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SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is proposing to amend the final monograph (FM) for over-the-counter (OTC) nasal decongestant drug products (drug products used to relieve nasal congestion due to a cold, hay fever, or other upper respiratory allergies) to add phenylephrine bitartrate as generally recognized as safe and effective (GRASE) when used in an effervescent tablet. An effervescent tablet is intended to be dissolved in water before taking by mouth. This proposal is part of FDA’s ongoing review of OTC drug products.

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments and comments on FDA’s economic impact determination by January 31, 2005. Please see section X of this document for the effective date of any final rule that may publish based on this proposal.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. 1976N–0052N and/or RIN number 0910–AF34, by any of the following methods:

- E-mail: fdadockets@oc.fda.gov. Include Docket No. 1976N–0052N and/or RIN number 0910–AF34 in the subject line of your e-mail message.
- FAX: 301-827-6870.
- Mail/Hand delivery/Courier [For paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions]: Division of Dockets Management, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket No. 1976N–0052N or Regulatory Information Number 0910–AF34 (RIN) for this rulemaking. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Comments” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm and insert the docket number[s], found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts into the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Houda Mahayni, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HPD–560), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–2222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM)

1. OTC Cough-Cold Drug Products

In the Federal Register of September 9, 1976 (41 FR 38312), FDA published the report of the Advisory Review Panel on OTC Cold, Cough, Allergy, Bronchodilator, and Antiasthmatic Drug Products (Cough-Cold Panel). That Panel reviewed oral and topical nasal decongestant drug products and found phenylephrine hydrochloride to be a safe and effective ingredient for OTC use (41 FR 38312 at 38399 and 38400). The Cough-Cold Panel did not evaluate phenylephrine bitartrate.

2. OTC Oral Health Care Drug Products

In the Federal Register of May 25, 1982 (47 FR 22760), FDA published the report of the Advisory Review Panel on OTC Oral Cavity Drug Products (Oral Cavity Panel). That Panel reviewed the safety and effectiveness of two oral nasal decongestant ingredients, phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylpropanolamine hydrochloride (in lozenge form), and classified these ingredients as Category III (more data needed) (47 FR 22760 at 22911 through 22914). The Oral Cavity Panel did not evaluate phenylephrine bitartrate.

B. Tentative Final Monograph (TFM)

1. OTC Cough-Cold Drug Products

In the Federal Register of January 15, 1985 (50 FR 2220), FDA published the TFM for OTC nasal decongestant drug products. The TFM proposed phenylephrine hydrochloride as a monograph ingredient but did not address phenylephrine bitartrate.

2. OTC Oral Health Care Drug Products

In the Federal Register of January 27, 1988 (53 FR 2436), FDA published the TFM for OTC oral health care (anesthetic/analgesic, astringent, debriding agent/ oral wound cleanser, and demulcent) drug products. FDA referred the data on the oral nasal decongestant ingredients phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylpropanolamine hydrochloride to the rulemaking for OTC nasal decongestant drug products because that was the primary rulemaking for these ingredients (53 FR 2436 at 2448 and 2449).

C. Final Monograph (FM)

1. OTC Cough-Cold Drug Products

In the Federal Register of August 23, 1994 (59 FR 43386), FDA published the FM for OTC nasal decongestant drug products. The monograph included phenylephrine hydrochloride as GRASE for oral and topical use as a nasal decongestant (§ 341.20(a) and (b)(8)) (21 CFR 341.20(a) and (b)(8)). FDA acknowledged that phenylephrine bitartrate was submitted as an oral nasal decongestant active ingredient in an effervescent combination cold tablets for OTC use. FDA noted that the ingredient was not reviewed by the Cough-Cold Panel or included in its report, or addressed in the TFM for OTC nasal drug products.
decongestant drug products (59 FR 43396 at 43394 and 43395). FDA reviewed data on phenylephrine bitartrate submitted in a comment and concluded that the data were inadequate to demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of phenylephrine bitartrate as an OTC oral nasal decongestant ingredient. Consequently, this ingredient was not included in the FM.

