

*Type of Request:* Intent to seek approval to renew an information collection.

#### Abstract

*Proposed Project:* On September 11, 1993, President Clinton issued Executive Order 12862, "Setting Customer Service Standards," which calls for Federal agencies to provide service that matches or exceeds the best service available in the private sector. Section 1(b) of that order requires agencies to "survey customers to determine the kind and quality of services they want and their level of satisfaction with existing services." The National Science Foundation (NSF) has an ongoing need to collect information from its customer community (primarily individuals and organizations engaged in science and engineering research and education) about the quality and kind of services it provides and use that information to help improve agency operations and services.

*Estimate of Burden:* The burden on the public will change according to the needs of each individual customer satisfaction survey; however, each survey is estimated to take approximately 30 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* Will vary among individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; farms; Federal Government; State, local or tribal governments.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Survey:* This will vary by survey.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: January 14, 2005.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 05-1194 Filed 1-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting Notice

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) will hold a meeting on February 10-12, 2005, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Wednesday, November 24, 2004 (69 FR 68412).

#### Thursday, February 10, 2005, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-8:35 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman* (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.-10:30 a.m.: Power Uprate for Waterford Nuclear Plant* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and Entergy Operations, Inc. regarding the Entergy's license amendment request for an 8% increase in thermal power for the Waterford Nuclear Plant and the related NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report.

*10:45 a.m.-12:30 p.m.: Technical Basis for Potential Revision of the Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) Screening Criteria in the PTS Rule* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the technical basis for potential revision of the PTS screening criteria in the PTS rule

*1:30 p.m.-4:30 p.m.: Mixed Oxide (MOX) Fuel Fabrication Facility* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the draft Safety Evaluation Report related to the construction authorization request to construct a MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility at the Department of Energy's Savannah River site.

*4:30 p.m.-6:30 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on matters considered during this meeting.

#### Friday, February 11, 2005, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-8:35 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman* (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.-8:50 a.m.: Subcommittee Report* (Open)—The Committee will hear a report by the Chairman of the ACRS Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal regarding interim review of the license renewal application for the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant.

*8:50 a.m.-10 a.m.: Future ACRS Activities/Report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee* (Open)—The Committee will discuss the recommendations of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee regarding items proposed for consideration by the full Committee during future meetings. Also, it will hear a report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee on matters related to the conduct of ACRS business, including anticipated workload and member assignments.

*10:15 a.m.-11:15 a.m.: Assessment of the Quality of the Selected NRC Research Projects* (Open)—The Committee will hear a report by the Chairman of the Safety Research Program Subcommittee regarding the plan, schedule, and assignments for assessing the quality of selected NRC research projects.

*11:15 a.m.-11:30 a.m.: Reconciliation of ACRS Comments and Recommendations* (Open)—The Committee will discuss the responses from the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO) to comments and recommendations included in recent ACRS reports and letters. The EDO responses are expected to be made available to the Committee prior to the meeting.

*12:30 p.m.-6:30 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports.

#### Saturday, February 12, 2005, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-12:30 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will continue its discussion of proposed ACRS reports.

*12:30 p.m.-1 p.m.: Miscellaneous* (Open)—The Committee will discuss matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 2004 (69 FR 59620). In accordance with those procedures, oral or written views may be presented by members of the public, including representatives of the nuclear industry. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during the open portions of the meeting. Persons desiring to make oral

statements should notify the Cognizant ACRS staff named below five days before the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during the meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting the Cognizant ACRS staff prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with the Cognizant ACRS staff if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, as well as the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting Mr. Sam Duraiswamy, Cognizant ACRS staff (301-415-7364), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., e.t.

ACRS meeting agenda, meeting transcripts, and letter reports are available through the NRC Public Document Room at [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov), or by calling the PDR at 1-800-397-4209, or from the Publicly Available Records System (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS) which is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> or <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/> (ACRS & ACNW Mtg schedules/agendas).

