

POR by 20 Chinese producers/exporters, which included Anhui Honghui, Eurasia, and Jiangsu Kanghong, as well as the following companies: Anhui Native Produce Import & Export Corp. ("Anhui Native"); Cheng Du Wai Yuan Bee Products Co., Ltd. ("Cheng Du"); Foodworld International Club, Ltd. ("Foodworld"); Henan Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Company ("Henan"); High Hope International Group Jiangsu Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp. ("High Hope"); Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp. ("Inner Mongolia"); Inner Mongolia Youth Trade Development Co., Ltd. ("Inner Mongolia Youth"); Jinan Products Industry Co., Ltd. ("Jinan"); Jinfu Trading Co., Ltd. ("Jinfu"); Kunshan Foreign Trade Company ("Kunshan"); Native Produce and Animal Import & Export Co. ("Native Produce"); Shanghai Eswell Enterprise Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Eswell"); Shanghai Shinomieli International Trade Corporation ("Shanghai Shinomieli"); Shanghai Xiuwei International Trading Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Xiuwei"); Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Dubao"); Wuhan Bee Healthy Company, Ltd. ("Wuhan Bee"); and Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Group Corp. ("Zhejiang"). On January 14, 2004, the petitioners filed a letter withdrawing their request for review of Henan, High Hope, Jinan, and Native Produce. On January 22, 2003, the Department initiated the review for the remaining 16 companies. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2004).

On March 10, 2004, the Department rescinded the review for Foodworld and Anhui Native. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 11383 (March 10, 2004).

On April 27, 2004, the Department rescinded the review for Anhui Honghui, Cheng Du, Eurasia, Inner Mongolia Youth, and Jiangsu Kanghong. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 22760 (April 27, 2004).

On June 1, 2004, the Department published an extension of the time limits to complete these preliminary results. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 30879 (June 1, 2004). The

deadline for completion of the Preliminary Results was extended until November 19, 2004.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations, we determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the current time limit. The Department requires additional time to analyze all questionnaire responses and issue appropriate supplemental questionnaires. In particular, the Department is considering the appropriate surrogate value for raw honey. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is further extending the time limit for the completion of these preliminary results by an additional 26 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than December 15, 2004.

The final results will, in turn, be due 120 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

Dated: October 14, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2728 Filed 10-19-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

**[A-588-850]**

#### **Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From Japan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 20, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** On July 28, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Japan, covering the period June 1, 2003, through May 31, 2004. *See Notice of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part* 69 FR 45010 (July 28, 2004) (*Initiation Notice*). The review was

requested by United States Steel Corporation (the petitioner). We are now rescinding this review as a result of the petitioner's withdrawal of its request for an administrative review.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Constance Handley or Shane Subler, at (202) 482-0631 or (202) 482-0189, respectively, AD/CVD Operations Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), on June 30, 2004, United States Steel Corporation requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for JFE Steel Corporation, Nippon Steel Corporation, NKK Tubes, and Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Japan. On July 28, 2004, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of an administrative review of this order for the period June 1, 2003, through May 31, 2004. *See Initiation Notice*. On September 27, 2004, United States Steel Corporation timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Japan.

##### **Rescission of Review**

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. United States Steel Corporation withdrew its request within the 90-day period and was the only party to request this review. Accordingly, we are rescinding this review. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Border and Customs Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely

written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 15, 2004.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2729 Filed 10-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-850]

#### Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Live Swine From Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value.

**SUMMARY:** We preliminarily determine that live swine from Canada are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination. Since we are postponing the final determination, we will make our final determination within 135 days of the date of publication of this preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 20, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cole Kyle, Ryan Langan, or Andrew Smith, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1503, (202) 482-2613, or (202) 482-1276, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Since the initiation of this investigation (*Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Live Swine from Canada*, 69 FR 19815 (April 14, 2004) ("Initiation Notice"), the following events have occurred:

On April 26, 2004, we solicited comments from interested parties regarding the criteria to use for model-matching purposes. We received comments from all interested parties on our proposed matching criteria in April and May, 2004.

On May 4, 2004, the Government of Canada ("GOC") submitted a scope exclusion request. On August 4, 2004, the petitioners submitted comments on the GOC's scope exclusion request. See "Scope Comments" section, below. We held discussions on the issue of model matching with officials from the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") and industry experts on May 6 and 11, 2004, respectively.

On May 14, 2004, we selected Excel Swine Services, Inc. ("Excel"), Ontario Pork Producers' Marketing Board ("Ontario Pork"), Hytek, Inc. ("Hytek"), and Premium Pork Canada, Inc. ("Premium Pork") as mandatory respondents in this proceeding. For further discussion, see Memorandum to Jeffrey May, "Respondent Selection" dated May 14, 2004 ("Respondent Selection Memorandum"), which is located in the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") Central Records Unit, located in Room B-099 of the main Department building ("CRU"), and the "Respondent Selection" section below.

On May 17, 2004, the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") preliminarily determined that there is a reasonable indication that imports of live swine from Canada are materially injuring the United States live swine industry (see ITC Investigation Nos. 701-TA-438 and 731-TA-1076 (Publication No. 3693)).

