Issued: August 17, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–19248 Filed 8–20–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–494]

In the Matter of Certain Automotive Measuring Devices, Products Containing Same, and Bezels for Such Devices; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review Two Initial Determinations Terminating the Investigation as to Respondents Old World Industries, Inc., Splitfire International, Inc., Blitz Co., Ltd., and Blitz North America, Inc. on the Basis of Settlement Agreements and Consent Orders; Issuance of Consent Orders

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations (''IDs'') issued by the presiding administrative law judge (''ALJ'') terminating the above-captioned investigation as to respondents Old World Industries, Inc. and SplitFire International, Inc. (collectively, ''OldWorld/Splitfire''), and Blitz Co., Ltd. and Blitz North America, Inc. (collectively, ''Blitz'') on the basis of consent orders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission issued a notice of investigation dated June 16, 2003, naming Auto Meter Products, Inc. (''Auto Meter'') of Sycamore, Illinois, as the complainant and several companies as respondents. On June 20, 2003, the notice of investigation was published in the **Federal Register**. 68 FR 37023. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain automotive measuring devices, products containing same, and bezels for such devices, by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,732,643 and 1,497,472, and U.S. Supplemental Register No. 1,903908, and infringement of the complainant's trade dress. Subsequently, seven more firms were added as respondents based on two separate motions filed by complainant Auto Meter. The investigation was terminated as to five respondents on the basis of consent orders.

On July 14, 2004, the ALJ issued two IDs (Orders Nos. 34 and 35) terminating the investigation as to respondents OldWorld/Splitfire and Blitz on the basis of settlement agreements and consent orders. The Commission investigative attorney filed responses in support of each of the joint motions. No petitions for review of the IDs were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: August 17, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–19201 Filed 8–20–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

August 13, 2004.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Department of Labor (DOL). To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: *king.darrin@dol.gov*.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employee Benefits Security Administration.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Regulation Relating to Loans to Plan Participants and Beneficiaries Who are Parties in Interest with Respect to the Plan.

OMB Number: 1210–0076.

Frequency: On occasion.

Type of Response: Third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; and Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 1,700.

Number of Annual Responses: 1,700.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 1.[1]

[1] Generally, because of the specialized knowledge required, attorneys and professional administrators acting as service providers to plans are most likely to draft amendments that would describe or modify a loan program. Therefore, the burden for the information collected is accounted for as a cost burden.