

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Boeing: Docket No. FAA-2004-19891; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-136-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive comments on this AD action by January 31, 2005.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes modified in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST00127BO, certificated in any category.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD was prompted by the results of fuel system reviews conducted by the STC holder. We are proposing this AD to prevent unsafe levels of current or energy from entering the fuel tank, due to hot short faults or threat conditions associated with the safe side harness assembly, which could result in a fire or explosion of the fuel tank.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Modification

(f) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the safe side harness connectors at the tank penetrations and the digital transient suppression devices, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Goodrich Service Bulletin 737-300766-28-2, Revision 2, dated July 28, 2004.

Parts Installation

(g) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a safe side harness, Part Number 50357-01XX, on any airplane, unless that safe side harness has been modified in accordance with Goodrich Service Bulletin 737-300766-28-2, Revision 2, dated July 28, 2004.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h) The Manager, Boston Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 3, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-27519 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-129-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Lockheed Model L-1011-385 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document revises an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Lockheed Model L-1011-385 series airplanes, that would have required repetitive inspections to detect corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements of the airplane; corrective actions if necessary; and incorporation of certain structural modifications. This new action revises the proposed rule by referencing a new service bulletin that, among other changes, corrects the effectivity and revises the modification threshold of various secondary service bulletins. The actions specified by this new proposed AD are intended to prevent corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by January 10, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport

Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-129-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: *9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov*. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 99-NM-129-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Lockheed Martin Aircraft & Logistics Centers, 120 Orion Street, Greenville, South Carolina 29605. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Herderich, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ACE-117A, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone (770) 703-6082; fax (770) 703-6097.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic,

environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-129-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-129-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add an airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Lockheed Model L-1011-385 series airplanes, was published as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) (hereafter referred to as "the original NPRM") in the **Federal Register** on December 9, 1999 (64 FR 68960). The original NPRM would have required repetitive inspections to detect corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements of the airplane; corrective actions if necessary; and incorporation of certain structural modifications. The original NPRM was prompted by new recommendations related to incidents of fatigue cracking and corrosion in transport category airplanes that are approaching or have exceeded their economic design goal. Such corrosion or fatigue cracking in certain structural elements, if not corrected, could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Comments

Due consideration has been given to the comments received in response to the original NPRM.

Request To Reference Latest Revision of Service Bulletin

One commenter, the airplane manufacturer, provides clarification about the compliance times specified in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (c)(1), and (c)(2) of the original NPRM as they pertain to certain service bulletins identified in

Lockheed Tristar L-1011 Service Bulletin (SB) 093-51-041, dated April 27, 1998 (which is referenced in the original NPRM as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the proposed actions; hereafter called the "Collector Service Bulletin"). The commenter states that it will initiate Revision 1 of the Collector Service Bulletin to reflect that continuing inspections are called for by SB 093-57-208; to list the latest revision CN4, dated May 8, 1998, of SB 093-53-260; to reference AD 99-09-14, amendment 39-11147 (64 FR 20144, April 28, 1999); and to revise the threshold of certain modifications.

From this comment, the FAA infers that the commenter is requesting that the original NPRM reference Revision 1 of the Collector Service Bulletin. We agree. The original issue of the Collector Service Bulletin was referenced in the original NPRM as the appropriate source of service information. The original issue describes procedures for certain repetitive inspections to detect corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements of the airplane; corrective actions, if necessary; and incorporation of certain structural modifications. Since the issuance of the original NPRM, we have reviewed and approved Revision 1 of Lockheed SB 093-51-41, dated March 3, 2000. Revision 1 of the service bulletin corrects the effectivity and revises the "Modification Termination Threshold" of various secondary SBs; revises SB 093-53-260, CN3 to CN4; and contains certain editorial changes. We have revised the supplemental NPRM to include reference to Revision 1 of SB 093-51-041 as the appropriate source of service information.