2. OTC Oral Health Care Drug Products
FDA has not published an FM for these products.

II. Citizen Petition
A manufacturer submitted a citizen petition (Ref. 1) requesting FDA to amend the OTC nasal decongestant FM to include the ingredient phenylephrine bitartrate as GRASE in an effervescent tablet. The manufacturer stated:

* Domestic and international marketing experiences meet FDA’s material time and extent criteria for inclusion in an OTC drug monograph.
* In vitro and in vivo studies demonstrate comparability of phenylephrine bitartrate with phenylephrine hydrochloride, an approved monograph active ingredient.
* Phenylephrine bitartrate would provide consumers a greater choice in combination nasal decongestant/analgesic cough-cold formulations.

The manufacturer requested GRASE status for phenylephrine bitartrate for use as a single ingredient or in combination with any monograph cough-cold active ingredient(s) when delivered in an effervescent tablet.

III. FDA’s Comments on the Citizen Petition
A. Marketing History
According to the manufacturer, consumers have used phenylephrine hydrochloride and bitartrate domestically and globally as a nasal decongestant for decades. In terms of domestic marketing experience, the following drug products containing phenylephrine bitartrate have been marketed in the United States:

1. An effervescent product containing aspirin, chlorpheniramine maleate, and phenylephrine bitartrate marketed OTC from 1968 to 1976, before being voluntarily discontinued by its manufacturer.
2. An inhalation product containing isoproterenol hydrochloride and phenylephrine bitartrate marketed by prescription and later discontinued.

Phenylephrine bitartrate containing products have been marketed outside the United States (Central America, Mexico, Australia, and Spain) since 1978. As of 2002, a total of 1.16 billion tablets have been distributed in these countries (Ref. 1). Products containing bitartrate are presently sold by prescription in the United States as a salt of hydrocodone, dihydrocodone, and dihydrocodeine.

Phenylephrine bitartrate is similar to phenylephrine hydrochloride, which is currently included as an oral nasal decongestant active ingredient in § 341.20(a)(1). Both phenylephrine salts have the same pharmacologic activity and similar side effects. FDA is aware that phenylephrine bitartrate effervescent tablets were marketed in the United States in the 1960s and 1970s and had a similar use and adverse reaction profile as products containing phenylephrine hydrochloride. The citizen petition provides sufficient information of marketing outside the United States since 1978 to allow FDA to determine that phenylephrine bitartrate as a nasal decongestant has been marketed to a material time and to a material extent. In addition, the citizen petition contains recent data demonstrating that the phenylephrine bitartrate salt is bioavailable and comparable to the phenylephrine hydrochloride salt.

B. Safety and Effectiveness
1. Review of Adverse Event Databases (AEDs)

The manufacturer conducted a safety review of the FDA and World Health Organization’s (WHO) AEDs concerning phenylephrine bitartrate for the period from 1969 to 1997. The review included all dosage forms of phenylephrine but was nonspecific for the phenylephrine salt (e.g., hydrochloride or bitartrate). The review identified 22 reports for phenylephrine bitartrate out of approximately 900 reports for phenylephrine administered orally. There were five reports of “no drug effect,” two reports of nervousness, and 15 different single events reported such as rash, vomiting, diarrhea, and insomnia. The manufacturer commented that causality and preexisting conditions in the 22 reported subjects could not be established from the available data. The manufacturer noted that:

* The FDA database does not indicate the relationship of adverse events or preexisting medical conditions of consumers to the administration of phenylephrine.
* The WHO database revealed five different single event reports for products containing phenylephrine bitartrate as an active ingredient.

II. OTC Oral Health Care Drug Products

2. OTC Oral Health Care Drug Products

An effervescent phenylephrine hydrochloride 10 milligram (mg) tablet
An effervescent phenylephrine bitartrate 15.6 mg tablet

In a meeting held on February 15, 2002 (Ref. 2), FDA suggested that the manufacturer conduct a bioequivalence study. FDA recommended that the manufacturer follow FDA’s Guidance for Industry entitled “Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products General Considerations” (the Guidance) (Ref. 3).

