

## Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2004-89 on the subject line.

## Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2004-89. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submissions, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Phlx.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2004-89 and should be submitted on or before January 19, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>21</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-28479 Filed 12-28-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-50901; File No. SR-Phlx-2004-84]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. to Eliminate the Public Order Exposure System Functionality From Phlx Rule 229**

December 21, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on November 26, 2004, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Phlx. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Phlx proposes to eliminate the Public Order Exposure System ("POES") functionality from Phlx Rule 229. The text of amended Exchange Rule 229 is set forth below. Brackets indicate deletions; *italics* indicate additions.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Rule 229. Philadelphia Stock Exchange Automated Communication and Execution System (PACE)**

Supplementary Material: \* \* \*  
.01—.04 No Change.  
.05—[Public Order Exposure System—]Subject to Supplementary Material Section .07, all round-lot market orders up to 500 shares and PRL market orders up to 599 shares *entered after the opening will be automatically executed at the PACE Quote*. [Will be stopped at the PACE Quote at the time of entry into the system ("Stop Price") and be subject to a delay of up to 30 seconds from being executed in order to receive an opportunity for price improvement. If such market order is not executed within the 30 second window, the order will be automatically executed at the Stop Price. If the PACE Quote at the time of order entry into the system reflects a point spread (the difference between the best bid and offer) of \$.05 or less for equities trading in decimals, pursuant to Rule 134 or

125, that order will be executed immediately without the 30 second delay.]

\* \* \*

.06—No Change.

.07—(a)-(b) No Change.

(c) Price Improvement for PACE Orders.

(i) Automatic Price Improvement—Where the specialist voluntarily agrees to provide automatic price improvement to all customers and all eligible market orders in a security, automatically executable market and marketable limit orders in New York Stock Exchange and American Stock Exchange listed securities received through PACE for 599 shares or less shall be provided with automatic price improvement from the PACE Quote when received either \$.01 or a percentage of the PACE Quote when the order is received for equities trading in decimals beginning at 9:30 A.M., except where:

(A) A buy order would be improved to a price less than the last sale (except as provided in ([F]E) below) or a sell order would be improved to a price higher than the last sale (except as provided in ([E]D) below); or

(B) A buy order would be improved to the last sale price which is a downtick (except as provided in ([F]E) below) or a sell order would be improved to the last sale price which is an uptick (except as provided in ([E]D) below). The PACE System will determine whether the last sale price is a downtick or an uptick. The PACE System does not recognize changes from the previous day's close.

In these situations, the order is not eligible for automatic price improvement, and is, instead, automatically executed at the PACE Quote. A specialist may voluntarily agree to provide automatic price improvement to larger orders in a particular security to all customers under this provision.

A specialist may choose to provide automatic price improvement of: (i) \$.01 where the PACE Quote is either \$.05 or greater, or \$.03 or greater, or (ii) where the PACE Quote is \$.02 or greater, a percentage of the PACE Quote when the order is received, up to 50%, rounded to the nearest penny, and at least \$.01, in a particular security to all customers.

(C) Automatic price improvement will not occur for odd-lot orders, nor where the execution price before or after the application of automatic price improvement would be outside the primary market high/low range for the day, if so elected by the entering member organization.

(D) [The POES window of Supplementary Material .05 above does

<sup>21</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

not apply where an order is subject to automatic price improvement or manual price protection.

(E)] Sell Order Enhancement I—A specialist may choose to give automatic price improvement to all sell orders of 100 shares or more, as determined by the specialist, in a particular security which would be improved to the last sale on an uptick; or

Sell Order Enhancement II—A specialist may choose to give automatic price improvement to all sell orders of 100 shares or more, as determined by the specialist, in a particular security which would be improved to a price higher than the last sale.

(F)] Buy Order Enhancement—A specialist may choose to give automatic price improvement to all buy orders, as determined by the specialist, in any security that is exempted from or otherwise not subject to Securities Exchange Act Rule 10a-1.

(c)(i)–(iv)—No Change  
.08—.22—No Change

\* \* \* \* \*

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Phlx included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Phlx has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to reduce the time between order receipt and execution for market orders, thereby improving order turnaround time, and to reduce the number of manual orders. Currently, Phlx Rule 229, Supplementary Material .05, provides that if the PACE<sup>3</sup> Quote<sup>4</sup> at the time of order entry into the system reflects a point spread (the difference between the best bid and

offer) of more than \$.05, round-lot market orders up to 500 shares and PRL<sup>5</sup> market orders up to 599 shares will be stopped at the PACE Quote at the time of entry into the system ("Stop Price") and be subject to a delay of up to 30 seconds from being executed in order to receive an opportunity for price improvement. During that time, specialists may, but are not required to, improve the execution price of the order to a price better than the Stop Price. If such market order is not executed within the 30-second window, the order will be automatically executed at the Stop Price. If the PACE Quote at the time of order entry into the system reflects a point spread of \$.05 or less, that order would be executed immediately without the 30-second delay.