Requests To Clarify Certain Compliance Times

One commenter, the airplane manufacturer, provides further clarification about the compliance times specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of the original NPRM for the inspections specified in SB 093-57-058, R5-CN1, dated August 22, 1995, which is listed in the Collector Service Bulletin. The commenter states the SB 093-57-208, Revision 1, dated October 28, 1997, which is also listed in the Collector Service Bulletin, forces inspection of the center box wing spar web at different frequencies. Since both of these SBs will be mandated, the commenter assumes the earlier schedule will take precedence.

From this comment, we infer that the commenter is requesting that the compliance times specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of the

supplemental NPRM be clarified. We agree. We find that paragraph (c)(1) of the supplemental NPRM also needs to be clarified. Those paragraphs refer to the threshold and repetitive intervals specified in the individual service bulletin as listed in Tables 1 and II of the Collector Service Bulletin, as applicable. In the "Inspection Threshold" and "Reinspection Intervals" columns of Tables 1 and II, the Collector Service Bulletin references a total of eight notes (*i.e.*, three notes in Table 1 and five notes in Table II) located at the bottom of those tables. Our intent was that the information specified in those notes be required as part of the applicable compliance time.

As indicated in NOTE (1) of Tables I and II the Collector Service Bulletin, "Inspection thresholds and repeat inspection intervals are shown for convenience, in the event of conflicts the individual service bulletin shall take precedence. Some service bulletins contain inspection options that are not shown here." Therefore, for the subject inspections, the inspection times listed in SB 093-57-058, R5-CN1, take precedence.

In light of this request and other similar requests below, we find that operators may misinterpret the compliance times specified in the original NPRM. Therefore, for clarification purposes, we have revised the supplemental NPRM by listing the compliance times for each individual service bulletin in a table (*i.e.*, Table 1) and revised paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (c)(1) to refer to the individual service bulletin listed in Table 1.

One commenter requests that the compliance times specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of the original NPRM for the initial fatigue-related inspection (*i.e.*, reference SB 093-53-276, Basic, dated June 17, 1996) be clarified as to whether that inspection is to be done at two or four years or is dependent upon corrosion inhibiting compound (CIC) application. The commenter notes that Table 1 of the "Collector Service Bulletin states that the repetitive interval for Service Bulletin 093-53-276 is "CPCP (5)." The commenter states that SB 093-53-276 refers to two Corrosion Prevention and Control Program (CPCP) tasks, which are accomplished repetitively at either two or four years (if 2 part CIC is used).

We do not agree. SB 093-53-276 recommends the use of the initial and repetitive intervals specified in corrosion tasks C-53-120-04 and C-53-160-01, as described in the Lockheed Corrosion Prevention and Control Program (CPCP). In this case, the later of the times is the 15-year threshold and

10-year repetitive interval specified in C-53-160-01. No change to the supplemental NPRM is necessary in this regard.

One commenter requests that SB 093-53-266, which is referenced in the Collector Service Bulletin as an additional source of service information, be revised as described in items 1 through 4 below.

1. The initial threshold should be "prior to the threshold listed in SB 093-53-266, or within one 'C' interval after the effective AD date of the AD, whichever occurs later." From this comment, we infer that the commenter is requesting a grace period for the initial threshold specified in the service bulletin, because the compliance time specified in the subject SB is "within 1 year after receipt of this service bulletin."

We do not agree. As indicated in "NOTE 2" of the Collector Service Bulletin, "* * * perform these inspections as specified except substitute 'Effective Date of Airworthiness Directive' for 'After Receipt of Service Bulletin.'" Therefore, the initial threshold specified in this supplemental NPRM is within 1 year after the effective date of this AD for that SB. However, for clarification purposes, we have revised the supplemental NPRM by listing the compliance times for each individual service bulletin in Table 1 and revised paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (c)(1) to refer to the individual service bulletin listed in that table (discussed previously).

2. For airplanes not previously repaired per SB 093-53-264: Within 1 year, do the inspection per paragraph B of the Accomplishment Instructions of SB 093-53-266, and repetitively inspect frames found to be cracked at intervals not to exceed 90 days until modified by the referenced "LCC" drawing.

