

four countries, including analysis of sales below cost, prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioner, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, we are postponing these preliminary determinations under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than October 20, 2004.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group 1.*

[FR Doc. 04-18395 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

#### Honey From Argentina: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 2002-2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. This review covers seven exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 11, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Cordell at (202) 482-0408 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 31, 2003, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively petitioners) requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina in response to the Department's notice of opportunity to request a review published in the *Federal Register*. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 68

FR 67401 (December 2, 2003). The petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made by thirteen Argentine producers/exporters. In addition, the Department received requests for reviews from six of the Argentine exporters included in the petitioners' request. Prior to the Department's initiation of review, on January 15, 2004, the petitioners filed a withdrawal of request for review of the following four companies: ConAgra Argentina S.A., Establecimiento Don Angel S.r.L., Food Way S.A., and Mielar, S.A. The Department initiated the review on the remaining nine exporters. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 3117 (January 22, 2004).

On February 18, 2004, petitioners submitted a withdrawal of request for review of Compania Europea Americana, S.A. and Radix S.r.L. Because the petitioners were the only party to request the administrative review of the above listed companies, the Department accepted the withdrawal request and rescinded the review with respect to these two companies. See *Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 12121 (March 15, 2004).

#### Notice of Extension

Pursuant to the time limits for administrative reviews set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the current deadlines are September 1, 2004, for the preliminary results and December 30, 2004, for the final results of this administrative review. The Department, however, may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit. See 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and § 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations. In this case the Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because of significant issues which require additional time to evaluate. These include potential sales below cost, the collection of cost data, and questions concerning the particular market situation which required one of the companies to provide an additional Section B questionnaire response.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until December 20, 2004, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The

deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: August 5, 2004.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group I.*

[FR Doc. 04-18394 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-501]

#### Antidumping Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review: certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey.

**SUMMARY:** On April 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube (welded pipe) from Turkey. This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2002, through April 30, 2003. Based on our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the Final Results of Review section.

**DATES:** Effective August 11, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martin Claessens or Jim Terpstra, Office 3, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5451 and (202) 482-3965, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

This review covers the Borusan Group (Borusan), a producer/exporter of the subject merchandise. On April 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of this review and invited interested parties to comment on those results. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey*, 69 FR 18049 (*Preliminary Results*). On May 6,

2004, we received case briefs from Borusan and domestic interested parties.<sup>1</sup> On May 13, 2004, we received rebuttal briefs from the same parties. No public hearing was requested.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by this order include circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, or galvanized, painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded and coupled). Those pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipe, though they may also be called structural or mechanical tubing in certain applications. Standard pipes and tubes are intended for the low pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, air, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air conditioner units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing and mechanical applications, such as for fence tubing, and for protection of electrical wiring, such as conduit shells.

The scope is not limited to standard pipe and fence tubing, or those types of mechanical and structural pipe that are used in standard pipe application. All carbon steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included in the scope of this review, except for line pipe, oil country tubular goods, boiler tubing, cold-drawn or cold-rolled mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished rigid conduit.

Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey" from Jeffrey May, Deputy

Assistant Secretary for Operations, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 4, 2004 (*Decision Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are addressed in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

**Fair Value Comparisons**

We calculated export price (EP) and normal value (NV) based on the same methodology used in the preliminary results, except for minor clerical error changes, which are detailed in the *Decision Memorandum*.

**Cost of Production**

We calculated the cost of production (COP) for the merchandise based on the same methodology used in the preliminary results, except for the calculation of Borusan's financial expense ratio. We have now included foreign exchange gains and losses on accounts receivable in Borusan's financial expense ratio. See *Decision Memorandum*.

**Final Results of Review**

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period May 1, 2002, through April 30, 2003:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Borusan .....	1.48

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with section 351.212(b)(1) of the Department's regulations, we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates by dividing the dumping margin found on the subject merchandise examined by the entered value of such merchandise. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis* we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's

entries of subject merchandise. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For the company named above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above, except where the margin is zero or *de minimis* no cash deposit will be required; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent segment of the proceeding in which that manufacturer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 14.74 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under § 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and countervailing duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of antidumping duties increased by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary

<sup>1</sup>Domestic Interested Parties are Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., and Wheatland Tube Company.

information disclosed under APO in accordance with § 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 4, 2004.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### **Appendix—List of Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum**

Comment 1: Exchange Rates

Comment 2: Programming Errors

Comment 3: Cash Deposit Instructions

Comment 4: Duty Drawback

Comment 5: Financial Expense Ratio

Comment 6: Valuation of Hot-Rolled Coil

Inputs Purchased from Affiliates

[FR Doc. 04–18393 Filed 8–10–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[I.D. 080504A]

