

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Robert T. Tomlinson, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Colorado Interstate Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944 at (719) 520-3788 or by fax at (719) 667-7534 or Craig V. Richardson, Vice President and General Counsel, Colorado Interstate Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944 at (719) 520-4929 or by fax at (719) 520-4898.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project, or in support of or in opposition to this project, should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu

of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link. *Comment Date:* March 7, 2005.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-299 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-44-000]

#### Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

January 18, 2005.

Take notice that on January 5, 2005, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), 2603 Augusta, Houston, Texas 77057-5637, filed in Docket No. CP05-44-000, an application pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.208, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) as amended, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), for authorization to replace 9.39 miles of its 30- and 36-inch pipeline designated as Mainlines 100, 200, and 300, located in Williamson and Davidson counties, Tennessee, due to a Department of Transportation (DOT) class location change of the pipeline. Columbia Gulf states that as a result of recent population density surveys required by DOT, it has determined that in order to maintain the current maximum operating pressure of the pipeline, the existing pipeline must be replaced by a heavier walled pipeline. Columbia Gulf also seeks approval to abandon by removal an equivalent length of existing like sized transmission pipeline and appurtenances of its Mainlines 100, 200, and 300, which is being replaced. The pipeline will be replaced with an approximate like amount and a like size pipeline. The construction is proposed to take place within an existing right-of-way, is estimated to cost \$15.6-million, and will involve a typical lift and lay procedure, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to counsel for Columbia Gulf, Frederic J. George, Senior Attorney, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, PO Box 1273, Charleston West Virginia 25325-

1273; telephone 304-357-2359, fax 304-357-3206.

This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or call toll-free at (866) 206-3676, or, for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages intervenors to file electronically.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

*Comment Date:* March 7, 2005.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-302 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL05-54-000]

#### La Paloma Generating Company, LLC, Complainant v. California Independent System Operator Corporation, Respondent; Notice of Complaint Fast Track

January 13, 2005.

Take notice that on January 11, 2005, La Paloma Generating Company, LLC (La Paloma) filed a complaint against the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act,