The draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA’s good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the agency’s current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirement of the applicable statutes and regulations.

II. Comments

The draft guidance is being distributed for comment purposes only and is not intended for implementation at this time. Interested persons may submit to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments regarding the draft guidance. Submit written or electronic comments to ensure adequate consideration in preparation of the final guidance. Submit a single copy of electronic comments or two paper copies of any mailed comments, except that individuals may submit one paper copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in the brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of the draft guidance and received comments are available for public examination in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This guidance contains information collection provisions that are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520). The collection(s) of information mentioned in the guidance regarding the submission of manufacturer’s information in an IND was approved under OMB control number 0910-0014.

IV. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the draft guidance at either http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm or http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

Jeffrey Shuren,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 05–3106 Filed 2–17–05; 8:45 am]
include the actual or projected dates for the following items: (1) Submission of the study protocol to FDA; (2) completion of patient accrual or initiation of an animal study; (3) completion of the study; and (4) submission of the final study report to FDA. The postmarketing study commitment status must be described in the annual report according to the following definitions:

- **Pending:** The study has not been initiated, but does not meet the criterion for delayed;
- **Ongoing:** The study is proceeding according to or ahead of the original schedule;
- **Delayed:** The study is behind the original schedule;
- **Terminated:** The study was ended before completion, but a final study report has not been submitted to FDA; or
- **Submitted:** The study has been completed or terminated, and a final study report has been submitted to FDA.

Databases containing information on postmarketing study commitments are maintained at the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER). Information in this report covers any postmarketing study commitment that was made, in writing, at the time of approval or after approval of an application or a supplement to an application, including those required (e.g., to demonstrate clinical benefit of a product following accelerated approval) and those agreed to with the applicant. Information summarized in this report includes the following items: (1) The number of applicants with open (uncompleted) postmarketing commitments; (2) the number of open postmarketing commitments; (3) the status of open postmarketing commitments as reported in §314.81(b)(2)(vii) or §601.70 annual reports; (4) the status of concluded postmarketing studies as determined by FDA; and (5) the number of applications with open postmarketing commitments for which sponsors did not submit an annual report within 60 days of the anniversary date of U.S. approval.

Additional information about postmarketing study commitments made by sponsors to CDER and CBER are provided on FDA’s Web site at http://www.fda.gov/cder. Like this notice, the site does not list postmarketing study commitments containing proprietary information. It is FDA policy not to post information on the Web site until it has been reviewed for accuracy. The numbers published in this notice cannot be compared with the numbers resulting from searches of the Web site. This notice incorporates totals for all postmarketing study commitments in FDA databases, including those undergoing review for accuracy. The report in this notice is updated annually while the Web site is updated quarterly (in April, July, October, and January).

II. Summary of Information From Postmarketing Study Progress Reports

This report summarizes the status of postmarketing commitments as of September 30, 2004. If a commitment did not have a schedule or a postmarketing progress report was not received, the commitment is categorized according to the most recent information available to the agency.

Data in table 1 of this document are numerical summaries generated from FDA databases. The data are broken out according to application type (NDAs/ANDAs or BLAs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1.—SUMMARY OF POSTMARKETING STUDY COMMITMENTS (NUMBERS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2004)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applicants with Open Postmarketing Commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Open Postmarketing Commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of Open Postmarketing Commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concluded Studies (October 1, 2003, through September 30, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Study No Longer Needed or Feasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications with Open Postmarketing Commitments with Annual Reports Due but Not Submitted within 60 Days of the Anniversary Date of U.S. Approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 On October 1, 2003, FDA completed a consolidation of certain products formerly regulated by CBER into CDER. The previous association of BLA reviews only with CBER is no longer valid; BLAs are now received by both CBER and CDER. Fiscal year statistics for CDER BLA postmarketing study commitments will continue to be counted under BLA totals in this table.
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Reviewer Guidance on Conducting a Clinical Safety Review of a New Product Application and Preparing a Report on the Review;Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a reviewer guidance entitled “Conducting a Clinical Safety Review of a New Product Application and Preparing a Report on the Review.” The guidance is intended to provide an annotated outline of the safety component of a clinical review of a new drug or biologic product application and guidance on how to conduct and organize the safety review. The guidance is also intended to provide standardization and consistency in the format, content, and quality of safety reviews. This reviewer guidance has been developed as part of the agency’s good review practices initiative. DATES: General comments on agency guidance documents are welcome at any time. ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the guidance to: Division of Drug Information (HFD-240), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. Submit written comments on the guidance to: Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments. See the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for electronic access to the draft guidance document. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Temple, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD-40), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–594–6758.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This good review practice (GRP) guidance is intended to assist reviewers conducting clinical safety reviews as part of the new drug application (NDA) and biologics license application (BLA) review process. The guidance provides standardization and consistency in the format and content of safety reviews and will help ensure that critical presentations and analyses are not inadvertently omitted. The standardized structure of this guidance will enable subsequent reviewers and other readers to readily locate specific safety information. This guidance is entirely compatible with the clinical review template, which has been developed in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research for use by application reviewers. The guidance is structured as an annotated outline to correlate exactly with the section headings of the review template, providing the pertinent guidance under each heading. The commentary and suggestions under each section of the guidance, together with appended examples, provide suggested analyses, methods of presentations, and discussion of special cases and potential difficulties.

In 1996, FDA announced the availability of the draft version of this guidance. A number of comments were received, and the agency considered them carefully as it finalized the guidance. The changes that were made to the guidance were intended primarily to make it consistent with the template reviewers are using to evaluate marketing applications. Some minor clarifying changes also were made. This level 1 guidance is being issued consistent with FDA’s good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The guidance represents the agency’s current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statute and regulations. Interested persons may submit to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments on the guidance at any time. Two copies of mailed comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. The guidance and received comments are available for public examination in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the guidance at either http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm or http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm. Dated: February 10, 2005.

Jeffrey Shuren, Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
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ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HRSA uses “low-income” levels to determine whether an individual is from an economically disadvantaged background in making eligibility and funding determinations for participants in various health professions and nursing grant and cooperative agreement programs authorized by Titles III, VII, and VIII of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act. In the past, an individual’s economically disadvantaged background status, as a basis for participation in certain programs, was based on the income level of the individual’s parents. However, many potential program participants are well above the age of majority. Accordingly, questions have been raised by potential program participants and program officials regarding the feasibility and fairness in determining economically disadvantaged status based solely on the parent’s income. This notice updates the low-income levels published by HRSA on August 5, 2003 (68 FR 46199–46200), and changes the methodology used to determine low income for use in these programs beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2005.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: HRSA publishes low-income levels of families (68 FR 46199–46200, 8/5/03) for the use of various health professions training and assistance programs funded under Titles III, VII, and VIII of the PHS Act in making eligibility and funding determinations for participants in the programs. HRSA establishes these low-income levels based on the poverty guidelines that HHS publishes annually in the Federal Register (68 FR 7336, 2/13/2004). HHS determines the poverty guidelines based on the poverty