We issued the antidumping questionnaire to Excel, Ontario Pork, Hytek, and Premium Pork on May 27, 2004. Also, on May 27, 2004, the Department adopted the model match criteria and hierarchy for this proceeding. See Memorandum to Susan Kuhbach, "Selection of Model Matching Criteria for Purposes of the Antidumping Duty Questionnaire," dated May 27, 2004, which is on file in the CRU.

On June 4, 2004, Ontario Pork submitted comments regarding the selection of companies to respond to the Department's cost questionnaire. On June 16, 2004, we solicited comments from the Illinois Pork Producers Association, the Indiana Pork Advocacy Coalition, the Iowa Pork Producers Association, the Minnesota Pork Producers Association, the Missouri Pork Association, the Nebraska Pork Producers Association, Inc., the North Carolina Pork Council, Inc., the Ohio

Pork Producers Council, and 119 individual producers of live swine<sup>1</sup> (hereinafter "the petitioners"), Excel, and Ontario Pork on the methodology for selecting cost respondents. We received parties' comments on June 21, 2004, and rebuttal comments on June 24 and June 30, 2004.

On June 21, 2004, Premium Pork submitted a request to the Department that it use Premium Pork's transfer price as the constructed export price rather than deriving a constructed export price. On June 29, 2004, the petitioners submitted comments on Premium's request. The Department rejected this request.

On July 2, 2004, the Office of Accounting notified Ontario Pork and Excel of the companies selected to respond to the Department's cost questionnaire. This selection is described in a July 15, 2004 Memorandum to Jeffrey May, entitled "Cost Respondent Selection Memo."

In June and July, 2004, the Department received responses to sections A, B, and C of the Department's

<sup>1</sup> Alan Christensen, Alicia Prill-Adams, Aulis Farms, Baarsch Pork Farm, Inc., Bailey Terra Nova Farms, Bartling Brothers Inc., Belstra Milling Co. Inc., Berend Bros. Hog Farm LLC, Bill Tempel, BK Pork Inc., Blue Wing Farm, Bornhorst Bros, Brandt Bros., Bredehoeft Farms, Inc., Bruce Samson, Bryant Premium Pork LLC, Buhl's Ridge View Farm, Charles Rossow, Cheney Farms, Chinn Hog Farm, Circle K Family Farms LLC, Cleland Farm, Clougherty Packing Company, Coharie Hog Farm, County Line Swine Inc., Craig Mensick, Daniel J. Pung, David Hansen, De Young Hog Farm LLC, Dean Schrag, Dean Vantiger, Dennis Geinger, Double "M" Inc., Dykhuis Farms, Inc., E & L Harrison Enterprises, Inc., Erle Lockhart, Ernest Smith, F & D Farms, Fisher Hog Farm, Fitzke Farm, Fultz Farms, Gary and Warren Oberdieck Partnership, Geneseo Pork, Inc., GLM Farms, Greenway Farms, H & H Feed and Grain, H & K Enterprises, LTD, Ham Hill Farms, Inc., Harrison Creek Farm, Harty Hog Farms, Heartland Pork LLC, Heritage Swine, High Lean Pork, Inc., Hilman Schroeder, Holden Farms Inc., Huron Pork, LLC, Hurst AgriQuest, J D Howerton and Sons, J. L. Ledger, Inc., Jack Rodibaugh & Sons, Inc., JC Howard Farms, Jesina Farms, Inc., Jim Kemper, Jorgensen Pork, Keith Berry Farms, Kellogg Farms, Kendale Farm, Kessler Farms, L.L. Murphy Company, Lange Farms LLC, Larson Bros Dairy Inc., Levelvue Pork Shop, Long Ranch Inc., Lou Stoller & Sons, Inc., Luckey Farm, Mac-O-Cheek, Inc., Martin Gingerich, Marvin Larrick, Max Schmidt, Maxwell Foods, Inc., Mckenzie-Reed Farms, Meier Family Farms Inc., MFA Inc., Michael Farm, Mike Bayes, Mike Wehler, Murphy Brown LLC, Ned Black and Sons, Ness Farms, Next Generation Pork, Inc., Noecker Farms, Oaklane Colony, Orangeburg Foods, Oregon Pork, Pitstick Pork Farms Inc., Prairie Lake Farms, Inc., Premium Standard Farms, Inc., Prestage Farms, Inc., R Hogs LLC, Rehmeier Farms, Rodger Schamberg, Scott W. Tapper, Sheets Farm, Smith-Healy Farms, Inc., Square Butte Farm, Steven A. Gay, Sunnycrest Inc., Trails End Far, Inc., TruLine Genetics, Two Mile Pork, Valley View Farm, Van Dell Farms, Inc., Vollmer Farms, Walters Farms LLP, Watertown Wieners, Inc., Wen Mar Farms, Inc., William Walter Farm, Willow Ridge Farm LLC, Wolf Farms, Wondraful Pork Systems, Inc., Wooden Purebred Swine Farms, Woodlawn Farms, and Zimmerman Hog Farms.