FCRA Section 609 through non-consumer reporting agency subsidiaries?

(5) Consumer reporting agencies can fulfill FCRA Section 609’s requirement by providing consumers with mortgage or educational scores. How will consumer reporting agencies choose to fulfill this requirement and what type of score are they most likely to provide to consumers? Why?

(6) Among the potential approaches available to the Commission is determining a fee based on the market for scores. In that context, what is the appropriate market to consider: the market for stand-alone mortgage and educational scores sold by consumer reporting agencies, or the market for all credit scores sold by consumer reporting agencies and non-consumer reporting agencies? If a market-based approach is appropriate, are these two markets appropriate reference points? Are there other markets that should be considered? Overall, what is the appropriate approach, and what are the factors that the Commission should consider in determining the appropriate market?

(7) The Commission welcomes comment on whether other factors, in addition to prices charged in a competitive market, should be taken into account in determining a fair and reasonable fee for required disclosures (e.g., cost data, revenue data, other market conditions). Comments should discuss the pragmatic aspects of each factor advanced for consideration; for example, whether data underlying a given factor are readily available or difficult to obtain.

(8) For any determination involving a specified dollar amount for a fair and reasonable fee, should the Commission include within a final determination a mechanism for periodic adjustment of the specified amount? If so, what approach is desirable for such adjustment and what entity or entities should determine the specific adjustment? Should the Commission initiate new assessments of all of the factors underlying its determination at a fixed time interval, or only when a factor changes significantly? Should the Commission’s determination include an “automatic” adjustment keyed to the consumer price index or similar economic index? Should periodic adjustments be required to be both determined and implemented by the regulated entities based on a formula set forth within the Commission’s determination? Are there other bases for periodic adjustment that might be appropriate?

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–24841 Filed 11–5–04; 8:45 am]
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RIN 0960–AF28

Revised Medical Criteria for Evaluating Impairments of the Digestive System

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rules; limited reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We are reopening for limited purposes the comment period for the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that we published in the Federal Register on November 14, 2001 (66 FR 57009). We have decided to reopen the comment period for 60 days to solicit additional public comments on our proposal to revise and remove several of the chronic liver disease listings from the Listing of Impairments (the listings) because we believe that the revisions we propose are significant. We are reopening the comment period only to accept comments about chronic liver disease. Due to the limited reopening of the NPRM, we will not consider any comments on other aspects of the proposed listings for the digestive system.

DATES: To be sure your comments are considered, we must receive them by January 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: You may give us your comments by: using our Internet site (i.e., Social Security Online) at: http://policy.ssa.gov/pnpublic.nsf/ LawsRegs or the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov; e-mail to regulations@ssa.gov; telefax to (410) 966–2830; or by letter to the Commissioner of Social Security, P.O. Box 17703, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–7703. You may also deliver them to the Office of Regulations, Social Security Administration, 107 Altmeier Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on regular business days. Comments are posted on our Internet site, at http://policy.ssa.gov/pnpublic.nsf/LawsRegs or you may inspect them on regular business days by making arrangements with the contact person shown in this preamble.

Electronic Version: The electronic file of this document is available on the date of publication in the Federal Register at http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html. It is also available on the Internet site for SSA (i.e., Social Security Online) at: http://policy.ssa.gov/pnpublic.nsf/LawsRegs.


This NPRM proposed to revise the criteria in the Listings that we use to evaluate claims involving impairments of the digestive system. We explained in the proposed rules that we were revising and removing several of the chronic liver disease listings because of the progress in medical and surgical advancements in treating these diseases. When we published the NPRM, we provided a 60-day comment period that ended January 14, 2002. We have reviewed and considered all the comments we received during the comment period. However, we received few comments regarding our proposed revisions to the listings that specifically involve chronic liver disease. Because we believe that the revisions we propose are significant, we want to ensure that the public has another opportunity to review and comment on those proposals involving the evaluation of chronic liver disease. In order to allow the public sufficient time to review and comment on our proposals, we have decided to provide an additional 60-day comment period within which to comment on our proposal to revise and remove several of the listings for evaluating chronic liver disease. If you have already provided comments on the proposals, your comments will be considered and you do not need to resubmit them.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to volatile organic compound (VOC) requirements for Eli Lilly and Company (Eli Lilly). This facility is in Marion County, Indiana. The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted a Commissioner’s Order requesting the revision on February 11, 2004 as an amendment to the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP).

Eli Lilly operates a synthesized pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Marion County. This SIP revision covers new and existing sources in Eli Lilly’s Building 110 pilot plant. Eli Lilly is seeking an exemption from 326 Indiana Administrative Code (IAC) 8–5–3, control requirements for synthesized pharmaceutical manufacturing, under the site-specific reasonably available control technology (RACT) rule, 326 IAC 8–1–5. Eli Lilly is seeking this exemption for reactors, filters, centrifuges, and vacuum dryers. Other Building 110 sources such as air dryers, in-process tanks, and storage tanks comply with 326 IAC 8–5–3. The total VOC annual emissions from Building 110 are limited to less than 10 tons per year (TPY).

DATES: The EPA must receive written comments by December 8, 2004.


Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. R05–OAR–2004–IN–0004. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through regulations.gov, or e-mail. The Federal regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Electronic files should avoid the use of non-ASCII characters, for example, characters that are not part of the extended ASCII character set. To avoid technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Tips for Preparing Your Comments.

What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through EDOCKET, regulations.gov, or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to:

4:30 PM, Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Telephone: (312) 886–6524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” are used we mean the EPA.
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I. What Actions Are the EPA Taking Today?

EPA is proposing to approve revisions to VOC requirements for the Eli Lilly pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Marion County, Indiana. The revisions include an exemption from the control requirements of 326 IAC 8–5–3 for reactors, centrifuges, filters, and vacuum dryers in Building 110, the pilot plant for Eli Lilly. This exemption can be approved under Indiana’s site-specific RACT rule, 326 IAC 8–1–5. Another revision is that Eli Lilly can now add research and development equipment to Building 110 without a new SIP revision. Eli Lilly will follow the appropriate RACT plan for any new equipment and keep the total annual VOC limit for Building 110 to less than 10 TPY.

II. General Information

What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through EDOCKET, regulations.gov, or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to: