

Building, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse, 600 East First Street, Rome, Georgia.

*NPA:* Bobby Dodd Institute, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia.

*Contract Activity:* GSA, Property Management Center (4PMB), Atlanta, Georgia.

*Service Type/Location:* Custodial Services. U.S. Border Patrol Station and U.S. Customs House, I-29 at Canadian Border, Pembina, North Dakota.

*NPA:* The Home Place Corporation, Grand Forks, North Dakota.

*Contract Activity:* GSA, Public Buildings Service, Region 8, Denver, Colorado.

**Sheryl D. Kennerly,**

*Director, Information Management.*

[FR Doc. 04-18544 Filed 8-12-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### Procurement List; Additions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List products and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 12, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia, 22202-3259.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sheryl D. Kennerly, (703) 603-7740.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 14, and June 18, 2004, the Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (69 FR 32975/76 and, 34121) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products and services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46-48c and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a

substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products and services to the government.

2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and services to the government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the products and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

### End of Certification

Accordingly, the following products and services are added to the Procurement List:

#### Products

*Product/NSN:* Bag, T-Shirt Style (Defense Commissary Agency Requirements for the Southern and Central Regions only), 8105-00-NIB-1023.

*NPA:* Envision, Inc., Wichita, Kansas.  
*Contract Activity:* Defense Commissary Agency, Fort Lee, Virginia.

*Product/NSN:* Belt, Rigger's,  
8415-01-517-0305 (Size 34, Color: Black),  
8415-01-517-0308 (Size 40, Color: Black),  
8415-01-517-0310 (Size 46, Color: Black),  
8415-01-517-0946 (Size 34, Color: Brown),  
8415-01-517-0948 (Size 40, Color: Brown),  
8415-01-517-0949 (Size 46, Color: Brown),  
8415-01-517-0951 (Size 34, Color: Gray),  
8415-01-517-0954 (Size 46, Color: Gray),  
8415-01-517-0961 (Size 40, Color: Gray),  
8415-01-517-1046 (Size 34, Color: Green),  
8415-01-517-1051 (Size 40, Color: Green),  
8415-01-517-1055 (Size 46, Color: Green),  
8415-01-517-1079 (Size 34, Color: Tan),  
8415-01-517-1081 (Size 40, Color: Tan),  
8415-01-517-1083 (Size 46, Color: Tan).

*NPA:* Travis Association for the Blind, Austin, Texas.

*Contract Activity:* Defense Supply Center Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

*Product/NSN:* Tray, Mess, Compartmented, 7350-01-411-5266.

*NPA:* The Lighthouse for the Blind in New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana.

*Contract Activity:* GSA, Southwest Supply Center, Fort Worth, Texas.

#### Services

*Service Type/Location:* Administrative Service Program Executive Office (PEO) Aviation. At the following locations: Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Fort Campbell, Kentucky, Fort Rucker, Alabama, Fort Hood, Texas, Fort Huachuca, Arizona, Huntsville, Alabama, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama.

*NPA:* Huntsville Rehabilitation Foundation, Huntsville, Alabama.

*Contract Activity:* U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command, Huntsville, Alabama.

*Service Type/Location:* Post-wide Administrative Services, Fort McPherson, Georgia.

*Service Type/Location:* Post-wide Administrative Services, Fort Gillem, Georgia.

*NPA:* WORKTEC, Jonesboro, Georgia.  
*Contract Activity:* U.S. Army, ACA SRCC, Fort McPherson, Georgia.

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

**Sheryl D. Kennerly,**

*Director, Information Management.*

[FR Doc. 04-18545 Filed 8-12-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1344]

### Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc. (Crop Protection Products), Belle, WV

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for “\* \* \* the establishment \* \* \* of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to

qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the West Virginia Economic Development Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 229, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone at the crop protection products manufacturing facilities of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., located in Belle, West Virginia (FTZ Docket 5-2004, filed 2/25/2004);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 11368-11369, 3/10/2004); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the crop protection products manufacturing facilities of E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., located in the Belle, West Virginia (Subzone 229B), at the location described in the application, and subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of August, 2004.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-18542 Filed 8-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

#### Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination To Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On April 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit ("CPF") from Thailand. This review covers four producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003. Based on our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the *Final Results of Review* section.

Consistent with the preliminary results, we are revoking the order with respect to Dole Food Company, Inc., Dole Packaged Foods Company, Dole Thailand, Ltd., (collectively "Dole") Kuiburi Fruit Canning Co., Ltd., and The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd., based on our determination that these companies have demonstrated three consecutive years of sales at not less than normal value and their respective aggregate sales to the United States have been made in commercial quantities during the last three segments of this proceeding.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 13, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marin Weaver or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2336 and (202) 482-0650, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

This review covers the following producers/exporters of merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand: Dole, Kuiburi Fruit Canning Co., Ltd. ("Kuiburi"), The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd. ("TIPCO"), and Vita Food Factory (1989) Co., Ltd. ("Vita").

On April 8, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of this review and invited interested parties to comment on those results. See Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Determination to Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand, 69 FR 18524 (Preliminary Results). On May 10, 2004, we received case briefs from Dole and the petitioners.<sup>1</sup> On May 17 and 18, 2004, we received rebuttal briefs from the petitioners and Dole,

respectively.<sup>2</sup> Dole requested a hearing but subsequently withdrew this request in a letter to the Department dated May 19, 2004.

On June 28, 2004, the Department published the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 69 FR 36058, where we found that Tipco Foods (Thailand) Public Co., Ltd. (Tipco Foods) is the successor-in-interest to TIPCO as of December 2003 when TIPCO changed its name to Tipco Foods. Even though the name change occurred after the POR, the Department conducted the changed circumstances review in conjunction with the instant review because we are revoking the order as to TIPCO/Tipco Foods.

##### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is CPF, defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (*i.e.*, juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Eighth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand* from Jeffrey A. May, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group I, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 6, 2004 (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which the parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are addressed in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners in the case are Maui Pineapple Company and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union.

<sup>2</sup> We note that the Dole rebuttal brief was timely because it was filed on May 17, 2004, with bracketing not final and then re-filed on May 18, 2004, with bracketing final.