

Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or via phone at (866) 208-3676 (toll-free). For TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

For additional information, please contact Mark Blazejowski of FERC's Office of Market Oversight and Investigations at (202) 502-6055 or by e-mail, [mark.blazejowski@ferc.gov](mailto:mark.blazejowski@ferc.gov).

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1765 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER04-688-000, ER04-689-000, ER04-690-000, ER04-693-000]

#### Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Technical Conference

August 4, 2004.

Parties are invited to attend a technical conference in the above-referenced Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) proceedings on August 9-11, 2004, at Pacific Gas and Electric Company Headquarters, San Francisco, California 94105. The technical conference will be held in Conference Room A in 245 Market Street, first floor, on August 9th and 10th and Room 2595 in 77 Beale Street on August 11th. The technical conference will be held from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m. (P.s.t.) on each day. Arrangements have been made for parties to listen to the technical conference by telephone.

The purpose of the conference is to identify the issues raised in these proceedings, develop information for use by Commission staff in preparing an order on the merits, and to facilitate any possible settlements in these proceedings. Specifically, the parties will discuss, among other things, the following unexecuted replacement agreements filed by PG&E in the above-referenced dockets: (1) The interconnection agreement between PG&E and Western Area Power Administration (WAPA), (2) the parallel operations agreement between PG&E and WAPA (PG&E Original Rate Schedule FERC No. 228), and (3) PG&E's wholesale distribution tariff service agreement for wholesale distribution service to WAPA.

Parties planning to attend this three-day technical conference must contact Joe Migocki at PG&E by 12 noon (Pacific time), Friday, August 6, 2004, at [J3M9@pge.com](mailto:J3M9@pge.com) or at (415) 973-6625, to develop a list of attendees for admission into PG&E's offices.

Questions about the conference and the telephone conference call arrangements should be directed to Julia A. Lake at (202) 502-8370 or [Julia.lake@ferc.gov](mailto:Julia.lake@ferc.gov).

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1766 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7799-9]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permits for Los Medanos Energy Center and Dow Chemical Company

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final orders on petitions to object to two state operating permits.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the EPA Administrator has responded to two citizen petitions requesting EPA to object to operating permits issued to two facilities by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District ("BAAQMD"). Specifically, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied a petition submitted by Our Children's Earth Foundation ("OCE") and Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. ("CARE") requesting that the Administrator object to the state operating permit issued to Los Medanos Energy Center ("Los Medanos") in Pittsburgh, California; and denied in full a petition submitted by Communities for a Better Environment ("CBE") requesting that the Administrator object to the state operating permit issued to Dow Chemical ("Dow"), also located in Pittsburgh. This notice also corrects a non-substantive factual finding in the final order for the Los Medanos petition that OCE submitted late its comments on the draft permit to BAAQMD.

Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (ACT), a petitioner may seek judicial review of any portion of the petition which EPA denied in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the

**Federal Register**, pursuant to section 307 of the Act.

**DATES:** Copies of the final orders, petitions, and other supporting information are available at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, Air Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. The final orders are also available electronically at: <http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gerardo Rios, Chief, Air Permits Office, EPA Region IX, telephone (415) 972-3974, e-mail [r9airpermits@epa.gov](mailto:r9airpermits@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA approves state and local permitting authorities to administer the operating permit program set forth in title V of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. BAAQMD administers a fully approved title V operating permit program. The Clean Air Act affords EPA the opportunity for a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to a state operating permit if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

#### I. Los Medanos Energy Center

On September 6, 2001, BAAQMD issued a final title V operating permit to Los Medanos. OCE and CARE ("Petitioners") submitted a petition to the Administrator on October 12, 2001, seeking EPA's objection to BAAQMD's issuance of the Los Medanos permit. The Petitioners alleged in their petition that the Los Medanos permit (1) improperly included an emergency breakdown exemption condition that incorporates a broader definition of "emergency" than allowed by 40 CFR 70.6(g); (2) improperly included a variance relief condition which is not federally enforceable; (3) failed to include a statement of basis as required by 40 CFR 70.7(a)(5); (4) contained permit conditions that are inadequate under 40 CFR part 70, namely that certain provisions are unenforceable; and (5) failed to incorporate certain changes OCE requested during the

public comment period and agreed to BAAQMD.

On May 25, 2004, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that BAAQMD must reopen the permit to make available for public and EPA comment an adequate statement of basis. The order also explains the reasons for denying the Petitioners' remaining claims.