We do not agree. The 1-year inspection threshold is already identified in paragraph (1)(C)(1) of SB 093-53-266. In addition, it is our policy to require repair of known cracks before further flight (we may make exceptions to this policy in certain cases of unusual need, as discussed below). This policy is based on the fact that such damaged airplanes do not conform to the FAA-certificated type design and, therefore, are not airworthy until a properly approved repair is incorporated. While recognizing that repair deferrals may be necessary at times, our policy is intended to minimize adverse human factors relating to the lack of reliability of long-term repetitive inspections, which may reduce the safety of the type certificated design if such repair

deferrals are practiced routinely. Additionally, our policy applies to airplanes certificated to damage tolerance evaluation regulations, as well as those not so certificated.

As noted above, we may make an exception to this policy in certain cases, if there is an unusual need for a temporary deferral. Unusual needs include such circumstances as legitimate difficulty in acquiring parts to accomplish repairs. Under such conditions, we may allow a temporary deferral of the repair, subject to a stringent inspection program acceptable to us. We acknowledge that the manufacturer has specified inspection intervals that are intended to allow continued operation with known cracks, and to prevent the need for extensive repairs. However, since we are not aware of any unusual need for repair deferral in regard to this supplemental NPRM, we have not evaluated these inspection intervals.

We consider the compliance times in this supplemental NPRM to be adequate to allow operators time to acquire parts to have on hand in the event that a crack is detected during inspection. Therefore, we have determined that, due to the safety implications and consequences associated with such cracking, any subject frame that is found to be cracked must be repaired or modified prior to further flight. No change to the supplemental NPRM is necessary in this regard.

3. For airplanes previously repaired per LCC drawings LCC-7622-337 or -325: Within 15,000 flight after repair installation, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 15,000 cycles, inspect per paragraph C of the Accomplishment Instructions of SB 093-53-266.

We do not agree. The compliance times identified by the commenter are already identified in paragraph (1)(C)(2) of SB 093-53-266. No change to the supplemental NPRM is necessary in this regard.

4. For airplanes repaired or modified per SB 093-53-264 or -266: Every heavy maintenance visit (HMV), do a visual inspection per paragraph C of SB 093-53-266.

We do not agree. The compliance time identified by the commenter is already identified in paragraph (1)(C)(3) of SB 093-53-266. No change to the supplemental NPRM is necessary in this regard.

One commenter, the airplane manufacturer, notes that Table II of the Collector Service Bulletin does not specify a threshold or repetitive interval for SB 093-53-054, Revision 1, dated August 12, 1975. However, the notes of the Collector Service Bulletin say to

modify at next HMV. The commenter notes that this SB is a modification only.

From this comment, we infer that the commenter is requesting that the compliance times specified in paragraph (c)(1) of the supplemental NPRM be clarified. We agree. For clarification purposes, we have revised the supplemental NPRM by listing the compliance times for each individual service bulletin in Table 1 and revised paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (c)(1) to refer to the individual service bulletin listed in that table (discussed previously).

Request for Credit for Previously Approved Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOC) for Other ADs

One commenter requests that previously approved AMOCs for other ADs (*i.e.*, AD 91-21-51, and AD 99-09-14) that refer to several of the SBs listed in the Collector Service Bulletin be approved for the original NPRM.

We do not agree. We find that any previously approved AMOC must be assessed for its impact on the actions specified by this supplemental NPRM. Paragraph (d) of this supplemental NPRM provides affected operators the opportunity to apply for an AMOC. No change to the supplemental NPRM is necessary in this regard.

Conclusion

Since certain of these changes expand the scope of the originally proposed rule, we have determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for public comment.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39/Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. However, for clarity and consistency in this final rule, we have retained the language of the NPRM regarding that material.