The Guidance describes a single-dose pharmacokinetic study of both immediate and modified release drug products to demonstrate bioequivalence. FDA generally considers a single-dose study to be more sensitive than a multiple-dose study in assessing the release of the drug substance from the drug product into the systemic circulation. Further, if a multiple-dose study design is necessary, the Guidance recommends performing appropriate dosage administration and sampling to document that “steady-state” is attained. At steady-state, the rate of drug leaving the body is equal to the rate of drug entering the body.

The manufacturer submitted an open-label, four-way crossover, multiple-dose study in healthy volunteers to evaluate the pharmacokinetic profiles of the following equivalent phenylephrine doses of phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylephrine bitartrate in two different dosage forms and different weights because of the different salts forms:

* An effervescent phenylephrine hydrochloride 10 milligram (mg) tablet
* An effervescent phenylephrine bitartrate 15.6 mg tablet

FDA finds these data suggest that there are no significant safety concerns reported from the use of phenylephrine bitartrate in the countries where it is currently used. Safety information from various U.S. databases is not available specifically for phenylephrine bitartrate because it has not been marketed for the past 30 years. Safety information from U.S. databases indicate that phenylephrine hydrochloride is safe for OTC use within the label warnings in § 341.80(c)(1) (21 CFR 341.80(c)(1)). Based on their similar pharmacologic activity and side effects, FDA has determined that both phenylephrine salts are safe for OTC use.

2. Pharmacokinetic Study

In a meeting held on February 15, 2002 (Ref. 2), FDA suggested that the manufacturer conduct a bioequivalence study. FDA recommended that the manufacturer follow FDA’s Guidance for Industry entitled “Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products General Considerations” (the Guidance) (Ref. 3).

The Guidance describes a single-dose pharmacokinetic study of both immediate and modified release drug products to demonstrate bioequivalence. FDA generally considers a single-dose study to be more sensitive than a multiple-dose study in assessing the release of the drug substance from the drug product into the systemic circulation. Further, if a multiple-dose study design is necessary, the Guidance recommends performing appropriate dosage administration and sampling to document that “steady-state” is attained. At steady-state, the rate of drug leaving the body is equal to the rate of drug entering the body.

The manufacturer submitted an open-label, four-way crossover, multiple-dose study in healthy volunteers to evaluate the pharmacokinetic profiles of the following equivalent phenylephrine doses of phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylephrine bitartrate in two different dosage forms and different weights because of the different salts forms:

* An effervescent phenylephrine hydrochloride 10 milligram (mg) tablet
* An effervescent phenylephrine bitartrate 15.6 mg tablet

In a meeting held on February 15, 2002 (Ref. 2), FDA suggested that the manufacturer conduct a bioequivalence study. FDA recommended that the manufacturer follow FDA’s Guidance for Industry entitled “Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products General Considerations” (the Guidance) (Ref. 3).

The Guidance describes a single-dose pharmacokinetic study of both immediate and modified release drug products to demonstrate bioequivalence. FDA generally considers a single-dose study to be more sensitive than a multiple-dose study in assessing the release of the drug substance from the drug product into the systemic circulation. Further, if a multiple-dose study design is necessary, the Guidance recommends performing appropriate dosage administration and sampling to document that “steady-state” is attained. At steady-state, the rate of drug leaving the body is equal to the rate of drug entering the body.

The manufacturer submitted an open-label, four-way crossover, multiple-dose study in healthy volunteers to evaluate the pharmacokinetic profiles of the following equivalent phenylephrine doses of phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylephrine bitartrate in two different dosage forms and different weights because of the different salts forms:

* An effervescent phenylephrine hydrochloride 10 milligram (mg) tablet
* An effervescent phenylephrine bitartrate 15.6 mg tablet
25 subjects completed the study and were considered evaluable for the pharmacokinetic analysis. All subjects were treated with four oral doses of phenylephrine over a 12-hour period. The first dose was administered at 7 a.m. Subsequent doses were administered 4, 8, and 12 hours later. The analysis provided the mean ratio and 90 percent confidence interval of the derived pharmacokinetic parameters, area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) and maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), after single dose and at steady-state for each treatment.

