

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Mine Safety and Health Administration****Petitions for Modification**

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of existing safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

1. Six M Coal Company

[Docket No. M-2004-053-C]

Six M Coal Company, 482 High Road, Ashland, Pennsylvania 17921 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1400 (Hoisting equipment; general) to its No. 1 Slope Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 36-09138) located in Daupin County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use a slope conveyance (gunboat) in transporting persons without installing safety catches or other no less effective devices. The petitioner would instead use increased rope strength and secondary safety rope connections in place of such devices. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as the existing standard.

2. Consol Pennsylvania Coal Company

[Docket No. M-2005-001-C]

Consol Pennsylvania Coal Company, 1800 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15241 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.364(b)(2) (Weekly examination) to its Enlow Fork Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 36-07416) located in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit the use of monitoring stations in lieu of walking the complete entries of the return air course. The petitioner proposes to establish three monitoring stations in the affected area of the air course and have a certified person examine the stations on a weekly basis to determine the quantity and quality of air entering and exiting the stations. The petitioner states that the air quality measurements will be made using an MSHA approved hand-held methane and oxygen meter, and the examiner will record their initials, and the date and time of examinations on a date board maintained at each monitoring station, and in a book kept on the surface. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as the existing standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions are encouraged to submit comments via

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>; E-mail: Comments@MSHA.gov; Fax: (202) 693-9441; or Regular Mail/Hand Delivery/ Courier: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2350, Arlington, Virginia 22209. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before February 24, 2005. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.

Dated at Arlington, Virginia this 18th day of January 2005.

Rebecca J. Smith,

Deputy Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.

[FR Doc. 05-1299 Filed 1-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (05-007)]

NASA Robotic and Human Exploration of Mars Strategic Roadmap Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Robotic and Human Exploration of Mars Strategic Roadmap Committee.

DATES: Tuesday, February 8, 2005, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Wednesday, February 9, 2005, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Thursday, February 10, 2005, 8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Carnegie Institution, second floor, 1530 P Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael Meyer, 202-358-0307.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the meeting room. Attendees will be requested to sign a register. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Introductory remarks and review of agenda.
- Key capability drivers for Mars exploration: Roadmap sub-team reports and discussion.
- Invited Study Reports and Discussion.
- Action item group reports and discussion.
- Roadmap integration plans (optional).
- Synthesis of Mars exploration roadmap and pathways.

- Actions and writing assignments.
- Plans for roadmap committee interactions and next meeting.

It is imperative that the meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Dated: January 18, 2005.

P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 05-1300 Filed 1-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**Meetings of Humanities Panel**

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Schneider, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4),

and (6) of section 552b of title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* February 1, 2005.

Time: 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for History, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

2. *Date:* February 1, 2005.

Time: 8:30 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Media, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the November 3, 2004 deadline.

3. *Date:* February 2, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Collaborative Research in European Studies, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

4. *Date:* February 3, 2005.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Colleges and Universities I, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

5. *Date:* February 4, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Collaborative Research in Literature and the Arts, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

6. *Date:* February 7, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Collaborative Research in Africa and Asia, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

7. *Date:* February 8, 2005.

Time: 8:30 a.m. 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Art and Anthropology, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

8. *Date:* February 9, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Scholarly Editions in American History, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

9. *Date:* February 10, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Collaborative Research in Philosophy, Science, and Religion, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

10. *Date:* February 10, 2005.

Time: 8:30 a.m. 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Colleges and Universities II, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

11. *Date:* February 11, 2005.

Time: 9 a.m. 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Collaborative Research in American Studies, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the November 1, 2004 deadline.

Daniel Schneider,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–1313 Filed 1–24–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030–33542]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment for Pharmacoepia, Incorporated's Facility in Monmouth Junction, NJ

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Nicholson, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, 19406, telephone (610) 337–5236, fax (610) 337–5269; or by email: jjn@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a license amendment to Pharmacoepia, Inc. for Materials License No. 29–30152–01, to authorize release of its facility in Monmouth Junction, New Jersey for unrestricted use. NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this action in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR part 51. Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate. The amendment will be

issued following the publication of this Notice.

II. EA Summary

The purpose of the action is to authorize the release of the licensee's Monmouth Junction, New Jersey facility for unrestricted use. Pharmacoepia, Inc. was authorized by NRC from February 1999 to use radioactive materials for research and development purposes at the site. On April 28, 2004, Pharmacoepia, Inc. requested that NRC release the facility for unrestricted use. Pharmacoepia, Inc. has conducted surveys of the facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that the site meets the license termination criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR part 20 for unrestricted use. Pharmacoepia, Inc. will continue licensed activities at another location, as authorized by the license.

The NRC staff has prepared an EA in support of the license amendment. The facility was remediated and surveyed prior to the licensee requesting the license amendment. The NRC staff has reviewed the information and final status survey submitted by Pharmacoepia, Inc. Based on its review, the staff has determined that there are no additional remediation activities necessary to complete the proposed action. Therefore, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity at the facility and concluded that since the residual radioactivity meets the requirements in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20, a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The staff has prepared the EA (summarized above) in support of the license amendment to release the facility for unrestricted use. The NRC staff has evaluated Pharmacoepia, Inc.'s request and the results of the surveys and has concluded that the completed action complies with the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has found that the environmental impacts from the action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by NUREG–1496, Volumes 1–3, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Facilities" (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). On the basis of the EA, the NRC has concluded that the environmental impacts from the action are expected to be insignificant and has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the action.