Changes to Labor Rate

We have reviewed the figures we have used over the past several years to calculate AD costs to operators. To account for various inflationary costs in the airline industry, we find it necessary to increase the labor rate used in these calculations from \$60 per work hour to \$65 per work hour. The cost impact information, below, reflects this increase in the specified hourly labor rate.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 235 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 117 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 32 work hours per airplane (for actions specified in Table I of the Collector Service Bulletin) and 97 work hours per airplane (for actions specified in Table II of the Collector Service Bulletin) to accomplish the proposed inspections, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$243,360, or \$2,080 per airplane, per inspection cycle (for Table I), and \$737,685, or \$6,305 per airplane, per inspection cycle (for Table II).

It would take approximately 614 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed modifications, at an average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$142,275 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$21,315,645, or \$182,185 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs

describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, the FAA is charged with promoting safety flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this AD.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption

ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the

Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Lockheed: Docket 99–NM–129–AD.

Applicability: All Model L–1011–385 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Inspections

(a) At the time specified in the "Initial Compliance Time" column of Table 1 of this AD, perform structural inspections to detect corrosion or fatigue cracking of certain structural elements of the airplane, in accordance with the applicable service bulletins listed under "Service Bulletin Number, Revision, and Date" in Tables I and II of Lockheed Tristar L–1011 Service Bulletin 093–51–041, Revision 1, dated March 3, 2000. Thereafter, repeat the inspections at intervals specified in the "Repetitive Intervals" column of Table 1 of this AD.

TABLE 1.—COMPLIANCE TIMES

Lockheed service bulletin	Initial compliance time (whichever occurs later between the times in "Inspection Threshold" and "Grace Period")		Repetitive intervals
	Inspection threshold	Grace period	
(1) 093–53–269, Revision 1, dated October 28, 1997.	Before the accumulation 8,000 total flight cycles or 15,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years, whichever occurs first.
(2) 093–53–274, dated May 28, 1997.	Within 14 months after the effective date of this AD.	(None)	At intervals not to exceed 14 months.

TABLE 1.—COMPLIANCE TIMES—Continued

Lockheed service bulletin	Initial compliance time (whichever occurs later between the times in "Inspection Threshold" and "Grace Period")		Repetitive intervals
	Inspection threshold	Grace period	
(3) 093-53-275, dated December 10, 1996.	Within 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	(None)	(None).
(4) 093-53-276, dated June 17, 1996.	At the next Corrosion Prevention and Control Program (CPCP) inspection after the effective date of this AD.	(None)	At intervals not to exceed the next CPCP inspection.
(5) 093-57-085, Revision 1, dated December 1, 1997.	Before the accumulation of 26,000 total flight cycles or 48,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 1,800 flight cycles or 3,300 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 1,800 flight cycles or 3,300 flight hours, whichever first occurs first.
(6) 093-57-208, Revision 1, dated October 28, 1997.	Before the accumulation of 18,000 total flight cycles.	Within 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 6,450 flight cycles or 5 first years, whichever occurs first.
(7) 093-52-210, dated July 19, 1991.	Within 5,000 flight hours or 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	(None)	(None).
(8) 093-53-054, dated August 12, 1975.	Within 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	(None)	(None).
(9) 093-53-070, Revision 3, dated September 19, 1989.	Before the accumulation of 6,000 total flight hours.	Within 1,500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD.	At intervals not to exceed 3,000 flight hours.
(10) 093-53-085, Revision 3, dated December 15, 1989.	Part I: Before the accumulation of 20,000 flight cycles or 37,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Part I: Within 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	Part I: At intervals not to exceed 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
	Part II: Before the accumulation of 30,000 flight cycles or 55,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Part II: Within 5,000 flight cycles or 9,200 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	Part II: At intervals not to exceed 5,000 flight cycles or 9,200 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
(11) 093-53-086, Revision 5, dated April 12, 1990.	Before the accumulation of 9,000 flight cycles or 10,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
(12) 093-53-110, Revision 1, dated May 7, 1993.	Before the accumulation of 22,000 total flight cycles or 40,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 2,200 flight cycles or 4,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 2,200 flight cycles or 4,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
(13) Change Notification 093-53-260, CN4, dated May 8, 1998.	Before the accumulation of 8,000 total flight cycles or 20,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 800 flight cycles or 1,500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 800 flight cycles or 1,500 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
(14) Change Notification 093-53-266, CN1, dated July 10, 1992.	Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD.	(None)	At intervals not to exceed 90 days.
(15) Change Notification 093-57-058, R5-CN1, dated May 3, 1993.	Before the accumulation of 20,000 total flight cycles or 37,000 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.	Within 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 1,600 flight cycles or 3,000 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
(16) Change Notification 093-57-195, R3-CN1, dated August 22, 1995.	For airplanes having serial numbers (S/N) 1002 through 1109 inclusive: Before the accumulation of 20,000 total flight cycles. For airplanes having S/Ns 1110 through 1250 inclusive: Before the accumulation of 30,000 total flight cycles.	Within 2,200 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD.	At intervals not to exceed 2,200 flight cycles.