Table 1 of this document shows that, for the effervescent tablet, the mean ratio (log transformed) for both the Cmax and AUC is 1.00 when comparing phenylephrine hydrochloride to phenylephrine bitartrate. The actual ratios range from 0.98 to 1.0. Therefore, the rate and extent of absorption after the first-dose of the phenylephrine bitartrate effervescent tablet are considered similar to those of the phenylephrine hydrochloride effervescent tablet.

Although the manufacturer did not perform a single-dose or a multiple-dose study (to steady-state), the similarity in the rate and extent of absorption of phenylephrine hydrochloride and phenylephrine bitartrate in the effervescent tablets allows FDA to conclude that the bioavailability of the phenylephrine salts in the effervescent tablets is comparable.

Table 1 of this document shows that, for the encapsulated formulation, the actual mean ratio for AUC and Cmax are 0.91 and 0.90 for AUC and Cmax respectively. Because this study was not of optimal design, FDA has concerns about the plasma concentration-time curve that is not available because the second dose was administered. FDA cannot conclude that the in vivo performance of the products are similar because of the magnitude of the difference of the actual mean ratios of 0.90 and 0.91 from 1.0. The encapsulated capsule is bioavailable but not bioequivalent to the effervescent tablet.

IV. FDA’s Tentative Conclusions

A. Single Ingredient Products

FDA has tentatively determined that phenylephrine bitartrate has been marketed to a material extent and for a material time as a nasal decongestant with no indication of safety concerns. Based on the ingredient’s marketing history, absence of safety concerns, and additional data provided in the manufacturer’s citizen petition, FDA has determined that the pharmacokinetic study is acceptable in lieu of a clinical trial because of the similarity in the bioavailability of the two effervescent
C. Monograph Labeling

FDA is proposing the same uses and warnings for phenylephrine bitartrate as appear in § 341.80(b) and (c)(1) for phenylephrine hydrochloride because these are salt of the same ingredient. Based on historical marketing in the United States, more current marketing in foreign countries, and the pharmacokinetic study, FDA is proposing the following doses:

- Adults and children 12 years of age and over: 15.6 milligrams every 4 hours, not to exceed 62.4 milligrams in 24 hours
- Children 6 to under 12 years of age: 7.8 milligrams every 4 hours, not to exceed 31.2 milligrams in 24 hours
- Children under 6 years of age: ask a doctor

FDA proposes that manufacturers include in their product labeling information on the number of tablets and the quantity of water the tablets are to be dissolved in prior to administration.

FDA is also proposing to define effervescent tablet in 21 CFR 341.3 to state:

Effervescent tablet. A tablet intended to be dissolved in water before administration. It contains, in addition to the active ingredient(s), mixtures of acids (citric acid, tartaric acid) and sodium bicarbonate, which release carbon dioxide when dissolved in water.

D. Statement About Warnings

Mandating warnings in an OTC drug monograph does not require a finding that any or all of the OTC drug products covered by the monograph actually caused an adverse event, and FDA does not so find. Nor does FDA’s requirement of warnings repudiate the prior OTC drug monographs and monograph rulemakings under which the affected drug products have been lawfully marketed. Rather, as a consumer protection agency, FDA has determined that warnings are necessary to ensure that these OTC drug products continue to be safe and effective for their labeled indications under ordinary conditions of use as those terms are defined in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. This judgment balances the benefits of these drug products against their potential risks. (See 21 CFR 330.10(a).)

FDA’s decision to act in this instance need not meet the standard of proof required to prevail in a private tort action (Glaslitter v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., 252 F.3d 986, 991 (8th Cir. 2001)). To mandate warnings, or take similar regulatory action, FDA need not show, nor do we allege, actual causation. For an expanded discussion of case law supporting FDA’s authority to require such warnings, see Labeling of Diphenhydramine-Containing Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use, Final Rule, 67 FR 72555 (December 6, 2002).

