

the fabric be piece dyed before it is printed.

CITA is soliciting public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether this fabric can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Also relevant is whether other fabrics that are supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner are substitutable for the fabric for purposes of the intended use. Comments must be received no later than October 13, 2004. Interested persons are invited to submit six copies of such comments or information to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that this fabric can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of the fabric stating that it produces the fabric that is the subject of the request, including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked "business confidential" from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

**James C. Leonard III,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc.04-21751 Filed 9-24-04; 9:41 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Request for Public Comments on Commercial Availability Petition under the United States - Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA)

September 24, 2004.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Request for public comments concerning a petition for a determination that certain woven, 100 percent cotton, double-napped flannel fabric cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA.

**SUMMARY:** On September 23, 2004, the Chairman of CITA received a petition from Sandler, Travis & Rosenberg, P.A., on behalf of Picacho, S.A., alleging that certain woven, 100 percent cotton, double-napped flannel fabric, of the specifications detailed below, classified in subheading 5209.31.60.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. The petition requests that shirts, trousers, nightwear, robes, dressing gowns and woven underwear of such fabrics assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries be eligible for preferential treatment under the CBTPA. CITA hereby solicits public comments on this petition, in particular with regard to whether these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Comments must be submitted by October 13, 2004 to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, Room 3001, United States Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet E. Heinzen, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the CBERA, as added by Section 211(a) of the CBTPA; Section 6 of Executive Order No. 13191 of January 17, 2001.

**Background:**

The CBTPA provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for qualifying textile and apparel products. Such treatment is generally limited to products manufactured from yarns or fabrics formed in the United States. The CBTPA also provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for apparel articles that are both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from fabric or yarn that is not formed in the United States, if it has been determined that such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. In Executive Order No. 13191, the President delegated to CITA the

authority to determine whether yarns or fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA and directed CITA to establish procedures to ensure appropriate public participation in any such determination. On March 6, 2001, CITA published procedures that it will follow in considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On September 23, 2004, the Chairman of CITA received a petition on behalf of Picacho, S.A., alleging that certain woven, 100 percent cotton, double-napped flannel fabric, of the specifications detailed below, classified HTSUS subheading 5209.31.60.50, cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and requesting quota- and duty-free treatment under the CBTPA for certain apparel articles that are cut and sewn in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from such fabrics.

**Specifications:**

Petitioner Style No:	2897A
Fiber Content:	100% Cotton
Weight:	203 g/m <sup>2</sup>
Width:	150 centimeters
Thread Count:	21 warp ends per centimeter; 18 filling picks per centimeter; total: 39 threads per square centimeter
Yarn Number:	Warp: 40.6 metric, ring spun; filling: 13.54 metric, open end spun; overall average yarn number: 19.2 metric
Finish:	(Piece) dyed; napped on both sides, sanforized

The petitioner emphasizes that the fabrics must be napped on both sides, that the yarn sizes and thread count, and consequently, the weight of the fabrics must be as nearly exact as possible as specified. The warp yarns must be ring spun and the filling yarns must be open end spun.

CITA is soliciting public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Also relevant is whether other fabrics that are supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner are substitutable for the fabric for purposes of the intended use. Comments must be received no later than October 13, 2004. Interested persons are invited to submit six copies of such comments or information to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely

review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of the fabric stating that it produces the fabric that is the subject of the request, including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked "business confidential" from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

**James C. Leonard III,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc.04-21826 Filed 9-24-04; 2:09 pm]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR04-3-000]

#### **America West Airlines, Inc., Southwest Airlines Co., Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Continental Airlines, Inc., Complainants v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint Requesting Fast Track Processing**

September 22, 2004.

Take notice that on September 21, 2004, America West Airlines, Inc. ("America West"), Southwest Airlines Co. ("Southwest Airlines"), Northwest Airlines, Inc., ("Northwest Airlines") and Continental Airlines, Inc., ("Continental Airlines") (collectively, "Airline Complainants") filed a complaint against SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206) and the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(A)). Airline Complainants state that SFPP has violated and continues to violate the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App. 1 *et seq.* by charging unjust and unreasonable rates and charges for all of SFPP's jurisdictional interstate services associated with its West Line and its Watson VDC facilities.

Airline Complainants request that the Commission: (1) Examine the challenge

rates and charges collected by SFPP for its West Line and Watson VDC jurisdictional interstate service; (2) determine, consistent with the Commission precedent, that SFPP's rates for the West Line and the Watson VDC are unjust and unreasonable; (3) determine just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory rates for SFPP's West Line and Watson VDC jurisdictional interstate services; (4) order reparations and/or refunds to Airline Complainants, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable reparations and/or refunds periods; (5) award Airline Complainants reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (6) order such other relief as may be appropriate.

Airline Complainants state that they have served this complaint on SFPP.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainants.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern standard time on October 12, 2004.

**Linda Mitry,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E4-2394 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2105-089, California]

#### **Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Intention To Hold Public Meetings for Discussion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Upper North Fork Feather River Hydropower Project**

September 21, 2004.

On September 10, 2004, the Commission staff delivered the Upper North Fork Feather River Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) to the Environmental Protection Agency and mailed it to resource and land management agencies, interested organizations, and individuals.

The DEIS was noticed in the **Federal Register** on September 17, 2004 (69 FR 56054) and comments are due November 1, 2004. The DEIS evaluates the environmental consequences of the issuance of a new license for the continued operation and maintenance of the Upper North Fork Feather River Project, located in Plumas County, California. The project occupies 1,500 acres of land administered by the Forest Supervisors of the Lassen and Plumas National Forests. It also evaluates the environmental effects of implementing the licensee's proposals, agency and NGO recommendations, staff's recommendations, and the no-action alternative.

Two public meetings, which will be recorded by an official stenographer, are scheduled as follows.

*Date:* Tuesday, October 19, 2004.

*Time:* 6-9 p.m. (p.s.t.).

*Place:* Veterans Memorial Hall.

*Address:* 225 Gay Street, Chester, California.

*Date:* Wednesday, October 20, 2004.

*Time:* 1-4 p.m. (p.s.t.).

*Place:* Chico Masonic Family Center, Yorkrite Room.

*Address:* 1110 West East Avenue, Chico, California.

At these meetings, resource agency personnel and other interested persons will have the opportunity to provide oral and written comments and recommendations regarding the DEIS for the Commission's public record.

For further information, please contact John Mudre at e-mail address [john.mudre@ferc.gov](mailto:john.mudre@ferc.gov), or by telephone at (202) 502-8902.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E4-2393 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**