TABLE 1.—COMPLIANCE TIMES—Continued

Lockheed service bulletin	Initial compliance time (whichever occurs later between the times in "Inspection Threshold" and "Grace Period")		Repetitive intervals
	Inspection threshold	Grace period	
(17) Change Notification 093-57-213, CN1, dated February 20, 1996.	For Model L-1011-385-1, L-1011-385-1-14, L-1011-385-1-15: Before the accumulation of 15,00 total flight cycles. For Model L-1011-385-3: Before the accumulation of 10,000 total flight cycles..	Within 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.	At intervals not to exceed 6,450 flight cycles or 5 years, whichever occurs first.

Corrective Action

(b) If any cracking or corrosion is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish the actions specified in paragraph (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(4) of this AD.

(1) Repair in accordance with the applicable service bulletin referenced in Table I or II of Lockheed Tristar L-1011 Service Bulletin 093-51-041, Revision 1, dated March 3, 2000.

(2) Repair in accordance with the applicable section of the Lockheed L-1011 Structural Repair Manual.

(3) Accomplish the terminating modification in accordance with the applicable service bulletin referenced in Table I or II of Lockheed Tristar L-1011 Service Bulletin 093-51-041, Revision 1, dated March 3, 2000.

(4) Repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate.

Terminating Action

(c) Within 5 years or 5,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, install the terminating modification referenced in the applicable service bulletin listed in Table 1 of this AD, per the applicable service bulletin. Such installation constitutes terminating action for the applicable structural inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 7, 2004.

Ali Bahrani,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-27520 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Department of the Army****32 CFR Part 637****RIN 0702-AA44****Military Police Investigations**

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army proposes to add its regulation concerning military police investigations. The regulation prescribes policies and procedures on types and categories of offenses investigated by Military Police and DA Civilian detectives/investigators.

DATES: Comments submitted to the address below on or before February 14, 2005 will be considered.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by "32 CFR Part 637 and RIN 0702-AA44" in the subject line, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- E-mail: james.crumley@hqda-aoc.army.pentagon.mil. Include 32 CFR part 637 and RIN 0702-AA44 in the subject line of the message.

- Mail: Headquarters, Department of the Army, Office of the Provost Marshal General, ATTN: DAPM-MPD-LE, 2800 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310-2800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Crumley (703) 692-6721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Background**

This rule has not previously been published. The Administrative

Procedure Act, as amended by the Freedom of Information Act requires that certain policies and procedures and other information concerning the Department of the Army be published in the **Federal Register**. The policies and procedures covered by this regulation fall into that category.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Army has determined that the Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply because the proposed rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612.

C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Department of the Army has determined that the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act does not apply because the proposed rule does not include a mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local or tribal governments in the aggregate, or the private sector, of \$100 million or more.

D. National Environmental Policy Act

The Department of the Army has determined that the National Environmental Policy Act does not apply because the proposed rule does not have an adverse impact on the environment.

E. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Department of the Army has determined that the Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed rule does not involve collection of information from the public.

F. Executive Order 12630 (Government Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights)

The Department of the Army has determined that Executive Order 12630 does not apply because the proposed rule does not impair private property rights.