E. USP Monograph

FDA’s policy is that for an active ingredient to be included in an OTC drug FM, it is necessary to have publicly available chemical information that can be used by all manufacturers to determine that the ingredient is appropriate for use in their products. (See the Federal Register of April 3, 1989 (54 FR 13480 at 13486), and June 20, 1990 (55 FR 25204 at 25215)). Because phenylephrine bitartrate is not currently standardized and characterized for quality and purity in the official compendium, i.e., the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP)-National Formulary (NF), it will not be included in the FM until such information is available. A proposed compendial monograph for phenylephrine bitartrate was published in the Pharmacopeial Forum for May-June 2004 (Ref. 4). When a final compendial monograph is published in the USP-NF, FDA intends to finalize its proposal to include phenylephrine bitartrate in an effervescent tablet in the FM. Interim marketing of phenylephrine bitartrate in an effervescent tablet before an amendment to include this ingredient in the FM is finalized is not allowed and may subject any such products to regulatory action.

V. Analysis of Impacts

FDA has examined the impacts of this proposed rule under Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4). Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, if the rule has a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, an agency must analyze regulatory options that would minimize any significant impact of the rule on small entities. Section 202(a) of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires that agencies prepare a written statement, which includes and assessment of anticipated costs and benefits, before proposing “any rule that would in any manner have a significant intergovernmental effect” and grants the President the authority to suspend the provisions of this Act if he determines that “any rule” has a significant intergovernmental effect.
includes any Federal mandate that may result in an expenditure in any one year by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by private sector, of $100,000,000 (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year."

FDA believes that this proposed rule is consistent with the principles set out in Executive Order 12866 and in these two statutes. This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by the Executive order and so is not subject to review under the Executive order. As discussed in this section, FDA has determined that this proposed rule, if finalized, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 does not require FDA to prepare a statement of costs and benefits for this proposed rule, because the proposed rule is not expected to result in any 1-year expenditure that would exceed $100 million adjusted for inflation. The current inflation adjusted statutory threshold is about $110 million.

The purpose of this proposed rule is to include phenylephrine bitartrate in the monograph for OTC nasal decongestant drug products. This proposal, when finalized, would allow manufacturers who market products containing this ingredient in foreign countries and manufacturers who would like to market products containing this ingredient in the United States to enter the market place under the OTC drug monograph instead of a new drug application (NDA). Cost savings will occur from marketing without an NDA. Marketing a new OTC drug product containing phenylephrine bitartrate is optional for any interested manufacturer. The costs would involve the standard startup costs associated with marketing any new product under an OTC drug monograph. Manufacturers will not incur any costs determining how to state the product’s labeling because the monograph amendment (and any eventual final rule) will provide that information. Any final rule that issues based on this proposal will not be expected to require any new reporting and recordkeeping activities. Therefore, no additional professional skills would be needed.

FDA rejected the third alternative because there currently is no USP monograph for this ingredient. FDA considers it inappropriate to allow interim marketing until there are uniform standards for the ingredient in an official compendial monograph that all manufacturers can follow, and FDA publishes a notice in the Federal Register to allow interim marketing to begin.

This analysis shows that FDA has considered the burden to small entities. FDA does not consider an exemption for small entities necessary because those manufacturers can enter the market place like larger entities anytime after this proposal is finalized. Therefore, FDA certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. No further analysis is required under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)).

VI. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

FDA tentatively concludes that the proposed labeling requirements in this document are not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget because they do not constitute a "collection of information" under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). Rather, the monograph labeling is a "public disclosure of information originally supplied by the Federal government to the recipient for the purpose of disclosure to the public" (5 CFR 1320.3(c)(2)).

VII. Environmental Impact

FDA has determined under 21 CFR 25.31(a) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

VIII. Federalism

FDA has analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with the principles set forth in Executive Order 13132. FDA has determined that the proposed rule does not contain policies that have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, FDA tentatively concludes that the proposed rule does not contain policies that have federalism implications as defined in the Executive order and, consequently, a federalism summary impact statement has not been prepared.

IX. Comments

FDA is providing a period of 90 days for interested persons to submit written or electronic comments on the proposed rule to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES). Three copies of all written comments are to be submitted. Individuals submitting written comments or anyone submitting electronic comments may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document and may be accompanied by a supporting memorandum or brief. Received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

X. Proposed Effective Date

FDA is proposing that any final rule that may issue based on this proposal become effective 30 days after its date of publication in the Federal Register.

