailto:John.Barder@mms.gov), or through our Internet public comment system at <http://occonnect.mms.gov>.

Dated: February 9, 2005.

**Lucy Querques Denett,**

*Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.*

[FR Doc. 05-3252 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 207-0435b; FRL-7870-9]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the permitting of air pollution sources. We are proposing to approve local rules under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by March 24, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Gerardo Rios, Permits Office Chief (AIR-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, or e-mail to [R9airpermits@epa.gov](mailto:R9airpermits@epa.gov), or submit comments at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

You can inspect a copy of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions and TSD at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460. California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District, 43301 Division Street, #206, Lancaster, CA 93535.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbtxt.htm>. Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Manny Aquitania, Permits Office (AIR-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 972-3977; [aquitania.manny@epa.gov](mailto:aquitania.manny@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the approval of local AVAQMD permitting Rules 201, 203, 204, 205, and 217. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not

receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Dated: January 12, 2005.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 05-3186 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 307-0460b; FRL-7874-7]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, El Dorado County Air Quality Management District (Mountain Counties Portion), Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, and South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the El Dorado County Air Quality Management District (EDCAQMD) (Mountain Counties portion), Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD), and the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern an obsolete permitting rule and the storage and transfer of gasoline at dispensing facilities. We are proposing to remove an obsolete local permitting rule and are proposing to approve local rules that regulate volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by March 24, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail or e-mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, or e-mail to [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov), or submit comments at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

You can inspect a copy of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's

technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see a copy of the submitted rule revisions and TSDs at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

El Dorado County Air Quality Management District, 2850 Fairlane Court, Building C, Placerville, CA 95667.

Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, 150 South 9th Street, El Centro, CA 92243.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 East Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdb1txt.htm>. Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947-4118, [petersen.alfred@epa.gov](mailto:petersen.alfred@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the removal of local EDCAQMD Rule 425 and approval of ICAPCD Rule 415 and SCAQMD Rule 461. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of the direct final rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the direct final rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: December 17, 2004.

**Wayne Nastri,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 05-3357 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 05-310; MB Docket No. 05-46, RM-11156; MB Docket No. 05-47 RM-11157]

### Radio Broadcasting Services; Hornbeck, LA; Tennessee Colony, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document sets forth two proposals to amend the FM Table of Allotments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 73.202(b). The Commission requests comment on a petition filed by Charles Crawford. Petitioner proposes the allotment of Channel 269A at Hornbeck, Louisiana, as a first local service. Channel 269A can be allotted at Hornbeck in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 7.2 km (4.5 miles) west of Hornbeck. The proposed coordinates for Channel 269A at Hornbeck are 31-18-42 North Latitude and 93-28-12 West Longitude. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** *infra*.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before March 31, 2005, and reply comments on or before April 15, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner as follows: Charles Crawford, 4553 Bordeaux Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75205.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau (202) 418-7072.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket Nos. 05-46 and 05-47, adopted February 2, 2005, and released February 7, 2005. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, (800) 378-3160,

or via the company's Web site, <http://www.bcpiweb.com>. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

The Commission further requests comment on a petition filed by Charles Crawford. Petitioner proposes the allotment of Channel 300A at Tennessee Colony, Texas, as a first local service. Channel 300A can be allotted at Tennessee Colony in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 5.0 km (3.1 miles) northeast of Tennessee Colony. The proposed coordinates for Channel 300A at Tennessee Colony are 31-51-38 North Latitude and 95-47-49 West Longitude.

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### § 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Louisiana, is amended by adding Hornbeck, Channel 269A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Tennessee Colony, Channel 300A.