

an affidavit dated November 24, 2004, a petitioner stated that she was separated from the subject company on February 3, 2002; that she worked in the sample and trim departments; that workers were sent to train workers in Mexico; that workers came from Mexico for training from 2000 through 2002; and that production equipment moved to Mexico. SAR 280.

Although the October 1, 2004 letter did not provide dates of the alleged activities and the November 24, 2004 affidavit was provided by a worker who is not, in fact, a member of the subject worker group (she was separated prior to February 11, 2002), the Department nonetheless inquired into whether any of the alleged actions took place during the relevant period in case they could constitute a basis for TAA certification.

According to the company's submissions, workers in Mexico were trained in preparation for the shift of the "Print Shop" label production, trained to use the new ABS computer system to improve production operations, and trained to design patterns and markers. SAR 212, 232. As previously stated, the Department considers the design of patterns and markers to be service work, not the production of an article, so any shift of such design work would be irrelevant. Further, a marker design facility was not created in Mexico until March 2004, well after the relevant period. SAR 242.

As directed, the Department also investigated whether the subject workers could be certified as either service workers or secondarily-impacted workers and determined that there was no activity at the subject facilities that could constitute a basis for certification under either category.

A careful review of the company's submissions shows that, during the relevant period, the El Paso, Texas facilities did not support a domestic production facility negatively-impacted by increased imports or a shift of production abroad and, therefore, do not qualify as a service company. Further, since none of the three El Paso, Texas facilities supplied components to or assemble and/or finish products for an affiliated domestic production facility negatively-impacted by increased imports or a shift of production abroad during the relevant period, the petitioners do not qualify as a secondarily-affected worker group. Rather, the three El Paso, Texas facilities supported a production facility located in Mexico. SAR 237, 274.

In summary, the remand investigation has enabled the Department to determine comprehensively that (1) patterns and markers were generated

and transmitted electronically; (2) production of samples was shifted from the Armour Facility to California, not to Mexico; (3) there has been no importation of samples; (4) samples have been produced for internal use only and have no impact on imports; and (5) there has been no production of jeans by the subject facilities since 2000 (prior to the relevant period).

#### Conclusion

As the result of the findings of the investigation on remand, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Sun Apparel of Texas, Inc., Armour Facility, El Paso, Texas (TA-W-51,120), Sun Warehouse Facility, El Paso, Texas (TA-W-51,120A), and Goodyear Distribution, El Paso, Texas (TA-W-51,120B).

Signed at Washington, DC this 16th day of December 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-258 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,002]

##### **Taisho Electric Corporation of America; El Paso, TX; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Taisho Electric Corporation of America, El Paso, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

*TA-W-56,002; Taisho Electric Corporation of America, El Paso, Texas (January 14, 2005).*

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of January 2005.

**Timothy Sullivan,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-274 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,126]

##### **Teleflex Automotive, Inc., Waterbury, Connecticut; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 3, 2004, in response to a worker petition filed by a State Government representative on behalf of workers at Teleflex Automotive, Inc., Waterbury, Connecticut.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid. In order to establish a valid worker group, there must be at least three full-time workers employed at some point during the period under investigation. Workers of the group subject to this investigation did not meet the threshold of employment. Consequently the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of December, 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-265 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,996]

##### **Union Wadding Company; Pawtucket, RI; Notice of Revised Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

By letter dated December 29, 2004, a company official, requested administrative reconsideration regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The certification for Trade Adjustment Assistance was signed on December 16, 2004. The Notice of determination will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The initial investigation determined that subject worker group possess skills that are easily transferable.

The petitioner provided new information to show that the workers possess skills that are not easily transferable.

At least five percent of the workforce at the subject firm is at least fifty years of age. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

“All workers of Union Wadding Company, Pawtucket, Rhode Island, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 9, 2003 through December 16, 2006, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of January 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-273 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Labor Statistics

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the “Current Population Survey (CPS) Volunteer Supplement.” A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section of this notice on or before March 28, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212, telephone number 202-691-7628. (This is not a toll free number.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, telephone number 202-691-7628. (See **ADDRESSES** section.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The September 2005 CPS Volunteer Supplement will be conducted at the request of the Corporation for National and Community Service, and USA Freedom Corps. The Volunteer Supplement will provide information on the total number of individuals in the U.S. involved in unpaid volunteer activities, measures of the frequency or intensity with which individuals volunteer, types of organizations that facilitate volunteerism, activities in which volunteers participate, and reasons why former volunteers no longer do volunteer work.

Because the Volunteer Supplement is part of the CPS, the same detailed demographic information collected in the CPS will be available on respondents to the Supplement. Comparisons of volunteer activities will be possible across characteristics such as sex, race, age, and educational attainment of the respondent. It is intended that the Supplement will be conducted annually, if resources permit, in order to gauge changes in volunteerism.

##### II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the CPS Volunteer Supplement.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* CPS Volunteer Supplement.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0176.

*Affected Public:* Households.

*Total Respondents:* 58,000.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Total Responses:* 112,000

*Average Time Per Response:* 4

minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 7,467 hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

##### III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of January, 2005.

**Cathy Kazanowski,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 05-1379 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

[Docket No. 2005-2 CARP CRA]

#### Adjustment of Cable Statutory License Royalty Rates

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office of the Library of Congress is requesting comment as to whether the 2005 cable statutory license rate adjustment proceeding should take place under the auspices of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (“CARP”) system or the new Copyright Royalty Judge (“CRJ”) system.

**DATES:** Comments should be received by the Copyright Office no later than February 16, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** If hand delivered by a private party, an original and five copies of a comment should be brought to Room LM-401 of the James Madison Memorial Building and the envelope should be addressed as follows: Office