XI. References

The following references are on display in the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) under Docket No. 1976N–0052N and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

1. Comment No. CP18.
2. Comment No. MM9.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 341

Labeling, Over-the-counter drugs, Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, it is proposed that 21 CFR part 341 be amended as follows:

PART 341—COLD, COUGH, ALLERGY, BRONCHODILATOR, AND ANTIASTHMATIC DRUG PRODUCTS FOR OVER-THE-COUNTER HUMAN USE

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 341 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 341.3 is amended by adding paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§ 341.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

(i) Effervescent tablet. A tablet intended to be dissolved in water before
administration. It contains, in addition to the active ingredient(s), mixtures of acids (citric acid, tartaric acid) and sodium bicarbonate, which release carbon dioxide when dissolved in water.

3. Section 341.20 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

§ 341.20 Nasal decongestant active ingredients.

(a) * * * *

(4) Phenylephrine bitartrate in an effervescent tablet.

* * * * *

§ 341.40 Permitted combinations of active ingredients.

(b) Any single antihistamine active ingredient identified in § 341.12 may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(c) Any single antihistamine active ingredient identified in § 341.12 may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(d) Any single nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(i) Any single oral antitussive active ingredient identified in § 341.14(a) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(j) Any single oral antitussive active ingredient identified in § 341.14(a)(1) through (a)(4) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(m) Any single oral antitussive active ingredient identified in § 341.14(a) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(n) Any single oral antitussive active ingredient identified in § 341.14(a)(1) through (a)(4) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(q) Any single expectorant active ingredient identified in § 341.18 may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.

(r) Any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in § 341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) may be combined with any generally recognized as safe and effective single analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, or any combination of acetaminophen with other analgesic-antipyretic active ingredients, or any aspirin and antacid combination provided that the product is labeled according to § 341.85.
combined with any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral demulcent active ingredient provided that the product is available in either a liquid (to be swallowed) or a solid dosage form (to be dissolved in the mouth and swallowed) and provided that the product is labeled according to §341.85.

(y) Any single antitussive active ingredient identified in §341.4(a) or (b)(2) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in §341.2(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral demulcent active ingredient provided that the product is available in either a liquid (to be swallowed) or a solid dosage form (to be dissolved in the mouth and swallowed) and provided that the product is labeled according to §341.85. If the combination contains a topical antitussive, the product must be formulated in a solid dosage form to be dissolved in the mouth.

(a) Any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in §341.2(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) may be combined with any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral anesthetic/analgesic active ingredient, or any combination of oral anesthetic/analgesic active ingredients and any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral demulcent active ingredient provided that the product is available in either a liquid (to be swallowed) or a solid dosage form (to be dissolved in the mouth and swallowed) and provided that the product is labeled according to §341.85. If the combination contains a topical antitussive, the product must be formulated in a solid dosage form to be dissolved in the mouth.

(bb) Any single antitussive active ingredient identified in §341.14(a) or (b)(2) may be combined with any single oral nasal decongestant active ingredient identified in §341.20(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) and any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral anesthetic/analgesic active ingredient, or any combination of anesthetic/analgesic active ingredients and any generally recognized as safe and effective single oral demulcent active ingredient provided that the product is available in either a liquid (to be swallowed) or a solid dosage form (to be dissolved in the mouth and swallowed) and provided that the product is labeled according to §341.85.

§341.85 Labeling of permitted combinations of active ingredients.

(a) * * * *

(1) For permitted combinations identified in §341.40(a), (c), (f), (g), (l), (m), (n), (o), (q), (r), and (cc) containing an analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient, * * * *

(b) * * * *

(1) For permitted combinations containing an analgesic-antipyretic active ingredient identified in §341.40(a), (c), (f), (g), (l), (m), (n), (o), (q), (r), and (cc) when labeled for relief of general cough-cold symptoms and/or the common cold, * * * *

§341.80 Labeling of nasal decongestant drug products.