Since the creation of POES,<sup>6</sup> the Exchange has adopted other means of price improvement known as automatic price improvement ("API") and manual price protection that may apply in certain situations.<sup>7</sup> Pursuant to Phlx Rule 229, Supplementary Material .07(c)(i)(D), the POES window does not apply where an order is subject to API or manual price protection. However, some orders are still subject to the window, which means that these otherwise automatically executable orders drop to manual for a period of 30 seconds waiting for specialists to manually price improve them, after which they are executed. Eliminating the POES functionality will eliminate the 30 second waiting time for automatic execution and allow such orders to be automatically executed at the PACE quote. Specialists who are interested in offering automatic price improvement will still have the Exchange's API available to them on a symbol-by-symbol basis, as they do today.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>5</sup> PRL means a combined round-lot and odd-lot order. See Phlx Rule 229.

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35283 (January 26, 1995), 60 FR 6333 (February 1, 1995) (SR-Phlx-94-58).

<sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 39548 (January 13, 1998), 63 FR 3596 (January 23, 1998) (SR-Phlx-97-23).

<sup>8</sup> Additionally, manual price improvement will continue to be available to specialists when certain conditions are met. See Phlx Rule 229, Supplementary Material .07(c)(ii). According to the Phlx, because of the existence of these other means of price improvement (API and manual), the POES system is rarely used by the specialists as a method of price improvement. Telephone conversation between John Dayton, Assistant Secretary and Counsel, Phlx, and Angela Muehr, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, on December 9, 2004.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>9</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>10</sup> in particular, in that it should promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and protect investors and the public interest by improving order turnaround time and reducing the number of manual orders.

#### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

#### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2004-84 on the subject line.

#### Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission,

<sup>3</sup> PACE is the Exchange's automated order routing, delivery, execution and reporting system for equities. See Phlx Rule 229.

<sup>4</sup> The PACE Quote means the best bid/ask quote among the American, Boston, National, Chicago, New York, Pacific, or Philadelphia Stock Exchanges, or the Intermarket Trading System/Computer Assisted Execution System ("ITS/CAES") quote, as appropriate. See Phlx Rule 229.

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2004-84. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Phlx. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2004-84 and should be submitted on or before January 19, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>11</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E4-3876 Filed 12-28-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-475-825]

#### Stainless Steel Sheet & Strip in Coils from Italy; Preliminary Results of the Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on stainless steel sheet & strip in coils ("SSSS") from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 30874 (June 1, 2004). On the basis of substantive responses filed by domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting a full sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 29, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On June 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on SSSS from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 30874 (June 1, 2004). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Allegheny Ludlum Corp. ("Allegheny Ludlum"), North America Stainless ("NAS"), Nucor Corporation, Local 3303 United Auto Workers, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC ("USWA"), the domestic interested parties (collectively "domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline (June 16, 2004) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. However, NAS does not support continuation of this countervailing duty order. See Notice of Intent to Participate from the Domestic Interested Parties at footnote 1 (June 16, 2004). All domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as a U.S. producer of the domestic like product or a certified union whose workers are engaged in the production of the subject merchandise in the United States.

On July 1, 2004, we received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's *Regulations*. See Substantive Response of the Domestic Interested Parties (July 1, 2004).

The Department received a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation on behalf of three respondent interested parties: the Government of Italy ("GOI"), the Delegation of the European Commission ("EC"), and TKAST. We received substantive responses from all respondent interested parties expressing their willingness to participate in this review. See Responses of the GOI (unpaginated), June 30, 2004, ("GOI Response"); EC (unpaginated), June 30, 2004, ("EC Response"). TKAST, a foreign producer and exporter of the subject merchandise claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(A) of the Act. See Substantive Response of TKAST at 2 (July 1, 2004) ("TKAST Response"). All respondent interested parties note that they have participated in this proceeding.

We received rebuttal comments from the domestic interested parties on July 9, 2004; however, we did not receive rebuttal comments from the respondent interested parties.

In a sunset review, the Department normally will find that there is adequate response to conduct a full sunset review where respondent interested parties account for more than 50 percent, by volume, of total exports of subject merchandise to the United States. See 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). TKAST accounted for more than the 50 percent threshold that the Department normally considers to be an adequate response under 19 CFR section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). On July 13, 2004, the Department determined that the responses by TKAST, the only respondent company in this review, the GOI, and the EC provided an adequate basis for a full review. See Memorandum for James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Re: Sunset Review of Stainless Steel Sheet & Strip in Coils from Italy; Adequacy of Respondent Interested Party Response to the Notice of Initiation, July 21, 2004. Therefore, the Department is conducting a full sunset review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i).

**Scope of Review**

For purposes of this review, the product covered by this order is certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).