Through this notice, EPA is also correcting a non-substantive factual finding in the final Los Medanos order. Footnote 6 of the order found that OCE had submitted its comments on the draft Los Medanos permit to BAAQMD after the close of the 30-day public comment period. After further review, EPA has determined that OCE actually submitted those comments on time, as OCE submitted its comments on August 1, 2001 and the public comment period ended August 2, 2001.

## II. Dow Chemical

On December 1, 2003, BAAQMD issued a final title V operating permit to Dow. CBE ("Petitioner") submitted a petition to the Administrator on January 12, 2004, seeking EPA's objection to BAAQMD's issuance of the Dow permit. The Petitioner alleged in the petition that EPA must object to the permit because BAAQMD improperly denied Petitioner's request for a public hearing.

The Administrator issued an order denying the petition on July 2, 2004. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's finding that the petitioner failed to demonstrate that the permit was not issued in compliance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act.

Dated: July 26, 2004.

**Wayne Natri,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 9.*

[FR Doc. 04-18381 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6654-5]

### Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Oxnard Plain Groundwater Recharge Project, Santa Clara River, Ventura County, CA

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

*Purpose:* To comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969.

**SUMMARY:** The primary goal of the project is to increase the amount of

water recharged into the Oxnard Plain aquifers to achieve a long-term balance between recharge and extractions. The benefits of additional recharge are a continued reduction in seawater intrusion, development of local water supplies to reduce the need for imported water, and increased flexibility and reliability in meeting future water demands through the conjunctive use of surface and groundwater supplies. United Water Conservation District (UWCD) manages groundwater and delivers water to cities and agricultural users in the Oxnard Plain region of Ventura County. One of UWCD's many responsibilities is recharge of the Oxnard Plain groundwater basins. To accomplish this recharge, the Freeman Diversion and related facilities were constructed in 1991 and are now used to divert 375 cfs from the Santa Clara River. The river water supply diverted by UWCD through these facilities is used for groundwater recharge and agricultural irrigation. Groundwater recharge is accomplished through the use of various UWCD-owned and operated facilities that include: the Freeman Diversion, lined and unlined canals, pipelines, desilting basins, and storage/recharge ponds and basins. The project will involve the purchase and use of abandoned aggregate mining pits and construction of water conveyance facilities for groundwater recharge using water diverted from the river in order to maximize recharge potential under current diversions. In addition, the project may include an increase in the maximum diversion rate, alternatives range from 375 to 1000 cfs, at the Freeman Diversion located along the Santa Clara River. An increase in diversion will require a modification of UWCD's water rights permits from the State of California. This Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a Draft EIS is being published because EPA has determined that the proposed action may result in a significant impact to the environment.

*Alternatives:* The alternatives include different configurations of new recharge basins using the mining pits, and varying peak diversion rates. All alternatives include the following facility improvements: (1) Modification of the fish screen at the Freeman Diversion to increase its effectiveness, improve flow capacity, and improve operations and maintenance of the screen; and (2) modification of the culvert at the outlet of the desilting basin to improve hydraulic capacity. EPA may approve or deny the proposed diversion, or approve with modifications to mitigate or reduce

adverse impacts to acceptable levels. Other reasonable alternatives, including those outside EPA's authority, may also be evaluated in the EIS. Depending on the final alternative, the project could affect the federally endangered southern steelhead trout (South Coast Evolutionarily Significant Unit), which occurs along the Santa Clara River and passes through the Freeman Diversion through fish passage facilities. EPA will be consulting with National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) regarding impacts of the project pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Similarly, EPA will consult with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding potential effects on a downstream listed species, the tidewater goby.

*Scoping:* The public scoping period begins with the publication of this Notice and concludes September 15, 2004. EPA invites Federal agencies, Native American tribes, State and local governments, and members of the public to comment on the scope of this EIS. EPA will consider fully all comments received by the close of the scoping period and will consider comments received after that date to the extent practicable.

*Contact Information:* EPA invites public comment on the proposed scope of this EIS. Comments may be submitted by mail, electronic mail, or fax, and addressed as follows: Jared Vollmer, Project Officer, Water 10, U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA, 94105. Electronic mail: [vollmer.jared@epa.gov](mailto:vollmer.jared@epa.gov), Fax: (415) 947-3537, Telephone: (415) 972-3447. A project summary is available upon request.

*Estimated Date of Release for Draft EIS:* October 2004.

*Responsible Official:* Wayne Natri, Regional Administrator.

Dated: August 5, 2004.

**Anne Norton Miller,**

*Director, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 04-18387 Filed 8-10-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0248; FRL-7372-5]

### Tribal Pesticide Program Council; Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Tribal Pesticide Program Council (TPPC) will hold a 2-day meeting, beginning on September 8 and