

makes its determinations based on the requirements as outlined in section 222 of the Trade Act. In particular, the Department considers the relevant employment data for the facility where the petitioning worker group was employed. As employment levels at the subject facility did not decline significantly in the relevant period, criteria (I.A.) of Section (a)(2)(A) has not been met.

Additionally, the petitioner included information indicating that Boeing had lost a significant portion of its market share to the European Airbus Consortium. Although the Department would normally consider such information, since the subject division did not experience a significant decline in employment, it does not affect the outcome of this investigation.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of December 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-260 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,114]

##### **Bourns Microelectronics Modules, Inc. Formerly Known as Microelectronics Modules Corporation a Subsidiary of Bourns Inc., New Berlin, Wisconsin; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 1, 2004 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Bourns Microelectronic Modules Inc., formerly known as Microelectronics Modules Corporation, a subsidiary of Bourns Inc., New Berlin, Wisconsin.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition (TA-W-42,217) which expired on December 6, 2004. Since the firm has ceased production and all workers were covered under that certification, there is no basis for issuing a new certification. Consequently, further investigation in

this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of December 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-263 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,125]

##### **Caledonia Two, Formerly South Carolina Tees, Andrews, South Carolina; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 3, 2004 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of Caledonia Two, formerly South Carolina Tees, Andrews, South Carolina.

The petition was filed more than one year after the subject firm was closed. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of December, 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-264 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,578]

##### **Celestica, Repair Subdivision, Little Rock, AR; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application of October 29, 2004, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 2022, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The negative determination applicable to workers of Celestica, Repair Subdivision, Little Rock, Arkansas was signed on October

15, 2004. The notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on November 12, 2004 (69 FR 65462).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition was filed on behalf of workers at Celestica, Repair Subdivision, Little Rock, Arkansas engaged in activities related to the repair of defective wireless phones, wired office phone handlers, phone switches, and other related equipment. The petition was denied because the workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Act.

In the request for reconsideration, the Union alleged that repair work should be considered remanufacturing work.

A company official was contacted to clarify the work performed at the Repair Subdivision and ascertain whether the repaired items were sold as remanufactured items. The official stated that the work done was repair and not remanufacturing, that defective items were sent to the repair facility by the end user pursuant to a warranty, that repaired items were returned directly to the end user, and that repaired items were not sold as remanufactured items.

Repair of products already purchased does not constitute production within the context of eligibility requirements for trade adjustment assistance.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of January, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-271 Filed 1-25-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**