5. Section 341.80 is amended by revising the headings in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii), and by adding paragraph (d)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

§341.80 Labeling of nasal decongestant drug products.

* * * *

(c) * * *

(1) Oral nasal decongestants—(i) For products containing phenylephrine hydrochloride, pseudoephedrine hydrochloride, pseudoephedrine sulfate, or phenylephrine bitartrate identified in §341.20(a)(1) through (a)(4) when labeled for adults, * * * *

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) * * * *

(iii) For products containing phenylephrine hydrochloride, pseudoephedrine hydrochloride, pseudoephedrine sulfate, or phenylephrine bitartrate identified in §341.20(a)(1) through (a)(4) when labeled for children under 12 years of age, * * * *

* * * * *

(2) * * * *

(iii) For products containing phenylephrine bitartrate identified in §341.20(a)(4). Include information on the number of dosage units and the quantity of water the dosage units are to be dissolved in prior to administration as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adults and children 12 years of age and over</td>
<td>15.6 milligrams every 4 hours not to exceed 62.4 milligrams in 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children 6 to under 12 years of age</td>
<td>7.8 milligrams every 4 hours not to exceed 31.2 milligrams in 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children under 6 years of age</td>
<td>ask a doctor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Headings are not required to appear in the product’s labeling.
(3) For permitted combinations containing a nasal decongestant and an analgesic-antipyretic identified in §341.40(c), (g), (m), (n), (q), (r), and (cc).

Jeffrey Shuren,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 04–24423 Filed 11–1–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

Approval of Section 112(l) Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Equivalency by Permit Provisions; National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants From the Pulp and Paper Industry; State of Georgia

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GEPD) requested approval to implement and enforce State permit terms and conditions that substitute for the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Pulp and Paper Industry. In the Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is granting GEPD the authority to implement and enforce alternative requirements in the form of title V permit terms and conditions after EPA has approved the State’s alternative requirements. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, or adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives significant, or adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 23, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to: Lee Page, Air Toxics Assessment and Implementation Section, Air Toxics and Monitoring Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4; 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Duplicate copies of all comments must also be submitted to Ron C. Methier, Chief, Air Protection Branch, Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 4244 International Parkway, Suite 120, Atlanta, Georgia 30354. Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions described in the direct final rule, SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section [part (I)(B)(1)(i) through (iii)] which is published in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lee Page, Air Toxics Assessment and Implementation Section, Air Toxics and Monitoring Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9141. Mr. Page can also be reached via electronic mail at page.lee@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

J.J. Palmer, Jr.,
Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 04–24410 Filed 11–1–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 27
[WT Docket No. 04–356; WT Docket No. 02–353; FCC 04–218]

Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 1915–1920 MHz, 1995–2000 MHz, 2175–2180 MHz and 1.7 GHz and 2.1 GHz Bands

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In connection with a decision to provide additional twenty megahertz of spectrum that can be used to offer a variety of broadband and advanced wireless services (AWS), potentially including “third generation” (3G) wireless services, the Commission asks for public comment on licensing, technical, and operational rules to govern the use of the 1915–1920 MHz, 1995–2000 MHz, and 2020–2025 MHz and 2175–2180 MHz bands designated for AWS. The Commission announced its desire to provide licensees of this spectrum with flexibility to provide any fixed or mobile service consistent with the technical parameters of allocation.

DATES: Comments are due on or before November 23, 2004, and reply comments are due on or before January 7, 2005. Written comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act proposed information collection requirements must be submitted by the public, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and other interested parties on or before November 23, 2004.

ADDRESSES: In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contained herein should be submitted to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to Judith.B.Herman@fcc.gov., and to Kristy L. LaLonde, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10234 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 via the Internet to Kristy_L.LaLonde@omb.eop.gov, or via fax at 202–418–5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Coras at 202–418–2587. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contained in this document, contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214, or via Internet at Judith.B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document contains proposed information collection requirements. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comment on the information collection requirements contained in this document, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Public and agency comments are due on or before November 23, 2004. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. In addition, pursuant to the Small