

the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent review of that company; (3) the cash deposit rate for the NME/PRC entity will continue to be the NME/PRC-wide rate (*i.e.*, 243.40 percent); and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter/producer that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. There are no changes to the rates applicable to any other companies under this antidumping duty order.

Notification to Interested Parties

The Department will disclose calculations performed in connection with the final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) of its regulations. This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 10, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

Comments

1. Valuation of Sebacic Acid
2. Valuation of Activated Carbon
3. Valuation of Capryl Alcohol
4. Valuation of Castor Oil
5. Methodology for Calculation of Co-Product Ration
6. Selection of Surrogate Financial Ratios

7. Correction of Clerical Errors

[FR Doc. E4-3678 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 16, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6905.

Background

On July 7, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Taiwan. *See Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Rescind in Part*, 69 FR 40859 (July 7, 2004). On October 20, 2004, the Department published an extension of 45 days for the final results of this proceeding. *See Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 61649 (October 20, 2004). The final results of this administrative review are currently due no later than December 19, 2004.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable because this review involves a complex affiliation issue. The complexity of this issue requires the Department to fully extend the deadline for the completion of the final results by

the remaining 15 days of the 60 days allowed by the statute.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by 15 days until no later than January 3, 2005.

Dated: December 10, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-3682 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-839]

Notice of Implementation Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act; Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 10, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Terpstra or Stephanie Moore, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965 or (202) 482-3692, respectively.

Background

On February 17, 2004, the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) of the World Trade Organization (WTO) adopted the reports of the panel and Appellate Body in *United States—Final Countervailing Duty Determination with Respect to Softwood Lumber from Canada*, WT/DS257 (“*Softwood Lumber*”). The Appellate Body concluded that Commerce's *Softwood Lumber* determination was inconsistent with the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures because the Department of Commerce (the Department) failed to conduct an analysis of certain sales of subsidized Crown logs, which Canadian parties claimed were sold at arm's length, to determine if the subsidy benefit “passes through” to the purchasing sawmill. On March 5, 2004, the United States notified the DSB of its intention to implement the findings of the Appellate Body. The Government of Canada and the United States agreed that 10 months was a reasonable period of time for implementation.