

April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen Dioxides, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: September 28, 2004.

Kathleen C. Callahan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 04–22484 Filed 10–5–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–2004–0154; FRL–7682–5]

Bromoxynil, Diclofop-methyl, Dicofol, Diquat, Etridiazole, et al., Proposed Tolerance Actions; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA issued a proposed rule in the *Federal Register* of August 4, 2004, announcing proposed tolerance actions and providing a 60-day public comment period that ends October 4, 2004. This document extends the comment period from October 4, 2004 to October 18, 2004.

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket identification (ID) number OPP–2004–0154, must be received on or before October 18, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Follow the detailed instructions as provided under **ADDRESSES** in the *Federal Register* document of August 4, identified by docket ID number OPP–2004–0154.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Nevola, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–8037; e-mail address: nevola.joseph@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

In the proposed rule of August 4, 2004 (69 FR 47051) (FRL–7368–7), the Agency included a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Access Electronic Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

In addition to using EDOCKET (<http://www.epa.gov/edocket/>), you may access this *Federal Register* document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “**Federal Register**” listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>. A frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 is available at E-CFR Beta Site Two at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/ecfr/>.

II. What Action is EPA taking?

This document extends the public comment period established in the *Federal Register* proposed rule issued on August 4, 2004 (69 FR 47051). In that document, EPA sought comment on a rule which proposed to revoke, remove, modify, and establish tolerances, and revise tolerance commodity terminologies. Recently, the Agency received a request from the U.S. Department of Commerce on behalf of the People's Republic of China to extend the public comment period for a brief period of time. EPA is hereby extending the public comment period for the proposed rule of August 4, 2004, identified by docket ID number OPP–2004–0154, from October 4, 2004, to October 18, 2004.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 27, 2004.

James Jones,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 04–22474 Filed 10–1–04; 4:31 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)

48 CFR Parts 1511 and 1552

[OARM Docket No. 2002–0001; FRL–7824–7]

Acquisition Regulation: Background Checks for Environmental Protection Agency Contractors Performing Services On-Site

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a proposed rulemaking to amend the EPA Acquisition Regulation (EPAAR) by adding a clause which would have required contractors (and subcontractors) to perform background checks and make suitability determinations for contractor (and subcontractor) employees performing services on or within Federally-owned or leased space or facilities (68 FR 2988, January 22, 2003). The public comments EPA received objected not only to the proposed clause's broad application, but also to its key substantive provisions. EPA has decided to withdraw this proposed EPAAR clause, and plans instead to incorporate a narrowly tailored background check requirement in the Agency's emergency response contracts' statements of work. Currently, this category of contracts consists of Superfund Technical Assistance and Removal Team (START), Emergency and Rapid Response Services (ERRS), and Response Engineering and Analytical Contract (REAC). In the future this requirement may be included in other types of contracts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Schaffer, U.S. EPA, Office of Acquisition Management, Mail Code (3802R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–4366; fax number (202) 565–2475; e-mail address: schaffer.paul@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Regulatory Impact:* Because this action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or other Executive Orders and statutes that generally apply to rulemakings.