#### **Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; General Provisions for Domestic Fisheries; Application for Exempted Fishing Permits (EFPs)**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notification of a proposal for EFPs to conduct experimental fishing; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS announces that the Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries, Northeast Region, NMFS (Assistant Regional Administrator) has made a preliminary determination that an application to issue EFPs for up to 100 commercial lobster vessels, submitted by the Maine Department of Marine Resources (MEDMR), contains all the information required by the regulations governing exempted experimental fishing under the provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) and, therefore, warrants further consideration. The Assistant Regional Administrator has also made a preliminary determination that the activities authorized under these EFPs would be consistent with the goals and objectives of the American lobster (lobster) fishery under the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (ACFCMA) and is

within the scope of earlier analyses of the impacts. However, further review and consultation may be necessary before a final determination is made to issue the EFPs. Therefore, NMFS announces that the Assistant Regional Administrator proposes to recommend that EFPs be issued that would allow commercial fishing vessels to conduct fishing operations that are otherwise restricted by the regulations governing the fisheries of the Northeastern United States.

Regulations under the Magnuson-Stevens Act require publication of this notification to provide interested parties the opportunity to comment on applications for proposed EFPs.

**DATES:** Comments on this document must be received on or before August 26, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this notice may be submitted by e-mail. The mailbox address for providing e-mail comments is [DA643@noaa.gov](mailto:DA643@noaa.gov). Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following document identifier: "Comments on MEDMR Jonah Crab Experimental Fishery." Written comments should be sent to Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, NMFS, Northeast Regional Office, 1 Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope "Comments on MEDMR Jonah Crab Experimental Fishery." Comments may also be sent via fax to (978) 281–9135. Copies of the Draft Year 3 Supplemented Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared for the 2004/2005 Experimental Jonah Crab Fishery in Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) Nearshore Lobster Management Area 1 (NLMA1), as well as the May 2002 EA that it supplements, are available from the Northeast Regional Office at the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Hooker, Policy Analyst, phone 978–281–9220.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** MEDMR initially submitted an application to conduct a Jonah crab experimental fishery on December 6, 2000. An EA was prepared that resulted in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), which was signed on June 20, 2002. The initial application anticipated the need for two additional years of the experimental fishery beyond Year 1 (2002/2003) in order to gauge the effectiveness of the gear modifications and collect the data necessary to support a potential permanent exemption to the lobster gear regulations. The EFP application for Year 2 (2003/2004) of the study was received, along with a Supplemented

EA, on March 10, 2003, and was issued on August 19, 2003. MEDMR submitted an EFP application and Supplemented EA for Year 3 (2004/2005) of the Jonah Crab Experimental Fishery in NLMA1 on July 26, 2004. Along with the bycatch reduction objective, complementary goals of the EFP have been, and would continue to be to: (1) Contribute to the development of year-round Jonah crab markets; (2) provide additional economic opportunities for lobster and crab fishermen who are currently being held to a maximum trap limit; and (3) provide important biological and demographic data on the Jonah crab resource, thus contributing to baseline information on the Jonah crab life cycle and population structure.

The proposed experimental fishery would take place from September 15, 2004, to September 15, 2005, in the EEZ portion of the NLMA1 described at 50 CFR 697.18(a)(1). To date, over 30 EFP harvesters have reported on 12,484 trap hauls of experimental gear. The proposed EFP would require that the experimental gear employ escape vents that are larger (and in greater numbers) than those in standard lobster traps. The side- and top-entry trap dimensions would be the same as that which was authorized for the original EFP. As all additional EFP gear would be fixed, additional habitat impacts are expected to be negligible.

Total participation levels for the experiment have not exceeded 32 percent of the authorized maximum of 100 vessels for the 19-month period ending in March 2004. Comparing the top-entry, side-entry, and standard lobster trap designs, the MEDMR logbook data thus far suggest that a modified side-entry trap may be the best design for targeting Jonah crabs with negligible lobster bycatch. There were 159 sublegal and 35 legal lobsters caught in 11,944 side-entry trap hauls and 540 top-entry trap hauls. All bycatch was returned to the sea. The catch of Jonah crabs under the EFP has been small when contrasted with Maine's reported 2002 landings in the crab fishery as a whole (approximately 140,449 lb (63,706 kg) of Jonah crabs caught under the EFP for 2002/2003 with 9.5 million lb (4.3 million kg) caught overall—approximately 1.5 percent of the total landings). However, results from both Year 1 and Year 2 indicate that landings in a directed Jonah Crab fishery could attain 300,000 lb (136,077 kg) from 100 participants.

All lobsters caught incidentally to the catch of Jonah crabs, all female crabs and crabs smaller than the MEDMR minimum size of 5-inch (12.7-cm) carapace width, and all other bycatch,