Videoteleconferencing service is available for observing open sessions of ACRS meetings. Those wishing to use this service for observing ACRS meetings should contact Mr. Theron Brown, ACRS Audio Visual Technician (301-415-8066), between 7:30 a.m. and 3:45 p.m., e.t., at least 10 days before the meeting to ensure the availability of this service. Individuals or organizations requesting this service will be responsible for telephone line charges and for providing the equipment and facilities that they use to establish the videoteleconferencing link. The availability of videoteleconferencing services is not guaranteed.

Dated: January 14, 2005.

**Annette Vietti-Cook,**  
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-1197 Filed 1-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## PACIFIC NORTHWEST ELECTRIC POWER AND CONSERVATION PLANNING COUNCIL

### Northwest Power and Conservation Planning Council Subbasin Plan Draft Amendments

**AGENCY:** Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council (Northwest Power and Conservation Council; Council).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and opportunity to comment on subbasin plan draft amendments to the Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program (program).

**SUMMARY:** Following the mandate set out in the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (16 U.S.C. 839 *et seq.*) (the Act), in November 1982 the Council adopted a regional program, the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. The Act requires the program be designed to protect, mitigate and enhance fish and wildlife of the Columbia River Basin affected by hydropower dams, while also assuring the region of an adequate, efficient, economical and reliable power supply.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 2000, the Council began a comprehensive revision of the program. First, the Council amended the program by adopting a framework of vision, objectives and strategies at different geographic scales (basinwide, ecological province, subbasin), tied together with a consistent scientific foundation. The Council also adopted basinwide provisions and described how it proposed to add more specific objectives and measures to the program through integrated subbasin plans for the tributary subbasins of the Columbia and for specific mainstem reaches. The draft amendments now proposed for adoption will add subbasin plans to the general, basinwide provisions of the program as the next step in the comprehensive revision.

On August 12, 2002, the Council solicited recommendations for amendments to the program at the subbasin level from the region's state and federal fish and wildlife agencies, Indian tribes, and others, as required by the Act. At the same time, the Council worked with a broad range of interests in the region and developed a "Technical Guide for Subbasin Planners" to help ensure that plans had a consistent format and content. The Council also worked with the Bonneville Power Administration to secure funding support for planning groups, the first time that funding has

been made available to help develop fish and wildlife program amendment recommendations. Subbasin planners were asked to develop subbasin plans that incorporate a technical assessment, an inventory of past and present activities, and a management plan consisting of a vision, biological objectives and implementation strategies for the subbasin.

On May 28, 2004, the Council received 59 recommendations for subbasin plans in 58 subbasins from various planning entities. The Council made those recommendations available for public review and comment, including review by a team of independent scientists. The public comment period on the recommendations ended on August 12, 2004. The Council received an extensive set of comments. The Council staff and Council also reviewed the plans during the comment period for consistency with standards in the Act for program amendments and with the provisions in the 2000 Program.

After its review of the recommendations and the comments on recommendations, the Council divided the recommended subbasin plans into three groups for consideration as amendments to the Council's fish and wildlife program. From October to December 2004, the Council engaged in public review of the first set of draft subbasin plans, deciding in December 2004 to adopt plan for 23 subbasin plans into the program.

At same time, as its December 2004 meeting the Council decided to release a second set of 29 subbasin plan recommendations for public review as draft amendments to the program. The Council proposes to adopt the management plan portions of these subbasin plans as parts of the program. The underlying technical assessments and inventories will be placed in an appendix to the program. The Columbia subbasins for which draft subbasin plans are now proposed for adoption into the program are: Boise, Burnt, Clearwater, Columbia Estuary, Cowlitz, Deschutes Elochoman, Entiat, Grays, Imnaha, Kalama, Klickitat, Lewis, Little White Salmon, Lower Columbia, Lower Mid-Columbia, Lower Mid-Snake, Methow, Okanogan, Payette, Powder, Snake Hells Canyon, Upper Mid-Snake, Walla Walla, Washougal, Weiser, Wenatchee, Wind, Yakima.

### Public Comments and Hearings

The Council has scheduled public hearings in the following locations to accept oral and written comments on the 29 draft subbasin plan program amendments: